ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Schizophrenia Research



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/schres

# Epidemiological trends in psychosis-related Emergency Department visits in the United States, 1992–2001

Anand Pandya<sup>a,b</sup>, Gregory Luke Larkin<sup>c,\*</sup>, Ryan Randles<sup>d</sup>, Annette L. Beautrais<sup>e</sup>, Rebecca P. Smith<sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, United States

<sup>b</sup> Department of Psychiatry, NYU School of Medicine, United States

<sup>c</sup> Department of Surgery, Division of Emergency Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, United States

<sup>d</sup> Department of Surgery, Division of Emergency Medicine, University of Texas, Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, United States

<sup>e</sup> Department of Psychological Medicine, University of Otago, Christchurch, New Zealand

f Department of Psychiatry, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, United States

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 August 2008 Received in revised form 12 December 2008 Accepted 17 December 2008 Available online 20 March 2009

Keywords: Psychosis Epidemiology Emergency Departments NHAMCS Mental health

#### ABSTRACT

Mental health visits represented an increasing fraction of all Emergency Department (ED) visits in the U.S. between 1992 and 2001. This study used the National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, a 4-staged probability sample of ED visits from geographically diverse hospitals around the U.S., to assess the contribution of all psychosis-related visits to this overall trend. Unlike other mental-health-related ED visits, the rate of psychosis-related visits did not increase. This lack of change is notable in the context of dramatic changes in both healthcare financing and antipsychotic prescribing practices during this period. There was an unexpected decrease in Medicare-funded psychosis-related ED visits at a time of increasing Medicare enrollment overall. An important demographic trend over this decade was the increasing urbanization of psychosis-related ED visits coincident with a relative decrement in such visits within rural areas.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Mental illness constitutes the second-largest disease burden in the United States (Hansen and Elliott, 1993). Changes in financing since 1990 have ushered in an era where treatment for these diseases is restricted, fragmented, managed, outpatient, and out-of-pocket: access remains an issue (Appelbaum, 2003; Lamb and Weinberger, 2005; New Freedom Commission on Mental Health, 2003).

A few population data characterize prevalence or trends in acute service utilization among patients with serious mental illness (SMI). The Healthcare for Communities Survey showed increased ED use by SMI patients, but the study was cross-sectional, of small sample size (n = 170) and lacked annual, population-based, longitudinal data (Mechanic and Bilder, 2004). The only nationally-representative study of trends in

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* gluke.larkin@yale.edu (G.L. Larkin). mental health services in the 1990s reported increased utilization (12% in 1990–92 to 20% in 2000–02) (Kessler et al., 2005), independent of both socio-demographic factors and illness severity. However, this survey lacked information about ED utilization for psychosis. Understanding ED utilization is important: In the U.S. only EDs provide this vulnerable population with guaranteed access to medical care (Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services: *EMTALA*; Fields et al., 2001).

From 1992 to 2001 ED mental health visits increased overall (38%) (Larkin et al., 2005), as did visits for anxiety (Smith et al., 2008) and suicide attempts (Larkin et al., 2008). However, no studies have examined national trends in psychosis-related ED visits from 1992–2001. We sought to address this gap using a national probability sample.

#### 2. Methods

Conducted annually, the National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey's (NHAMCS) ED component measures

<sup>0920-9964/\$ –</sup> see front matter @ 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2008.12.015

emergency health care utilization, employing a 4-stage probability sample of visits to U.S. non-institutional general and short-stay hospitals, excluding federal, military, and Veterans Affairs facilities (Ciompi, 1987; Cohen, 1993; Cohen et al., 2000, 1996; Cohen and Kochanowicz, 1989; Cohen and Talavera, 2000; Cohler and Beeler, 1996; Cohler and Ferrono, 1987). NHAMCS covers geographic primary sampling units (approximately 112), hospitals within primary sampling units (approximately 600 total), EDs within hospitals, and patients within EDs (http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/about/major/ahcd/ ahcd1.htm). Data are collected by hospital staff during annual, randomly-assigned, 4-week periods, and coded using ICD-9-CM (International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification, 1991).

ED visits from 1992–2001were categorized as mentalhealth-related if records met any of the three criteria:

- Diagnosis-based psychiatric problems (ICD-9-CM diagnoses 290.0–305; 307–310; 311–319.0 or V-codes 61.1– 71.02);
- 2. NCHS-assigned Patient Reason-for-Visit Classification codes related to mental health (79), 1100.0–1199.9; or
- 3. Injury E-codes related to suicide/suicide attempts, E950.0– E959.9.

Visits not meeting at least one of the above criteria were deemed non-mental-health visits. ICD-9-CM codes 290–319 were excluded if they lacked corresponding DSM-based diagnoses.

Psychotic disorder-related visits were assigned specific DSM-compatible categories: ICD 9 CM: 295–295.9 (Schizophrenia), 297.3 (*Folie á deux*), 298.8 (Brief Psychotic Disorder), 298.9 (Atypical Psychosis, or Psychosis NOS), and the non-DSM-based code used by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) to describe reasons-for-visit 1155.0 (delusions or hallucinations).

Absolute numbers of ED visits were estimated using census-based, NCHS-assigned patient weights. ED visit rates per-population were calculated using denominator estimates of the civilian, non-institutionalized US population, adjusted for under-enumeration (Census U Bot).

We analyzed cases by age, sex, race/ethnicity, insurance status, location in a metropolitan statistical area (MSA), and region of the country. We report only estimates with a relative standard error less than 30% and more than 29 raw data records per cell (Hing et al., 2003; McCaig, 2004). Confidence intervals (95%CI) for visit rates were calculated using the relative standard error of the estimate, controlling for weighting, four-stage sampling, and cluster effects using generalized estimating equations from SUDAAN-8.0 (Research Triangle Park, NC). "Least squares" linear regression was used for trend analysis (STATA 7.0, StataCorp, College Station, TX). Differences in continuous variables were assessed using two-tailed independent samples t-tests or repeated measures ANOVA. Bonferroni corrections (p < 0.01) were used to adjust for multiple comparisons between groups.

#### Table 1

Average rates for all mental health-related ED visits (MHRV) and psychosis-related ED visits.

	All mental health related visits (MHRV) $N_{est} = 52,774; 95\%$ CI = 49,676, 55,872					All psychosis visits $N_{est} = 5245$ ; 95% CI = 4577, 5913		
Total number estimated in 1000's ( $N_{est}$ ); 95% confidence interval (CI)								
Mean age (SE) years		39.5 (0.2) years					45.0 (0.7) years	
		N (actual sam	pled visits)	%	/1000 E visits	D Rate per 1000 US population (95% CI)	Rate per 1000 population (9	US % of MHRV 5% CI)
Overall		16,774		100	54.2	19.7(18.6,20.9)	2.0(1.7,2.2)	10.0
<15 years old		1451		8.7	21.6	8.1(7.1,9.1)	0.2(0.1,0.3)	2.5
15–29 years old		3951		23.6	51.8	21.6(19.7,23.4)	1.8(1.2,2.4)	8.3
30–49 years old		7212		43	81.7	26.4(24.4,28.3)	2.9(2.4,3.4)	10.9
50–69 years old		2411		14.4	58.1	16.5(14.8,18.1)	1.8(1.3,2.3)	10.9
70+ years old		1749		4.4	54.1	25.6(23.0,28.2)	3.6(2.5,4.6)	14.1
Female		8164		48.7	52.1	19.5(18.2,20.8)	1.8(1.5,2.1)	9.2
Male		8610		51.3	56.5	19.9(18.6,21.3)	2.2(1.8,2.5)	11.0
White, non-Hispanic		10,346		61.7	69.2	18.6(17.4,19.8)	1.7(1.4,2.0)	9.1
Black, non-Hispanic		3862		23	65.5	31.2(27.4,34.9)	4.2(3.2,5.2)	13.5
Hispanic (All)		1990		11.9	56.2	17.6(15.6,19.5)	1.3(0.8,1.8)	7.4
Other, non-Hispanic		576		3.4	64.5	12.0(9.4, 14.6)	1.5(0.4,2.6)	12.5
Northeast U.S. region		5502		32.8	66.4	24.6(22.5,26.7)	2.5(1.9,3.0)	10.2
Midwest U.S. region		3473		20.7	50.4	20.7(18.9,22.6)	2.4(1.8,2.9)	11.6
Southern U.S. Region		4368		26	47	17.4(16.1,18.7)	1.7(1.3,2.1)	9.8
Western U.S. Region		3431		20.5	60.5	18.1(16.6,19.7)	1.6(1.1,2.0)	8.8
Metropolitan EDs		14,850		88.5	55.7	19.7(18.5,20.9)	2.1(1.8,2.4)	10.7
Non-metropolitan EDs		1924		11.5	48.9	19.8(18.1,21.6)	1.6(1.1,2.0)	8.1
Ν	l (actual sampled	d visits) Sample % (ur		nweighted)		Population-based % of MI	HRV	% of psychosis visits
Insurance status								
Private 4	552		27.1%			29(27,30)%		15(10,19)%
Medicare 2	758		21.8%			17(16,19)%		30(26,35)%
Medicaid 3	657		21.8%			20(19,21)%		24(18,30)%
Self-pay 3	747		20.7%			21(19,22)%		15(12,20)%
Other 2	333		13.9%			13(12,15)%		16(10,20)%
Injury/poisoning visit 2	726		16.3%			30(29,32)%		18(13,23)%

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/339369

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/339369

Daneshyari.com