



Review

Multi-antibody composition in lupus nephritis: Isotype and antigen specificity make the difference



Alice Bonanni ^a, Augusto Vaglio ^c, Maurizio Bruschi ^b, Renato Alberto Sinico ^d, Lorenzo Cavagna ^e, Gabriella Moroni ^f, Franco Franceschini ^g, Landino Allegri ^c, Federico Pratesi ^h, Paola Migliorini ^h, Giovanni Candiano ^b, Giampaola Pesce ⁱ, Angelo Ravelli ^k, Francesco Puppo ^j, Alberto Martini ^{k,*}, Angela Tincani ^g, Gian Marco Ghiggeri ^{a,b,**}

^a Division of Nephrology, Dialysis, and Transplantation, Scientific Institute for Research and Health Care (IRCCS), Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Genoa, Italy

^b Laboratory on Pathophysiology of Uremia, Scientific Institute for Research and Health Care (IRCCS), Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Genoa, Italy

^c Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Parma, Parma, Italy

^d Division of Nephrology and Section of Clinical Immunology, San Carlo Hospital, Milan, Italy

^e Division of Rheumatology, University and IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo, Pavia, Italy

^f Division of Nephrology and Dialysis, Scientific Institute for Research and Health Care (IRCCS), Regina Elena, Milan, Italy

^g Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Spedali Civili and University of Brescia, Italy

^h Clinical Immunology Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Pisa, Italy

ⁱ Medical and Radiometabolic Therapy Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Genoa, Italy

^j Department of Internal Medicine, University of Genoa, Italy

^k Division of Paediatric Rheumatology, Scientific Institute for Research and Health Care (IRCCS), Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Genoa, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 March 2015

Accepted 2 April 2015

Available online 14 April 2015

Keywords:

Autoimmunity

Systemic lupus erythematosus

Lupus nephritis

Anti-DNA antibodies

Anti- α -enolase antibodies

Anti-annexin A1 antibodies

ABSTRACT

Research on autoimmune processes involved in glomerulonephritis has been for years based on experimental models. Recent progress in proteomics has radically modified perspectives: laser microdissection and proteomics were crucial for an *in vivo* analysis of autoantibodies eluted from human biopsies. Lupus nephritis has been the subject of recent independent researches.

Main topics have been the definition of renal autoimmune components in human lupus biopsies; methods were laser capture of glomeruli and/or of single cells (CD38 + or Ki-67 +) from tubulointerstitial areas as starting step followed by elution and characterization of renal antibodies by proteomics.

The innovative approach highlighted different panels of autoantibodies deposited in glomeruli and in tubulointerstitial areas that actually represented the unique autoimmune components in these patients. IgG2 was the major isotype; new podocyte proteins (α -enolase, annexin A1) and already known implanted molecules (DNA, histone 3, C1q) were their target antigens in glomeruli. Vimentin was the antigen in tubulo-interstitial areas.

Matching renal autoantibodies with serum allowed the definition of a typical autoantibody serum map that included the same anti- α -enolase, anti-annexin A1, anti-DNA, and anti-histone 3 IgG2 already detected in renal tissue. Serum levels of specific autoantibodies were tenfold increased in patients with lupus nephritis allowing a clear differentiation from both rheumatoid arthritis and other glomerulonephritis. In all cases, targeted antigens were characterized as components of lupus NETosis.

Matching renal/serum autoantibody composition *in vivo* furnishes new insights on human lupus nephritis and allows to refine composition of circulating antibodies in patients with lupus. A thoughtful passage from bench to bedside of new knowledge would expand our clinical and therapeutic opportunities.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V.

* Correspondence to: Alberto Martini, Pediatrics, University of Genoa, Head Pediatria II, Reumatologia, EULAR Centre of Excellence in Rheumatology 2008-18, Head Department of Pediatrics IRCCS G. Gaslini, Largo G. Gaslini 5, 16147 Genoa, Italy. Tel.: +39 10 5636386; fax: +39 10 5636211.

** Correspondence to: Gian Marco Ghiggeri, Division of Nephrology, Dialysis and Transplantation, Laboratory on Pathophysiology of Uremia, Istituto G. Gaslini, Largo G. Gaslini 5, Genoa, Italy. Tel.: +39 10 380742; fax: +39 10 395214.

E-mail addresses: albertomartini@ospedale-gaslini.ge.it (A. Martini), GMarcoGhiggeri@ospedale-gaslini.ge.it (G.M. Ghiggeri).

Contents

1. Introduction	693
2. 'In vivo' renal antibody characterization	693
2.1. General consideration and methodology	693
2.2. Fields of application	694
3. Lupus nephritis	694
3.1. Anti-vimentin antibodies predominate in the tubulointerstitial compartment	694
3.2. Antigen targets and isotype specificity match glomerular and serum antibodies	694
3.3. Isotype preponderance and immunoglobulin shift	696
3.4. Epitope spreading determines αenolase IgG2 reactivity	696
4. Alpha-enolase and annexin A1 are functionally linked with autoimmunity	697
4.1. Alpha-enolase and annexin A1 are components of NETosis	697
4.2. Functional consequences of alpha-enolase block	697
4.3. Functional consequences of annexin A1 block	698
5. Animal models support multi-antibodies and isotype specificity	698
6. Clinical and pathological impact	699
6.1. Mechanisms of autoantibody formation and deposition	699
6.2. Hierarchy and time of appearance of antibodies	699
6.3. How this modifies the future of clinical research on lupus auto-antibodies	699
6.4. Prospective studies: the Zeus project	700
7. Conclusions	700
Take-home messages	700
Author contribution	700
Conflict of interest	700
Acknowledgments	700
References	700

1. Introduction

Autoimmune renal diseases represent a relevant source of morbidity and mortality in human beings [1]. They include several conditions with different clinical expressions, at the basis of which there is a common mechanism linked to the formation of auto-antibodies versus renal proteins. Renal basement membrane and podocytes are the principal targets but also tubular cells may become the site for autoantibody deposition. Secondary events are complement deposition, mainly C5b-9 [2–6], and activation of podocyte intracellular mediators such as phosphorylated protein kinase C and free oxygen radicals [7]. The imbalance between inflammatory mediators and their inhibitors determines podocyte injury [8, 9]. Lupus nephritis and membranous nephritis are the two autoimmune conditions of major interest due to similarities in pathologic pictures (both membranous and class V lupus nephritis present sub-epithelial immune deposits) and potentially they share mechanisms. Anti-GBM is another rare autoimmune glomerulonephritis that is completely entailed by deposition of antibodies versus the NC1 domain of collagen IV causing recruitment of neutrophils and monocytes, destruction of the glomerular capillary wall and crescent formation [10]. While the target antigen and mechanisms of anti-GBM have been elucidated in the past, most of the aspects linking autoimmunity and renal damage in membranous and in lupus nephritis are of only recent evolution and are here reviewed in the light of most recent discoveries. New advances in the field of tissue micro-dissection and protein characterization by mass spectrometry represented the technology keys that allowed a direct *in vivo* approach to human biopsies. The starting consideration was that only auto-antibodies present in the kidney could be considered pathogenic and matching the auto-antigen/antibody renal map with serum antibodies was crucial for developing new concepts on mechanisms and make new biomarkers available for patients with lupus nephritis.

2. 'In vivo' renal antibody characterization

2.1. General consideration and methodology

A simplified interpretation of the events leading to autoantibody formation and deposition in the kidney considers that renal proteins may

become immunogenic. In the case of components normally present in cytoplasm of cells, their dislocation to membrane may also contribute. Cellular mechanisms, potentially involved in human pathologies, are barely evaluated with traditional techniques of analysis and many renal diseases, different in pathogenesis, prognosis and treatment have similar, often undistinguishable, microscopical patterns. Experimental models are helpful but there are cases, such as membranous nephropathy, in which mechanisms in animals do not reproduce human pathology [11–13]. Studies on Heymann nephritis (the experimental model of human membranous nephropathy) were critical to show [11,12,14,15] IgG₄ prevalence and complement participation to immune deposit formation, as well as podocyte activation and production of pathogenetic mediators such as reactive oxygen species [2,4,16,17]. However, they did not result in the identification of the human target antigen of membranous nephropathy since megalin, the podocyte antigen in mice, is not expressed in human podocytes. After some decades of substantial no progress, developments of methods for a direct analysis of human tissue [18–22] allowed a direct definition of autoantibodies in human biopsies. Glomeruli (or tubule, or any other structure) can be precisely isolated by laser capture microdissection, a technique that allows the collection of sufficient amount of tissue from the same patient thus permitting a personalized quantitative characterization of different auto-antibodies/antigens. Mass spectrometry evolution is basic to this approach. In this way, the composition of glomerular proteins can be determined, creating a sort of "glomerular proteome map" for different diseases. Antibodies can be micro-eluted as well, allowing the definition of their target antigens, class, isotype and epitope spreading [22].

Evaluation of a potential renal auto-antigen/antibody requires the fulfillment of the following criteria: 1) the antigen must be expressed in the kidney (glomeruli, tubule) in concomitance with the pathological condition; 2) the antibody must be eluted from microdissected tissues/cells *in vivo*; 3) co-localization of antigen and antibody in immune deposits must be documented by immunohistochemistry and confocal microscopy and, possibly, by immune-electron microscopy; and 4) elevated circulating antibodies of the same class and isotype should be documented in serum.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3341411>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3341411>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)