



Short report

# MERS-CoV in a healthcare worker in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia: an index case investigation

S. Shalhoub<sup>a,\*</sup>, S. Abdraboh<sup>b</sup>, R. Palma<sup>b</sup>, H. AlSharif<sup>c</sup>, N. Assiri<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Infectious Diseases Division, Department of Medicine, King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

<sup>b</sup> Infection Prevention and Control, King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

<sup>c</sup> Department of Critical Care, King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

<sup>d</sup> Department of Nursing, King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 9 November 2015

Accepted 5 April 2016

Available online 16 April 2016

### Keywords:

Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS)

Index case

Screening

## SUMMARY

In September 2015, a confirmed case of Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) was diagnosed in a healthcare worker in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Given the absence of confirmed MERS cases in Jeddah at the time, an epidemiological index case investigation took place. The investigation identified a probable source of an index case who had been in hospital in Jordan in August 2015 while there was an ongoing MERS outbreak and who then subsequently sought medical care in Jeddah.

© 2016 The Healthcare Infection Society. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.



## Introduction

Since the discovery of the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) in 2012, Saudi Arabia has faced several MERS outbreaks in both hospitals and communities.<sup>1–3</sup> The most recent outbreak has been in Riyadh.<sup>4</sup> On September 11th, 2015, a laboratory-confirmed MERS case was diagnosed in a tertiary care hospital in Jeddah. This report describes the index case investigation that took place.

## Methods

### Clinical setting

King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital is a 480-bed tertiary care hospital that serves military personnel, their families, and other community members in the western region of Saudi Arabia. There are 68 beds in the emergency department (ED) where admitted medical patients sometimes have extended stays due to bed limitations in the medical ward.

### Index case tracing

Patients nursed by patient A (a nurse) were identified using ED records and those who remained in hospital were screened for MERS-CoV.

\* Corresponding author. Address: Division of Infectious Diseases, King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Tel.: +966 (12) 232 8888x43003; fax: +966 (12) 665 2469.

E-mail address: [sarah.shalhoub@googlemail.com](mailto:sarah.shalhoub@googlemail.com) (S. Shalhoub).

## Confirming MERS diagnosis

Diagnosis of MERS was confirmed in the same centre where the investigation took place using a reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (PCR) diagnostic kit (MERS-Coronavirus EMC Orf1a and SA1 upstream E-gene, Light Mix Modular Assays; Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Results were obtained within 6–8 h.

## Results

### Patient A

Patient A was a 42-year-old nurse, with no significant medical history, who worked in the ED of a tertiary care hospital. She travelled back from a 28-day-long vacation in the Philippines on August 28th, 2015, and worked three ED shifts on August 30th, 31st, and September 1st. Patient A exhibited fever and dry cough on September 4th. She sought medical advice in the ED, she was initially given antipyretics, and she was thought to have a transient viral upper respiratory tract infection. Chest X-ray initially revealed no infiltrates. She was later referred to an internal medicine clinic on September 7th and was discharged home on antipyretic medications.

She was admitted to the hospital on September 10th with fever and epistaxis. Her laboratory investigations revealed a reduced white blood cell count of  $3.7 \times 10^9/L$  and platelet counts of  $143 \times 10^9/L$ , and she was therefore admitted with a provisional diagnosis of dengue fever. Chest radiography revealed lobar infiltrates, and, due to a working diagnosis of viral pneumonia, she was placed in a negative pressure isolation room in ED. Two nasopharyngeal swab (NP) samples taken for MERS-CoV reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT–PCR) were negative. A subsequent sputum sample obtained on September 11th tested positive for MERS-CoV.

### Infection control measures

Due to lack of vacant beds in the medical unit, initially patient A remained in the ED in a neutral room for 6 h, and was later transferred to a negative pressure isolation room. Once the MERS-CoV diagnosis was confirmed, patient A was moved to a negative pressure isolation room in the intensive care unit (ICU). Both rooms in the ED were terminally disinfected.

Infection control measures were taken as follows: all patients present in ED on the day of patient A's diagnosis were screened for MERS-CoV using lower respiratory tract samples or NP samples using RT–PCR. Contacts of patient A were divided between close contacts (defined as unprotected exposure within a 1.5 m distance for >10 min) or non-close contacts through personal interviews by infection control practitioners.<sup>5</sup> Fifteen nurses (including one room-mate and two flat mates) and five physicians were deemed to be close contacts. All were asymptomatic and screening NP samples were negative for MERS-CoV. They were monitored for symptoms for 14 days from the last day of unprotected exposure.

### Index patient investigation

The patients nursed by patient A prior to the onset of her illness on August 30th, 31st, and September 1st were identified

as follows: two patients in ICU2 on mechanical ventilators, one patient in main ICU on mechanical ventilator, three patients in ED [one in C bay, one in B bay (patient B) on a mechanical ventilator and one in code room 2] (Figure 1), three deceased patients (all deceased patients had been taken for burial), one patient in medical ward II, and five discharged patients. The discharged patients were contacted; three tested negative and the remaining two had no symptoms and remained well for 14 days after the last day of exposure.

Two tracheal aspirate samples from the ventilated patients and two sputum samples from non-ventilated patients were tested for MERS-CoV RT–PCR. Initial NP samples taken from patient B on September 11th and 12th tested negative for MERS-CoV. A tracheal aspirate sample obtained on September 15th tested positive for MERS-CoV.

### Patient B

Patient B was a 72-year-old woman, with a background of diabetes mellitus and right lower limb ischaemia. She was admitted on August 30th with shortness of breath and right foot gangrene. The patient had travelled to Amman, Jordan for femoral–popliteal artery bypass surgery on August 18th due to right lower limb ischaemia. On admission, the patient required oxygen 2 L/min through nasal prongs. Examination findings included a conscious patient with a temperature of 38.2°C, infected right groin wound and a right gangrenous foot.

Respiratory tract examination revealed coarse crackles at lung bases. Screening for MERS-CoV on September 2nd through RT–PCR using NP swab was negative and the patient was not producing any sputum. Her fever resolved on September 5th following treatment with broad-spectrum antibiotics for the infected groin wound and a urinary tract infection. However, due to respiratory failure and increased oxygen requirements she required endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation on September 11th. A tracheal aspirate sample obtained after intubation tested MERS-CoV RT–PCR positive on September 15th.

### Infection control measures

Case B was initially admitted for three days to the critical care area (code room) in the ED. She was then moved to the holding bay for three days and finally to B bay (Figure 1). Names and medical record numbers of patients who had been admitted to these areas on the same days were collected. Of those, 11 remained in the hospital and were screened for MERS-CoV using a minimum of two samples including tracheal aspirates, sputum, or, if neither unavailable, NP swabs. Patients who had fever, cough, leucopenia, hypo-oxygenation or infiltrates on chest X-ray were considered highly suspected MERS-CoV cases and were therefore moved to negative pressure isolation rooms while screening was undertaken. Highly suspected MERS-CoV cases were kept in negative pressure isolation rooms until there were three negative respiratory samples from three consecutive days. A total of 23 patients were screened. A patient who died an hour after confirmation of the MERS-CoV diagnosis in patient B was screened for MERS-CoV using a tracheal aspirate sample, and tested negative, before the body was released for burial. Patients who had been discharged were contacted and symptoms elicited. Screening for

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3371406>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3371406>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)