



## Review

## How effective are mobile devices for language learning? A meta-analysis



Yao-Ting Sung\*, Kuo-En Chang, Je-Ming Yang

National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei, Taiwan

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 16 September 2014

Received in revised form 12 September 2015

Accepted 25 September 2015

Available online 30 September 2015

## Keywords:

Mobile-device-assisted language learning

Meta-analysis

## ABSTRACT

Language learning has undergone rapid changes over the past several years, from computer-assisted learning to the more recent mobile-device-assisted learning. Although mobile devices have become valuable language-learning tools, the evident substantial contribution of mobile devices to language learning have not yet been investigated. The present meta-analysis of 44 peer-reviewed journal articles and doctoral dissertations that were written over a 20-year period (1993–2013), with 9154 participants, revealed that mobile-device-assisted language instruction has produced a meaningful improvement with an overall mean effect size of 0.55. Different effect sizes for moderator variables, such as learning stages, hardware use, software used, intervention settings, teaching methods, intervention durations, learning skills, target languages, and L1/L2, were also reported. The results are discussed, together with their implications for future research and practices on the use of mobile devices in language learning.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Contents

1. Introduction .....	69
1.1. Integrating computers with language learning and instruction .....	69
1.2. The features of mobile devices and their applications in language learning .....	69
1.3. The activity-theory based framework for MALL .....	70
1.4. Goals of the current meta-analysis .....	71
2. Methods .....	71
2.1. Data sources and search strategy .....	71
2.2. Search results .....	72
2.2.1. The initial screening stage .....	72
2.2.2. Screening for experimental and quasi-experimental studies .....	72
2.2.3. Screening for inclusion in/exclusion from the meta-analysis .....	72
2.3. Selection and coding of variables .....	72
2.3.1. Research name .....	72
2.3.2. Research participants .....	72
2.3.3. Treatments .....	72

\* Corresponding author. Department of Educational Psychology and Counseling National Taiwan Normal University 162, Sec. 1, Ho-Ping E. Rd., 10610 Taipei, Taiwan.

E-mail address: [ntnusung@gmail.com](mailto:ntnusung@gmail.com) (Y.-T. Sung).

2.4.	Data analysis .....	73
2.4.1.	Calculating effect sizes .....	73
2.4.2.	Evaluating publication bias .....	73
3.	Results and discussion .....	73
3.1.	Descriptive information .....	73
3.2.	The overall effect size for learning achievement .....	76
3.3.	The effect size of learning achievement for moderator variables .....	76
3.3.1.	Learning stage .....	77
3.3.2.	Hardware used .....	78
3.3.3.	Software used .....	78
3.3.4.	Intervention setting .....	78
3.3.5.	Teaching method .....	78
3.3.6.	Intervention duration .....	79
3.3.7.	Learning skills .....	79
3.3.8.	Target languages .....	79
3.3.9.	L1/L2 .....	79
3.4.	Evaluation of the publication bias .....	80
4.	Conclusions and implications .....	80
4.1.	Conclusions .....	80
4.2.	Implications .....	81
4.2.1.	Appropriately incorporating mobile devices into language learning/teaching activities .....	81
4.2.2.	Using a quality research design to empower effective MALL interventions .....	81
4.2.3.	Substantiating the effects and enriching the diversity of MALL .....	82
	References <sup>11</sup> References marked with an asterisk indicate studies included in the meta-analysis. ....	82

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Integrating computers with language learning and instruction

Computer-assisted learning has been a focus of educational research for years, and computer-assisted language learning (CALL) has been a major topic of research within the field of computer technology since the 1960 s. Despite claims of the benefits of CALL (Gamper & Knapp, 2002; Hwu, 2013), some researchers (Garrett, 2009; Golonka, Bowles, Frank, Richardson, & Freynik, 2012; Warschauer, 2004) have proposed that CALL remains limited in its ability to assist in language learning and teaching, including problems such as shallow interactions, inaccurate feedback, distraction from learning tasks, overemphasis on the delivery modality, extra workload, inadequate teacher training for developing quality CALL programs, and insufficient software available for effectively training language skills. Mobile technologies offer a potential solution to aforementioned limitations of CALL (Kukulska-Hulme, 2009; Stockwell, 2013).

### 1.2. The features of mobile devices and their applications in language learning

In recent years, a large body of literature has documented attempts to develop alternative learning tools for computer-assisted learning. The emergence of wireless technology and a variety of mobile-device innovations have received a great deal of attention in the field of education. Mobile devices offer features of portability, social connectivity, context sensitivity, and individuality, which desktop computers might not offer (Chinnery, 2006). Mobile devices have made learning movable, real-time, collaborative, and seamless (Kukulska-Hulme, 2009; Wong & Looi, 2011), and the use of these devices may be called “mobile learning” in general. Our research adopted a broader definition of mobile learning (Burston, 2014; Sharples, Taylor, & Vavoula, 2007), which focused on the mobility of learners or learning and proposed that the features making mobile learning distinctive from traditional learning are its integration of both movable and embedded technologies, its ability to function in both formal (e.g., classroom) and informal (e.g., zoo) settings, its enhancement of both individualized and collaborative/networked learning, and its capability to transform teacher-centered instruction into learner-center learning. The unique properties of mobile devices have also been incorporated into language learning and teaching, forming the emerging research field of mobile-device-assisted language learning (MALL). The main features of mobile devices and their applications in language learning are briefly described below.

*Mobility and portability.* Mobile devices are small and lightweight, and are easily carried. Several researchers (e.g., Thornton & Houser, 2005; Wood, Jackson, Hart, Plester, & Wilde, 2011) used the portability of mobile phones and text messaging during after-school hours to facilitate students’ English reading, spelling, and phonological awareness. These features not only enable learning/teaching to happen anytime and anywhere, but also stimulate the needs of new teaching/learning styles for settings/situations different from traditional classrooms.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/355088>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/355088>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)