



The impact of onset time on the isolated pathogens and outcomes in ventilator associated pneumonia

Raymond Khan^a, Hasan M. Al-Dorzi^a, Hani M. Tamim^b,
Asgar H. Rishu^c, Hanan Balkhy^d, Aiman El-Saed^e,
Yaseen M. Arabi^{f,*}

^a Intensive Care Department, King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, King Abdulaziz Medical City Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

^b College of Medicine, King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, King Abdulaziz Medical City Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

^c Intensive Care Department, King Abdulaziz Medical City Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

^d Infection Prevention & Control Program, King Abdulaziz Medical City, King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

^e Infection Prevention & Control Department, College of Public Health and Health Informatics, King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, King Abdulaziz Medical City Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

^f Intensive Care Department, College of Medicine, King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, King Abdulaziz Medical City PO Box 22490, Riyadh 11426, Saudi Arabia

Received 10 December 2014; received in revised form 28 July 2015; accepted 4 September 2015

KEYWORDS

Ventilator-associated pneumonia;
Early onset;
Late-onset;

Summary Several guidelines base the empirical therapy of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) on the time of onset. However, there is emerging evidence that the isolated microorganisms may be similar regardless of onset time. This study evaluated the characteristics and outcomes of VAP with different onset times. All of the mechanically ventilated patients admitted to the ICU of a 900-bed tertiary-care hospital between 01/08/2003 and 31/12/2010 were prospectively followed for

Abbreviations: VAP, ventilator associated pneumonia; ICU, intensive care unit; MV, mechanical ventilation; NHSN, National Healthcare Safety Network; HAI, healthcare-associated infection; MDRO, multi-drug resistant organism; EE-VAP, early onset VAP/early hospital admission; EL-VAP, early onset VAP/late hospital admission; LL-VAP, late-onset/late hospital admission; HR, hazard ratios; CIs, confidence intervals.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +966 1 8011111x18855/18877; fax: +966 1 8011111x18888.

E-mail addresses: raymondkhan@yahoo.com (R. Khan), aldorzih@yahoo.com (H.M. Al-Dorzi), hani.t@hotmail.com (H.M. Tamim), rishu421@yahoo.com (A.H. Rishu), balkhyh@ngha.med.sa (H. Balkhy), ramadana@ngha.med.sa (A. El-Saed), yaseenarabi@yahoo.com (Y.M. Arabi).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2015.09.002>

1876-0341/© 2015 King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences. Published by Elsevier Limited. All rights reserved.

Microbiology;
Outcomes;
Critically ill

VAP development according to the National Healthcare Safety Network criteria. The patients were categorized into four groups: EO if VAP occurred within 4 days of intubation and hospital admission; LO if VAP occurred after 4 days of admission; EL if VAP occurred within 4 days of intubation, but after the fourth hospitalization day; and LL if VAP occurred after the fourth day of intubation and hospitalization. Out of the 394 VAP episodes, 63 (16%) were EO episodes, 331 (84.0%) were LO episodes, 40 (10.1%) were EL episodes and 291 (73.1%) were LL episodes. The isolated microorganisms were comparable among the four groups, with a similar rate of potentially multidrug resistant organisms in the EO-VAP (31.7%), LO-VAP (40.8%), EL-VAP (37.5%) and LL-VAP (43.3%) samples. The hospital mortality was 24% for EO-VAP cases, 28% for LO-VAP cases, 40% for EL-VAP cases and 49% for LL-VAP cases. However, in the adjusted multivariate analysis, neither LO-VAP, EL-VAP nor LL-VAP was associated with an increased risk of hospital mortality compared with EO-VAP (OR, 0.86 95% CI, 0.34–2.19; 1.22; 95% CI, 0.41–3.68, and 0.95; 95% CI, 0.43–2.10, respectively). In this study, the occurrence of potential multidrug resistant pathogens and the mortality risk were similar regardless of VAP timing from hospital admission and intubation. The bacterial isolates obtained from the VAP cases did not follow an early vs. late-onset pattern, and thus, these terms may not be clinically helpful.

© 2015 King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences. Published by Elsevier Limited. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is a common device-related healthcare-associated infection (HAI), with an incidence in critically ill patients ranging between 6 and 52% [1–3]. VAP remains a principal cause of morbidity, mortality and economic burden in intensive care units (ICUs) [4]. In a recent study, VAP was the third most common HAI infection, accounting for 31.7% of the annual cost of HAIs to the American health care system [5]. Additionally, it accounts for approximately half of all antibiotics prescribed to critically ill patients [6].

The concept of early and late-onset VAP was introduced in 1986 by Mandelli and colleagues [7]. Traditionally, early onset VAP is caused by antibiotic-sensitive bacteria and has a better prognosis. In contrast, late-onset VAP is more likely to be caused by multi-drug resistant organisms (MDROs) and is associated with increased mortality and morbidity [8]. However, there is widespread variation among researchers in defining the exact time that differentiates early from late VAP, with the time for “early” ranging from 2 to 6 days in the published data [8–17]. Conflict also exists in selecting the inception point; is it the date of admission or the date of intubation/device? [8–17]

Uncertainty exists in the current literature as to whether the classification of early vs. late VAP is

clinically important [11,17–20]. Furthermore, this labeling does not clearly account for “early onset VAP” in patients with prolonged hospital stays. Compared with patients who are recently admitted and develop “early onset VAP,” patients with extended hospitalization who subsequently develop “early onset VAP” may constitute a special population, with distinctive risk factors and microbial flora, thus requiring careful thought when selecting their empiric antibiotic regimen and prevention measures. Therefore, we performed this study to ascertain if in fact this categorization still bears any medical significance and also to assess the most prevalent microorganisms responsible for VAP in these groups.

Materials and methods

Patients and setting

This prospective observational cohort study was performed in a 21-bed closed medical-surgical-trauma adult ICU at King Abdulaziz Medical City in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, from August 1, 2003, to December 31, 2010. The ICU admitted approximately 900 patients annually and was covered by onsite board-certified intensivists 24 h per day, 7 days per week [21] with a nurse-to-patient ratio

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3405871>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3405871>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)