

journal homepage: www.archives-pmr.org Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2016;97:1163-81



REVIEW ARTICLE (META-ANALYSIS)

Ottawa Panel Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines for Foot Care in the Management of Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis



Lucie Brosseau, PT, PhD,^a Karine Toupin-April, BSc OT, PhD,^b George Wells, PhD,^{c,d} Christine A. Smith, BHSc,^c Arlanna G. Pugh, BHSc,^e Jennifer N. Stinson, RN-EC, PhD, CPNP,^{f,g} Ciarán M. Duffy, MD, MSc,^{h,i} Wendy Gifford, RN, PhD,^j David Moher, PhD,^k Catherine Sherrington, PT, PhD,^l Sabrina Cavallo, PhD,^a Gino De Angelis, MSc,^a Laurianne Loew, PT, MScS,^a Prinon Rahman, MSc,^m Rachel Marcotte, BSc,ⁿ Jade Taki, BHSc,^o Jacinthe Bisaillon, BSc (ScInf),^j Judy King, PhD, MHSc, BHSc (PT),^p Andrea Coda, PhD,^q Gordon J. Hendry, PhD,^r Julie Gauvreau, DPodM,^s Martin Hayles, DPodM, MSc,^t Kay Hayles, DPodM, BSc,^t Brian Feldman, MD, MSc, FRCPC,^{u,v} Glen P. Kenny, PhD,ⁿ Jing Xian Li, PhD,ⁿ Andrew M. Briggs, PT, PhD,^w Rose Martini, OT, PhD,^x Debbie Ehrmann Feldman, PT, PhD,^y Désirée B. Maltais, PT, PhD,^z Susan Tupper, PT, PhD,^{aa} Sarah Bigford, PT, MSc,ⁱ Marg Bisch, BScPTⁱ

From the ^aFaculty of Health Sciences, School of Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; ^bDepartment of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; ^cDepartment of Epidemiology and Community Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; ^dCardiovascular Research Methods Center, University of Ottawa Heart Institute, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; ^eDepartment of Public Health Sciences, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada; ^fChild Health Evaluative Sciences, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ^gLawrence S. Bloomberg, Faculty of Nursing, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ^hDepartment of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; ¹Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; ¹Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Nursing Sciences, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; ^kClinical Epidemiology Program, Ottawa Methods Center, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; ^IMusculoskeletal Division, Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; ^mDepartment of Community Health and Epidemiology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada; "Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Human Kinetics, ^oInterdisciplinary School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, and ^PPhysiotherapy Program, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; ^aFaculty of Health and Medicine — Health Precinct, School of Health Sciences, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South Wales, Australia; ^rSchool of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, Lanarkshire, United Kingdom; ^sPodiatry Clinic of Outaouais, Hospital Boulevard, Gatineau, Québec, Canada; ^tHayles Foot and Ankle Clinic, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; ^uDepartment of Pediatrics and Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; "The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; "School of Physiotherapy and Exercise Science, Faculty of Health Sciences, Curtin University, Perth, Western Australia, Australia; ^xOccupational Therapy Program, Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; ^ySchool of Public

The Ottawa Panel comprises 2 specific groups of coauthors. The following coauthors (L.B., K.T.A., G.W., C.A.S., A.G.P., J.N.S., C.M.D., W.G., D.M., C.S., S.C., G.D.A., L.L., P.R., R.M., J.T., J.B., and J.K.) were the methodologists who developed the draft Ottawa Panel recommendations: The Ottawa Methods Group. The other coauthors (A.C., G.J.H., J.G., M.H., K.H., B.F., G.P.K., J.X.L., A.M.B., R.M., D.E.F., D.B.M., S.T., S.B., and M.B) were the Panel Experts who adopted the draft Ottawa Panel recommendations developed by the Ottawa Methods Group.

Supported by the University of Ottawa Research Chair (salary support for graduate students) and the Arthritis Health Professional Association (grant no. AHPA-TAS-15-001).

Disclosures: Two Ottawa Panel experts (A.C. and G.J.H.) responsible for endorsing guideline recommendations have also authored 2 included primary studies. Although a personal conflict of interest was identified, subjective bias from these authors has been removed because of the quantitative methodology used to produce Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline recommendations. The other authors have nothing to disclose.

Health, Option Epidemiology, University of Montreal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ^zPhysiotherapy Program, Department of Rehabilitation, Pavillon Ferdinand-Vandry, Université Laval, Québec, Quebec, Canada; and ^{aa}School of Physical Therapy, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada.

Abstract

Objective: To create evidence-based guidelines evaluating foot care interventions for the management of juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA). **Data Sources:** An electronic literature search of the following databases from database inception to May 2015 was conducted: MEDLINE (Ovid), EMBASE (Ovid), Cochrane CENTRAL, and clinicaltrials.gov.

Study Selection: The Ottawa Panel selection criteria targeted studies that assessed foot care or foot orthotic interventions for the management of JIA in those aged 0 to \leq 18 years. The Physiotherapy Evidence Database scale was used to evaluate study quality, of which only high-quality studies were included (score, \geq 5). A total of 362 records were screened, resulting in 3 full-text articles and 1 additional citation containing supplementary information included for the analysis.

Data Extraction: Two reviewers independently extracted study data (intervention, comparator, outcome, time period, study design) from the included studies by using standardized data extraction forms. Directed by Cochrane Collaboration methodology, the statistical analysis produced figures and graphs representing the strength of intervention outcomes and their corresponding grades (A, B, C+, C, C-, D+, D, D-). Clinical significance was achieved when an improvement of \geq 30% between the intervention and control groups was present, whereas *P*>.05 indicated statistical significance. An expert panel Delphi consensus (\geq 80%) was required for the endorsement of recommendations.

Data Synthesis: All included studies were of high quality and analyzed the effects of multidisciplinary foot care, customized foot orthotics, and shoe inserts for the management of JIA. Custom-made foot orthotics and prefabricated shoe inserts displayed the greatest improvement in pain intensity, activity limitation, foot pain, and disability reduction (grades A, C+).

Conclusions: The use of customized foot orthotics and prefabricated shoe inserts seems to be a good choice for managing foot pain and function in JIA. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2016;97:1163-81

© 2016 by the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine

Patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) accompanied by family members (eg, parents/guardians) as well as different types of health professionals, such as registered nurses, podiatrists, pediatricians, rheumatologists, and exercise physiologists, can refer to this evidence-based clinical practice guideline (EBCPG). Arthritis-based institutions and charity groups (eg, The Arthritis Society) may also find this EBCPG to be of interest. This guideline primarily targets those aged between 3 and 19 years with varying disease durations (1mo to 18y).

JIA is a prevalent chronic childhood autoimmune disease¹ that can cause disability in areas of the body with higher weightbearing demands, such as the foot. Foot problems (eg, inflammation and limitation of motion) often arise in patients with JIA because of affected joints, which consequently affect the feet and lead to pain, deformities,² and malalignment.³ Foot care and foot orthotics are often used by patients with rheumatoid arthritis⁴⁻⁸ and have been shown to relieve pain by adjusting biomechanical deformities and lower limb misalignments.⁹ Although deformities and foot pain are common to arthritis, foot care is infrequently considered as part of an overall management approach for JIA and represents a neglected area of study.¹⁰

The management of JIA is frequently viewed through a multidisciplinary lens, incorporating pharmacological and

List of abbreviations:	
CHAQ	Childhood Health and Assessment Questionnaire
EBCPG	evidence-based clinical practice guideline
FFI	Foot Function Index
JIA	juvenile idiopathic arthritis
MCID	minimal clinically important difference
PEDro	Physiotherapy Evidence Database
PedsQL	Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory
RCT	randomized control trial
VAS	visual analog scale

psychological interventions along with physical and occupational therapy.¹¹ Unfortunately, published EBCPGs and systematic reviews¹²⁻¹⁵ investigating the use of nonpharmacological interventions, such as foot care, for managing JIA lack substantial evidence and are outdated. There is a strong need to update EBCPGs using a quantitative and systematic methodology so as to develop rigorous recommendations on effective foot care management solutions for JIA. The proposed Ottawa Panel EBCPG is based on a systematic review and has consolidated all nonpharmacological foot care management options for JIA. The primary objective of this Ottawa Panel EBCPG was to develop evidence-based recommendations on foot care interventions for JIA on the basis of a critical appraisal of comparative controlled studies. The secondary objective was to determine the strength of existing evidence-based research on foot care interventions for JIA. The third and final objective was to identify the most effective foot care interventions for JIA. To promote foot care for the management of JIA, stakeholders will require access to recent high-quality recommendations presented in this EBCPG.

Methods

Development process of the Ottawa Panel EBCPG

The development of this Ottawa Panel EBCPG was informed by previous Ottawa Panel EBCPGs,¹⁶⁻¹⁹ and its methodology follows the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic and Meta-Analyses checklist.²⁰ The major components of the Ottawa Panel EBCPG include (1) a systematic search of the literature as per Cochrane Collaboration methodology²¹; (2) inclusion of articles according to selection criteria, (3) study quality assessment, (4) data extraction and synthesis, (5) quantitative grading system²²; (6) health expert review and endorsement of recommendations, and (7) planned dissemination of results.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3447840

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3447840

Daneshyari.com