



Is the 'Idiot's Box' raising idiocy? Early and middle childhood television watching and child cognitive outcome[☆]

Abdul Munasib^{a,*}, Samrat Bhattacharya^{b,1,2}

^a Department of Economics and Legal Studies in Business, Oklahoma State University, 328 Business Building, Stillwater, OK 74078-4011, USA

^b FTAM, 600 Superior Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44114, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 April 2009

Received in revised form 25 January 2010

Accepted 20 March 2010

JEL classification:

I

I2

J

J1

J13

Keywords:

Television

Child cognitive outcome

Test score

Panel estimation

Instrumental variables

ABSTRACT

There is widespread belief that exposure to television has harmful effects on children's cognitive development. Most studies that point to a negative correlation between hours of television watching and cognitive outcomes, fail to establish causality. Using the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (NLSY) we study young children between 5 and 10 years of age during late 1990s and early 2000s. We find strong evidence of negative correlations between hours of television watched and cognitive test scores. However, once parent's characteristics and unobserved child characteristics are taken into account these correlations go away. We find that hours of television viewed *per se* do not have any measurable impact on children's test scores. Our results are robust to different model specifications and instrumental variable estimates. We conclude that despite the conventional wisdom and the ongoing populist movement, proactive policies to reduce children's television exposure are not likely to improve children's cognitive development and academic performance.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In 1950 only 10% of the households in the United States had a television set; by 1980 it rose to 98% and it has not declined since. American children between 2

and 17 years of age watch an average of 25 h of television each week, with one in five watching for more than 35 h (Gentile & Walsh, 2002). The daily estimated hours of television watched by a typical child is almost double the suggested guidelines by American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP); AAP recommends 2 h or less of quality programming in a given day for children of age two and above, and for children under age two it suggests that television be avoided altogether.³

It has become a conventional wisdom that television, in general, and higher exposure to television, in particular, has an adverse effect on children's cognitive development, and that television is at least partly responsible for the widespread deterioration in the youth school

[☆] The authors thank Arthur Lewbel (Boston College), Inas Rashad (Georgia State University), the editor and the anonymous referees for their comments and suggestions, which have improved the paper considerably. The authors also thank the seminar participants at the Oklahoma State University Economics department for their feedback and suggestions. All the remaining mistakes belong to the authors.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 405 744 8763; fax: +1 405 744 5180.

E-mail addresses: munasib@okstate.edu (A. Munasib), samrat.bhattacharya@53.com (S. Bhattacharya).

¹ Tel.: +1 216 255 6582; fax: +1 216 274 5378.

² The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the position of the FTAM.

³ AAP Policy: <http://aappolicy.aappublications.org/cgi/content/full/pediatrics;104/2/341>.

performances.⁴ Barack Obama, as a candidate and as President, time and again, called upon the parents to assume personal responsibility regarding their children's education and turn the television off. The perception of a link between television and child outcomes is very much alive and growing in the policy arena. Grants are available for a "TV-free America" from organizations such as *Media Transparency* who are backed by some of the largest philanthropies in the country.⁵ The organization called *Center for Screen-Time Awareness* claims that since 1995 more than twenty-four million people have participated in "TV-Turnoff" weeks, which is also endorsed by the AAP.⁶

However, establishing a causal effect is essential prior to establishing any limit on hours of television watched to improve cognitive outcomes. A key impediment in identifying a causal relationship is the role of unobserved child and parental characteristics that are correlated with both cognitive outcomes and hours of television watched. Barring a very few exceptions (such as Gaddy, 1986; Gentzkow & Shapiro, 2008; Gortmaker, Salter, Walker, & Dietz, 1990; Zavodny, 2006), existence of a causal relationship between hours of television watched and children's cognitive outcomes are rarely established.

In this paper we focus on the children of the baby boomers and their television viewing behavior in the 1990s and 2000s. We use the data from the 1979 National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (NLSY79) and its associated child survey (NLSY79 Child). We focus on the formative years of the child – early and middle childhood – when television may be especially crucial developmentally (Greenough, Black, & Wallace, 1987; Wallace, Kilman, Withers, & Greenough, 1992). We employ a fixed effect model to eliminate child and parent time-invariant characteristics that might be correlated with both hours of television watched and test scores. We also carry out instrumental variable (IV) estimation to address the issues of potential measurement error in hours of television watched and time-varying child unobservable characteristics. We do not find any causal effect of hours of television watched on the mathematics and reading test scores of children. Our findings suggest that the observed negative correlations are results of intervening factors such as family structure, parental income and education, or unobserved child and parent characteristics that are correlated with both hours of television watching and measures of the child's cognitive development.

⁴ Gentzkow and Shapiro (2008) discuss the academic literature on these perceptions. Some of the media coverage on these issues and the popular movements can be found in the following sources: *More TV = Less Reading* at <http://aapgrandrounds.aappublications.org/cgi/content/extract/17/6/68-a>; *Children and Watching TV* at http://www.aacap.org/cs/root/facts_for_families/children_and_watching_tv (official website of American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry); *AAP News* at <http://www.aap.org>; Federal Communications Commission (FCC), Fact Sheet, 1995, <http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/MassMedia/Factsheets/kidstv.txt>; *Kill Your Television* at <http://www.turnoffyourtv.com>; also, in web-logs such as <http://www.csun.edu/science/health/docs/tv&health.html>.

⁵ See <http://www.mediatransparency.org/recipientgrants.php?recipientID=6805>.

⁶ See <http://www.screentime.org>.

1.1. Television and child cognitive development

Two arguments have been put forward as to why television viewing may have a causal effect on child cognitive development.⁷ First is the *time displacement* argument. Watching too much television takes time away from essential learning activities such as reading, homework, and structured play activities (Koolstra & Van der Voort, 1996).⁸ Even if television is considered a learning device, it is a poor one at that: the passive nature of television watching, lack of interaction of the viewer, and lack of control of the learner on content, pace, and ordering of the material, makes it inferior to traditional devices such as reading. Besides, a large number of skills such as fine motor skills and gross motor skills cannot be learnt from television at all (Borden, 1997). Also, the strong association of television with leisure and relaxation may have a profound effect on learning by lowering the intellectual involvement in processing the information presented in television programs.⁹

The second argument relates to the plasticity of the child's brain. The brain continues to develop rapidly through the initial years of the child's life and a significant plasticity exists during this period (Barkovich, Kjos, Jackson, & Norman, 1988; Yamada et al., 2000). The types and intensity of visual and auditory experiences that children have early in life may have profound influences on brain development (Greenough et al., 1987; Wallace et al., 1992). Waldman, Nicholson, and Adilov (2006) call it an "environmental trigger" that creates hurdles in cognitive development with possible long term developmental consequences. A commonly tested hypothesis is that television may shorten children's attention spans (Healy, 1990; Singer, 1980) or lead to Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder or ADHD (Christakis, Zimmerman, DiGiuseppe, & Carolyn, 2004; Hartmann, 1996). Koolstra and Van der Voort (1996) found that television viewing leads to lack of reading and reduction in concentration among children.

1.2. Contributions of this paper

Most studies in this literature are often limited to specific aspects of cognitive development such as reading (Koolstra & Van der Voort, 1996), ADHD (Christakis et al., 2004; Hartmann, 1996), autism (Waldman et al., 2006), or stand-alone measures of creativity, divergent thinking, ideational fluency, etc. (Anderson, Huston, Schmitt, Linebarger, & Wright, 2001). Zavodny (2006), Gaddy (1986), Gortmaker et al. (1990) and Gentzkow and Shapiro (2008) are notable exceptions that look at comprehensive

⁷ Anderson et al. (2001) has a detailed literature review of the issues of television and child cognitive development.

⁸ There is, however, a significant voice that contends this view and argues that television can in fact be a useful tool in children's learning (Huston & Wright, 1998); today's children enter kindergartens with a larger vocabulary than the pre-television generations.

⁹ See Salomon (1983), Huston and Wright (1998), and Singer and Singer (1998) for more detailed discussions of these issues. The *Kaiser Family Foundation Reports* (Rideout et al., 2003) present strong negative correlations between television watching and reading. Some studies also show negative effects of television on measures of creativity, divergent thinking, and ideational fluency of children (Anderson et al., 2001).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/354772>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/354772>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)