



Identification of risk factors for cystic echinococcosis in a peri-urban population of Peru

Pedro L. Moro^{a,*}, Carlos A. Caveró^b, Moises Tambini^b,
Yuri Briceño^c, Rosario Jiménez^c, Lilia Cabrera^c

^a Immunization Safety Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road MS-D26, Atlanta, GA 30333, USA

^b Department of Surgery, Hospital Nacional Hipólito Unánue, Lima, Perú

^c A.B. Prisma (Proyectos in informática, salud, medicina y agricultura), Calle Carlos González 251, Urb. Maranga, San Miguel, Lima, Perú

Received 8 June 2007; received in revised form 18 September 2007; accepted 18 September 2007
Available online 18 October 2007

KEYWORDS

Echinococcosis;
Hydatidosis;
Epidemiology;
Risk factors;
Case–control;
Peru

Summary We conducted a questionnaire-based case–control study to identify risk factors for cystic echinococcosis (CE) in Lima, Peru during July–December 2005. Data were obtained from 32 cases and 64 controls. Multivariate conditional logistic regression showed that having owned ≥ 10 dogs [adjusted odds ratio (AOR) 8.7, 95% CI 1.3–57.5] and raising sheep (AOR 5.9, 95% CI 1.2–28.1) were independently associated with CE. The belief that CE could be transmitted by food (AOR 0.1, 95% CI 0.01–0.7) and breeding goats (AOR 0.02, 95% CI 0.001–0.6) were protective factors against CE transmission. Our results suggest that preventive measures to decrease the transmission of echinococcosis to humans in Peru should include limiting the number of dogs owned and encouraging owners to restrict dogs' access to food and water used for human consumption.

© 2007 Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Cystic echinococcosis (CE) is caused by infection with the larval stage of the cestode *Echinococcus granulosus*. CE occurs in most continents and is an important public health problem in the sheep-raising areas of Argentina, southern

Brazil, Chile, Peru and Uruguay (Craig and Larrieu, 2006; Moro and Schantz, 2006). Despite a surgical incidence of 123 CE cases per 100 000 and CE prevalences as high as 5% in some areas of Peru there are currently no ongoing control programs (Moro et al., 1997). No epidemiological studies have specifically measured risk factors for CE in the human population in Peru. Identifying those practices that increase the risk of CE transmission to humans is necessary for the design of effective control interventions. We conducted a case–control study to identify areas where preventive efforts may be targeted.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 404 639 8946;
fax: +1 404 639 8834.
E-mail address: psm9@cdc.gov (P.L. Moro).

Table 1 Univariate analysis of risk factors associated with an increased risk of cystic echinococcosis (CE) in a peri-urban population of Peru

Risk factor	Cases (<i>n</i> = 32) <i>n</i> (%) ^a	Controls (<i>n</i> = 64) <i>n</i> (%) ^a	Crude odds ratio (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value
Past occupation				
Worked at a slaughterhouse	4 (13)	1 (2)	8 (0.9–71.6)	0.06
Worked at home	14 (44)	20 (31)	4.3 (0.8–21.9)	0.08
Pastoralist	11 (34)	26 (41)	0.8 (0.3–1.8)	0.6
Livestock raised				
Sheep	20 (63)	21 (33)	2.9 (1.3–7.0)	0.01
Cattle	21 (66)	33 (52)	1.8 (0.7–4.3)	0.2
Llamas	7 (22)	11 (17)	1.6 (0.4–6.0)	0.5
Goats	2 (6)	17 (27)	0.1 (0.01–0.8)	0.03
Slaughter practices				
Fed dogs offal of slaughtered animals	14 (44)	9 (15)	6.5 (1.8–23.4)	0.004
Home slaughter of livestock	12 (38)	5 (11)	6.3 (0.7–53.3)	0.09
Has seen hydatid cysts in viscera of slaughtered livestock	15 (50)	16 (36)	1.9 (0.5–6.7)	0.3
Sources and storage of water				
Stored water in covered and uncovered containers	13 (41)	11 (17)	3.6 (1.2–10.5)	0.02
Stored water in uncovered containers	6 (19)	6 (9)	2.2 (0.7–7.2)	0.2
Drank water from stream	20 (63)	42 (66)	0.8 (0.3–2.3)	0.7
Drank tap water but boiled it	1 (3)	3 (5)	0.7 (0.07–6.41)	0.7
Stored water in covered containers	6 (19)	24 (38)	0.4 (0.1–1.2)	0.09
Drank tap water without boiling it	1 (3)	15 (23)	0.1 (0.01–0.8)	0.03
Beliefs about echinococcosis transmission				
Believes CE can be acquired from food	4 (13)	30 (47)	0.2 (0.1–0.6)	0.004
Believes CE can be acquired by drinking water	2 (6)	23 (36)	0.2 (0.03–0.7)	0.01
Association with dogs				
Dogs had access to containers used to store water	24 (75)	4 (8)	33.3 (4.5–248.3)	0.001
Played with dogs during childhood	31 (97)	44 (71)	9.7 (1.3–74.3)	0.03
Has seen dogs being fed with hydatid-infected viscera	17 (55)	10 (19)	9.5 (2.1–42.9)	0.003
Dogs had access to dining area	24 (75)	14 (31)	5.8 (1.9–17.3)	0.002
Has owned ≥10 dogs	12 (38)	6 (10)	4.9 (1.6–15.4)	0.002
Fed viscera to dogs	18 (56)	17 (27)	3.4 (1.4–8.4)	0.009

^a Percentages are calculated on the basis of the number of cases and controls who responded.

2. Materials and methods

Cases were enrolled at the Department of Surgery of Hospital Nacional Hipolito Unanue in Lima, Peru from July to December 2005. Cases were defined as patients hospitalized with a diagnosis of CE and in whom CE was later confirmed at surgery. Cases with a previous history of echinococcosis were not enrolled in the study, to minimize recall bias when completing the questionnaire. Most cases were immigrants from the Andean region with a varying length of residence in Lima city. Controls with similar demographic characteristics were selected from a shantytown community in Lima. A census of this community was used to randomly select two controls, matched by gender, age and place of birth with a case. All controls enrolled in the study had no known history of echinococcosis.

A structured questionnaire was developed to collect demographic information. It also included questions on practices thought to be associated with an increased risk of echinococcosis transmission, participants' knowledge and

beliefs about echinococcosis transmission, and participants' association with dogs. The questionnaire was pilot tested in non-study patients before the study. Pictures of hydatid cysts were shown to all participants during the interview to aid recall and avoid confusion with other non-hydatid lesions.

The frequencies and percentages for each variable were first calculated. Univariate conditional logistic regression was used to calculate crude odds ratios (OR) and their 95% CIs to identify factors associated with CE. Significant ($P < 0.05$) associations were further examined in multiple conditional logistic regression models to determine adjusted ORs (AORs) and the individual contribution of each factor. Forward and backward stepwise conditional logistic regressions were used to select the best model fit. The analysis was performed using STATA software, version 8.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

Informed consent was obtained from all study participants who were informed of the purpose, benefits and risks of the study.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3421453>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3421453>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)