



Review

Taking a future perspective by learning from the past – A systematic review of assessment instruments that aim to measure primary and secondary school students' ICT literacy[☆]



Fazilat Siddiq^{a,*}, Ove Edvard Hatlevik^b, Rolf Vegar Olsen^a, Inger Thronsdén^a,
Ronny Scherer^c

^a Department of Teacher Education and School Research, University of Oslo, Norway

^b The Norwegian Centre for ICT in Education, Norway

^c Centre for Educational Measurement at the University of Oslo (CEMO), Norway

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 February 2016

Received in revised form 28 April 2016

Accepted 26 May 2016

Available online 8 June 2016

Keywords:

Assessment

DIGCOMP framework

ICT literacy

Primary and secondary education

Psychometric properties

Validity

ABSTRACT

This study systematically reviews literature on assessment instruments of primary and secondary school students' ICT literacy. It has three objectives: (1) Describe the development and characteristics of the assessments; (2) Present a synthesis of the facets of ICT literacy measured; and (3) Investigate to what extent information about reliability and validity is provided. A total of 38 tests reported in 66 studies were included. The results indicate that most of the tests target lower secondary students, comprise multiple-choice item designs, and are evaluated by quantitative methodology. The majority of the tests measure facets such as searching, retrieving, and evaluating digital information, and technical skills. In particular, the access to tests measuring digital communication, collaboration, safety, and problem solving is limited. This review demonstrates that an adequate norm for documenting and reporting test quality is lacking. Our findings point to potential future directions in developing and reporting assessments of ICT literacy.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	59
2. Theoretical framework	60
2.1. Conceptual clarification	60
2.2. Defining ICT literacy	60
2.3. Frameworks of ICT literacy	61
2.4. DIGCOMP: A framework for developing and understanding digital competence in Europe	61
2.5. Self-reports versus performance-based tests	61
2.6. Computer-based assessments and categories for evaluating task/item design	62

[☆] We want to thank Senior Academic Librarian Ingerid Straume (University of Oslo) for her initial help with database searches and Professor Ola Erstad (University of Oslo) for his comments on earlier versions of this paper.

* Corresponding author. University of Oslo, Faculty of Educational Sciences, Department of Teacher Education and School Research (ILS), Postbox 1099 Blindern, N-0851 Oslo, Norway.

E-mail addresses: f.s.ullah@ils.uio.no, fazilatu@gmail.com (F. Siddiq).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2016.05.002>

1747-938X/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

2.7.	Documentation of reliability and the creation of a validity argument	63
2.8.	The present study	64
3.	Method	64
3.1.	Systematic review methodology	64
3.1.1.	Search procedures	64
3.1.2.	Eligibility criteria	65
3.1.3.	Search and screening process	65
3.1.4.	Coding and data extraction	66
3.1.5.	Intercoder agreement	66
3.2.	Revised DIGCOMP framework – A tool for coding competences measured by the ICT literacy tests	66
3.3.	Indicators that support reliability and validity	68
3.3.1.	Reliability evidence	68
3.4.	Validity argument	68
4.	Results	69
4.1.	RQ1. Which test instruments for measuring primary and secondary school students' ICT literacy exist and what characterizes them (e.g., country, year, publications, sample, and test characteristics)?	69
4.1.1.	Countries	69
4.1.2.	Year, respondents, and publications	69
4.1.3.	Research methods and task/item design	69
4.1.4.	Framework and content	72
4.2.	RQ2. Which facets of ICT literacy do the assessment instruments aim to measure?	72
4.2.1.	Level of information considering the content of the tests	72
4.2.2.	Competences measured by the assessment instruments	72
4.3.	RQ3. To what extent is the reporting of the quality of the tests documented (i.e., reliability evidence and validity argument)?	74
4.3.1.	Reporting of the development and validation of the tests	75
4.3.2.	Reliability evidence	75
4.3.3.	Creating a validity argument	75
4.3.4.	Overall reporting of the validity argument and reliability evidence	76
5.	Discussion	76
5.1.	Overview of ICT literacy tests for students in primary and secondary schools and their characteristics (RQ1)	76
5.2.	Competences measured by the assessment instruments (RQ2)	77
5.3.	Reporting of the test quality (RQ3)	77
6.	Conclusions and implications for the assessment of ICT literacy	78
	Data extraction form.	78
	Criteria for evaluating reliability and validity reporting.	79
	Overview of the tests and performance on indicators of reliability evidence and validity argument.	80
	References Studies included in the review are marked with an asterisk (*).	81

1. Introduction

Information and communication technology (ICT) is generally becoming more and more integrated in our society. Both ICT resources (e.g., computers, notebooks, smart-phones) and access to the Internet are largely taken for granted by young people in many countries (Davis, Deil-Amen, Rios-Aguilar, & Canche, 2012). The permeation of ICT in society has also affected education in several ways. During the last decade, many countries have introduced and implemented various policy strategies to integrate ICT into the classrooms. Actions that have been taken include increased access to ICT resources (Newrly & Veugelers, 2009), facilitating the development of teachers' technological pedagogical content knowledge (Tondeur et al., 2012), and integrating ICT literacy in national school curricula (Claro et al., 2012; Norwegian Directorate for Education and Training, 2012). As a consequence of this emphasis on ICT in education, many researchers, policy makers, national and international enterprises, and other stakeholders have contributed to the development of ICT literacy frameworks with the aim to outline and scrutinize the skills and competencies that are considered crucial for participating in work life and society (Binkley et al., 2012). In short, ICT literacy is widely acknowledged as a critically important component of what has been labeled as 21st century skills (Voogt, Knezek, Cox, Knezek, & ten Brummelhuis, 2013). As a result, knowledge about how well students master these ICT competences to become proficient users is necessary from several agent perspectives, for instance to inform educational policy, to develop teachers' instructional practices, and to initiate programs for preparing the next generation for tomorrow's working life. In order to monitor students' progress on how well they master these complex and multi-faceted competences, reliable and valid instruments are needed.

Existing research literature presents a considerable number of assessments of ICT literacy, ranging from paper-pencil tests, multiple choice (MC), and short answer tests to more interactive performance-based scenarios. In addition, questionnaires measuring students' self-reported ICT literacy (i.e., ICT self-efficacy) have been widely reported and interpreted as a proxy measure of students actual ICT literacy (e.g., Aesaert, van Nijlen, Vanderlinde, & van Braak, 2014; Hakkarainen et al., 2000).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/355073>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/355073>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)