Seizure 23 (2014) 603–606

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Seizure

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/yseiz

Infections in status epilepticus: A retrospective 5-year cohort study

Johan Zelano [a,*], Fredrik Möller [a], Judith Dobesberger [b], Eugen Trinka [b], Eva Kumlien [a]

[a] Uppsala University, Department of Neuroscience, Neurology, 75185 Uppsala, Sweden
[b] Department of Neurology, Christian Doppler Klinik, Paracelsus Medical University Salzburg, Austria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 2 December 2013
Received in revised form 22 April 2014
Accepted 26 April 2014

Keywords:
Status epilepticus
Infection
ICU

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Status epilepticus (SE) has attracted renewed interest lately, and efforts are made to optimize every treatment stage. For refractory SE, optimal supporting care involves mechanical ventilation and intensive care unit (ICU) admission. Infections often complicate SE and recently a single-centre observational study demonstrated an association between infections and poor short-term outcome of SE in a cohort of severely ill patients. We have here attempted to replicate those findings in a different cohort.

Method: We performed a retrospective observational study and included all patients with a diagnosis of SE during 2008–2012 at a Swedish tertiary referral centre.

Results: The cohort consisted of 103 patients (53% female, 47% male, median age 62 years, range 19–87 years). In house mortality was less than 2 and 70% of the patients' were discharged home. The most common aetiologies of SE were uncontrolled epilepsy (37%) and brain tumours (16%). A total of 39 patients suffered infections during their stay. Presence of infection was associated with mechanical ventilation (OR 3.344, 95% CI 1.44–7.79) as well as not being discharged home (OR2.705, 95% CI 1.14–6.44), and duration of SE was significantly longer in patients with infection (median 1 day vs. 2.5 days, $p < 0.001$).

Conclusion: We conclude that the previously described association between infections, a longer SE duration, and an unfavourable outcome of SE seems valid also in SE of less severe aetiology.

© 2014 British Epilepsy Association. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Status epilepticus (SE) is a neurological emergency requiring rapid treatment. Over the last years, SE has attracted renewed interest and several studies have addressed important aspects of initial management, particularly first- or second-line antiepileptic medication.[1–3] In addition to the efforts concerning early management of SE, there is also substantial interest in the management of patients that fail to respond to initial treatment. There is little evidence from randomized trials to guide clinicians in this scenario, but fundamental to all treatment strategies is optimal supporting care pending resolution of seizure activity.[4–7] SE is frequently complicated by infections, which can be present either at onset of SE or are acquired during hospital stay. The risk of nosocomial infection is probably enhanced in medically refractory cases of SE, since such patients are often in need of general anaesthesia and consequently subject to ICU-associated risks and complications.[6]

In a recent study, Sutter et al. described infections during the course of SE and found an association between clinical short-term outcome and infections.[8] The occurrence of infections in that study was high; 35.6%, and infections were associated with longer duration of SE, greater risk of refractory SE, and higher mortality. In the discussion, the authors prudently noted that the results might be confounded by a high proportion of the cohort suffering from acute symptomatic SE due to hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy, a condition with detrimental prognosis. As this study was performed in a single tertiary centre, it needs to be replicated in another population. In Sweden, neurologists are typically not responsible for the care or patients with hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy, which presented an opportunity to investigate the incidence of infections in a cohort with aetiologies of SE different to the cohort described by Sutter et al. The aim of our study was therefore to assess if these findings were valid also in a cohort of patients with SE of less catastrophic aetiology, where optimal supporting care is very important, since the prognosis of SE itself is substantially better.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +46 186110000.
E-mail addresses: johan.zelano@neuro.uu.se, jzelano@gmail.com (J. Zelano).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.seizure.2014.04.012
1059-1311/© 2014 British Epilepsy Association. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Design and setting

The study design was a retrospective observational cohort study. All patients with SE during 5 consecutive years were included. The study was performed at Uppsala University Hospital in Sweden, a tertiary epilepsy centre, serving a population of 300,000 people for secondary care and 2,000,000 people for tertiary care. The study was approved by the local ethics committee in accordance with the standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and waived the requirement for informed consent.

2.2. Patients and data collection

We performed a search for all patients with a diagnosis of SE at the Department of neurology at Uppsala University Hospital (ICD-10 code G41) between 2008 and 2012. The initial search yielded 118 hits. The inclusion criteria were patients over 18 years that had suffered SE according to the diagnosis made by the treating physician. Exclusion criteria were insufficient or inaccessible medical records. Eight patients did not meet the inclusion criteria due to erroneous coding (had not suffered SE or were under 18 years of age), three patients were excluded because of restricted access to their medical records, and four record entries were duplicates. The included cohort consisted of 103 patients. The medical records were reviewed according to a predefined template. Data were anonymized prior to analysis.

2.3. Definitions

Assumed aetiology of SE, administered treatment, and presence of infections were all based on the entries made in the medical records by the treating physician. At our centre, we use an operational definition of SE as seizures lasting more than 5 min, or recurrence of seizure activity without recovery of consciousness after a seizure. Refractory SE was defined as continuous seizure activity or relapse of seizure activity within 24 h after two medications. Super-refractory SE was defined as SE refractory to 24 h of general anaesthesia. Duration of SE was counted as the time from onset to the first day without seizure activity. If patients were sedated without EEG-monitoring, the time was counted until awakening from general anaesthesia without recurrence of seizure activity. EEG was performed in 44/59 patients with medically refractory SE and 5/5 patients with NCSE. Regarding infections, we used the time limit specified by the Centre for disease control and prevention (CDC) for surveillance purposes. Presence of an infection was determined based on laboratory values and notes in the medical records. Community-acquired infection was defined as an infection presenting within 48 h of admission. Nosocomial infection was defined as an infection presenting after 48 h after admission.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Demographic and clinical characteristics were described as continuous or categorical variables as appropriate. Fisher's exact test was used for categorical correlations. Correlation between infection and duration of SE was assessed using both Spearman's rank correlation and linear regression. In the correlation analyses, we excluded patients with missing values rather than extrapolating data points. Duration of SE in patients with and without infection was compared using the non-parametric Mann–Whitney test. p-Values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism version 5 for Mac (Graphpad Software Inc.).

3. Results

We first characterized the cohort (Table 1). A total of 103 patients were included, with a slight female predominance. The average age was 55 years and the two most common types of SE were generalized convulsive SE or focal motor SE with impaired consciousness. Seventy per cent of the patients had a history of epilepsy, and all but two patients with such a history were on antiepileptic drugs at the time of admission (69%).

The aetiology of SE was acute symptomatic in 30% of the patients and remote in 70%. The two most common aetiologies in the remote group were uncontrolled epilepsy (37%) and brain tumours (16%). None of the cases in the cohort was attributed to hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy. Considering severity, 57% of the patients had medically refractory SE, and 25% of the cases were super-refractory. A total of 47% received mechanical ventilation. Regarding short-term outcome, only two patients (1.9%) in the cohort died. The vast majority, 69%, were discharged home, whereas the other patients were discharged to regional hospitals or other care providers (e.g. nursing homes, rehabilitation facilities, etc.). The standard treatment at our facility is a benzodiazepine followed by phosphenytoin, and in refractory cases sedation with propofol. This was followed in the majority of cases (Table 2).

We then determined the incidence of infections in our cohort (Table 3). A total of 23 patients (22%) suffered community-acquired infections. The most common infections were pneumonia and urinary tract infections (UTI). We detected a total of 29 cases

Table 1
Clinical features of SE and treatment. GCSE, generalized convulsive SE, FCSE, focal convulsive SE, NCSE, non-convulsive SE. Refractory SE = continued or relapse within 24 h of seizure activity after two medications. Super-refractory SE = SE refractory to 24 h of anaesthesia.

Demographics		
Gender	*n*	%
Female	55	53
Male	48	47
Age	Years	±SD/range
Mean	55	±19.5
Median	62	19–87
Clinical features	*n*	%
History of epilepsy	73	71
On AED at time of admission	71	69
Type of SE		
GCSE	58	56
FCSE with impaired consciousness	33	32
FCSE without impaired consciousness	7	6.7
NCSE	5	4.8
Aetiology		
Acute symptomatic	31	30
Brain trauma/surgery	6	5.8
CNS-infection	4	3.8
Cerebrovascular	3	2.9
Alcohol	3	2.9
Cryptogenic/other	15	15
Hypoxic-ischaemic	0	0
Remote	71	69
Uncontrolled epilepsy	38	37
Brain tumour	16	16
Cerebrovascular	13	13
Neurodegenerative	1	<1
Other/unknown	3	2.9
Severity of SE		
Refractory SE	59	57
Super-refractory SE	26	25
Mechanical ventilation	48	47
Short-term outcome		
Discharge home	71	69
Discharge to other provider	30	29
Death	2	1.9

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/340557

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/340557

Daneshyari.com