Accumulation of LANA at nuclear matrix fraction is important for Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus replication in latency

Eriko Ohsaki, Tohru Suzuki, Masato Karayama, Keiji Ueda *

Department of Infectious Diseases, University of Hamamatsu School of Medicine, 1-20-1 Handayama, Higashi-ku, Hamamatsu, Shizuoka 431-3192, Japan

ABSTRACT

The Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) genome replicates once per cell cycle, and the number of viral genome is maintained in the latency. The host cell-cycle-dependent replication of the viral genome is a fundamental process to critically keep the number of the genome. Here we show that the cellular pre-replication complex (pre-RC), the viral replication origin (ori-P) in a unit of the terminal repeat of the KSHV genome, and a viral replication factor, latency-associated nuclear antigen (LANA) accumulate at the nuclear matrix fraction in the G1 phase. We found not only that LANA itself was localized mainly to the nuclear matrix fraction but also that TR region of the KSHV genome existed together in the G1 phase. The localization of LANA at the nuclear matrix could be determined by structural consequence of the full length of LANA. Furthermore, transient replication assay revealed that the LANA's nuclear matrix localization was a pre-requisite for the efficient viral genome replication in the latency. Since LANA has been shown to bind the LANA binding sites (LBS) of the ori-P, these results suggest that LANA should recruit the ori-P to the nuclear matrix, where the complete pre-RC then forms on the ori-P, during the G1 phase. Thus, the nuclear matrix accumulation of cellular and viral replication factors is likely to be a key process for the initiation of replication of KSHV in the latency.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) is a gamma-herpesvirus associated with Kaposi's sarcoma (Boshoff and Weiss, 2001; Chang et al., 1994; Chang and Moore, 1996) primary effusion lymphomas (PEL), and multicentric Castleman's disease (Cesarman et al., 1995; Chang et al., 1994; Chang and Moore, 1996). Like all other herpesviruses, KSHV displays both latent and lytic infection. In fact, in most KSHV-infected cells, the virus is in the latent state, and the viral genome replicates according to the cell cycle, maintaining a constant number of viral genomes. Thus, the viral genome has to replicate once per cell cycle in the host cells and segregate accurately into the two daughter cells. In the latent phase, only a limited set of viral genes is expressed and among them, latency-associated nuclear antigen (LANA), one of the major latent proteins, is essential for the episomal maintenance and the replication of KSHV genome in latency (Ballestas et al., 1999; Cotter and Robertson, 1999; Hu et al., 2002).

Replication of KSHV genome is thought to be executed using host replication machinery. This includes the pre-replication complex (pre-RC), which contains ORC1–6 (ORCs), Ccd6, Cdt1, MCM1–7

(MCMs), and other factors and is set up on a replication origin prior to initiation (Bell, 2002; DePamphilis, 2003; Ohsaki et al., 2004). Previous studies have demonstrated that the viral terminal repeat region and LANA play key roles in the latent replication of KSHV (Fejer et al., 2003; Hu et al., 2002; Stedman et al., 2004; Verma et al., 2006). An interaction between LANA and ORCs has been reported to be detected by GST-ORC pull-down, immunoprecipitation followed by immunoblotting, and ChIP assays (Lim et al., 2002; Verma et al., 2006). It is, however, still unclear, how LANA is involved in the KSHV genome replication and how one viral replication origin (ori-P), which consists of LANA binding sites (LBS) and a 32-bp GC-rich segment among multiple copies of them is determined and how components of the pre-RC are specifically recruited to the ori-P region in the latency. In their model, LANA binds to the LBS in the ori-P and recruits ORCs there by direct interaction between LANA and ORCs. N-terminal deletion of LANA, which is supposed to maintain binding activity to LBS and ORCs, cannot support the viral replication (Garber et al., 2001; Lim et al., 2002; Verma et al., 2006).

As for Epstein–Barr virus (EBV), it also replicates dependent on cell cycle in latency. In this case, EBNA1 binds with its ori-P and recruits ORCs by directly binding ORCs (Chaudhuri et al., 2001; Dhar et al., 2001; Ritzi et al., 2003; Schepers et al., 2001; Sugden, 2002). And also, it was reported that nuclear matrix had a function for EBV replication in the latency and the lytic replication (Mattia et

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 53 435 2336; fax: +81 53 435 2336.
E-mail address: kueda@hama-med.ac.jp (K. Ueda).

al., 1999). The detail, however, remains to be elucidated (Sugden, 2002).

Recently, the importance of nuclear matrix as the site of replication factory has been realized (Anachkova et al., 2005; Jackson and Cook, 1995), and many nuclear matrix-associated proteins have been identified (Mika and Rost, 2005; Radichev et al., 2005). It is well-known that chromatin is arranged into repeating loop domains of 50–200 kb in the interphase nucleus (Cook and Brazell, 1975; Pardoll et al., 1980; Vogelstein et al., 1980). These chromatin loops are anchored to the nuclear matrix by scaffold/matrix attachment regions (S/MARs), which bind to specific components on the nuclear matrix. It is proposed that the organization of chromatin into higher-order structure is mediated by clustering of these repeating loops. This higher-order clustering of loop domains is thought to be a fundamental feature of the functional units of chromatin in the cell nucleus. Consistent with this concept, DNA replication foci have been visualized in the nucleus of cell preparations that preserve the nuclear matrix structures (Berezney et al., 1995; Nakayasu and Berezney, 1989; Neri et al., 1992). Similarly, transcription sites in the cell nucleus are proposed to be composed of clusters of transcriptional units attached to the nuclear matrix as a transcriptosome (Cook, 1999; Jackson and Cook, 1995; Wei et al., 1999).

In this study, we demonstrated that LANA, the ori-P, and the pre-RCs were associated with the nuclear matrix and that the nuclear matrix accumulation of LANA was likely to be a key process for the initiation of replication of KSHV in the latency, suggesting that the nuclear matrix is important for the replication initiation site for the KSHV genome. Our findings suggest a model in which the ori-P is recruited to the nuclear matrix region by LANA, which accumulates there on its own, via LANA-binding sites within the TR. The LANA-bound ori-P is then ready for pre-RC placement. This model does not necessarily require LANA to interact with the pre-RC components directly, since the cellular pre-RCs itself accumulates at the nuclear matrix (Jenke et al., 2004; Radichev et al., 2005), though it does not explain necessity of GC-rich 32 bp replicator (RE) for the viral replication in the latency.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plasmids

pGEX-hORC1 (a gift from Dr. Hiroyoshi Ariga, Hokkaido Univ.) (Takayama et al., 2000) was digested with BamHI and SalI and inserted into the BglII/SalI site of the pEGFP-C1 vector (Clontech) to construct the pEGFP-ORC1. As for V5 tagged expression vectors, the full length of LANA ORF (vFL), and vΔCBS, in which a chromosome binding site up to 106aa was deleted, and vΔN, in which N-terminal amino acids up to 496aa was deleted, and vDBD1, which contained a DNA biding domain of LANA from 922aa to 1162aa as described elsewhere (Sakakibara et al., 2004), were fused with the V5 tag at C-terminus of each construct. EGFP tagged expression vectors, gFL, which contained the full length of LANA, and gN, which contained N-terminal 273aa of LANA, were fused with EGFP at N-terminus. gN-DBD contained the N-terminal 273aa plus C-terminal part from 922aa to 1162aa in frame and EGFP was fused with its N-terminus in this construct. gL321 contained N-terminal 107aa of LANA, and EGFP was fused to the C-terminus in this case. BSII-TR6 is a plasmid containing six TR units in the XbaI site of the pBluescirpt II vector (Stratagene).

2.2. Cells

BC3, a KSHV-positive and EBV-negative primary effusion lymphoma cell line, was grown in RPMI 1640 (Nissui, Tokyou, Japan)

supplemented with 10 i.u. per milliliter penicillin G, 10 μg per milliliter streptomycin, and 20% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS). BJAB, a KSHV-negative and EBV-negative Burkitt lymphoma cell line, was grown under the same conditions, with 10% heat-inactivated FBS.

A human embryonic kidney cell line HEK293 was grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Nissui, Tokyo, Japan) with the same supplements as used for the BJAB cells. 293LANA cells, which were obtained by introducing a retroviral vector, pHyTc-LANA, which constitutively expresses LANA, into HEK293 cells (Sakakibara et al., 2004), were cultured under the same conditions as the HEK293 cells, except that 0.2 mg/ml hygromycin B (Wako Pure Chemicals, Osaka, Japan) was added. 293hyg cells were obtained by introducing the parental pHyTc vector and cultured as the 293LANA cells. All cells were cultured in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere.

GFP-ORC1/BC3 cells were generated by introducing pEGFP-ORC1, in which an EGFP gene was followed by the full-length orc1 gene in the pEGFP-C1 vector (Clontech) (see below), with TransFectin® (BioRad) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and cultured for 2 days. Two days after transfection, the cells were exposed to 500 μg/ml G418, cultured for 7 more days, and individual G418-resistant colonies were obtained in RPMI medium containing 0.15% methylcellulose and the same supplements as above. Isolation was repeated at least three times to obtain a completely single clone, and several independent clones were established.

2.3. Cell fractionation

Cells were fractionated as described (Belgrader et al., 1991; Payrastre et al., 1992; Radichev et al., 2005; Reyes et al., 1997). Briefly, 2×10^6 cells were harvested and suspended in 200 μl CSK buffer (100 mM NaCl, 300 mM Sucrose, 3 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM PIPES [pH 6.8], 0.5% Triton X-100, protease inhibitor cocktail [Sigma Cat #. P8340], 0.5 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]). After centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$ for 5 min at 4 °C, the supernatant (the nucleo-cytoplasmic fraction; Sup1) was separated from the pellet, which was re-suspended in 200 μl CSK buffer and treated with 50 U/ml DNase I at 37 °C for 4 h. Ammonium sulfate was then added to this suspension to a final concentration of 0.25 M, and the sample was spun at $10,000 \times g$ for 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatant from this centrifugation contained the chromatin (Sup2). The pellet was further extracted with 200 μl 2 M NaCl in CSK buffer for 5 min at 4 °C, and then subjected to centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$ for 5 min. The supernatant fraction was collected and considered to contain histones and the other DNA (Sup3), and the pellet was considered to be the nuclear matrix-containing fraction. The pellet was finally solubilized in 200 μl 8 M Urea buffer (Sup4). For Western blotting, ten percent of each fraction (20 μl) was separated on an SDS-PAGE and subjected to the analysis. Each protein was probed with a respective specific antibody followed by appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP). The chemiluminescence image was taken as pictures with a lumino-image analyzer (LAS-3000®, Fujifilm, Co) and the band intensity was analyzed with Quantity One (BioRad). For PCR analysis of the associated DNA, each fraction was diluted in ten-fold volume of nuclear lysis buffer (Promega), and 0.2 mg/ml proteinase K and 0.1 mg/ml RNase A were added, and the mixture was incubated at 56 °C overnight. After a phenol–chloroform–isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) extraction, the aqueous phase was precipitated with ethanol, and the precipitated DNA was suspended in TE (10 mM Tris–HCl [pH 7.6], 1 mM EDTA). The concentration was measured with a spectrophotometer (DU640, Beckman) and the final DNA concentration was adjusted to 10 ng/μl. PCR was