



Differences in achievement not in intelligence in the north and south of Italy: Comments on Lynn (2010a, 2010b)

Antonella D'Amico ^{a,*}, Maurizio Cardaci ^a, Santo Di Nuovo ^b, Jack A. Naglieri ^c

^a Dipartimento di Psicologia, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Italy

^b Dipartimento dei Processi Formativi, Università degli Studi di Catania, Italy

^c University of Virginia, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 April 2011

Received in revised form 18 November 2011

Accepted 18 November 2011

Keywords:

Italy

IQ

School achievement

Regional differences

ABSTRACT

Lynn (2010a, 2010b) argued that individuals from south Italy have a lower IQ than individuals from north Italy, and that these differences in IQ are at the basis of north–south gap in income, education, infant mortality, stature, and literacy. In the present paper, we discuss several theoretical and methodological aspects which we regard as flaws of Lynn's studies. Moreover, we report scores of southern Italian children on Raven's Progressive Matrices and a north–south comparison for the PASS theory of intelligence as measured by the Cognitive Assessment System (Taddei & Naglieri, 2006). Both results reveal similar levels of performance of northern and southern Italian children in fluid intelligence and PASS (Planning, Attention, Simultaneous, and Successive) cognitive abilities.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In a recent paper published in the journal *Intelligence* Lynn (2010a) argued that north–south differences in Italians' IQ scores predict differences in income, education, infant mortality, stature and literacy. Lynn's also wrote that this IQ difference “has a genetic basis going back many centuries, and hence predicts the social and economic differences documented in the nineteenth century up to the present day” (pp. 99). His paper evoked a strong reaction from the Italian scientific community both through internet (see: <http://www.aipass.org/node/319>) and in the same journal (Beraldo, 2010; Cornoldi, Belacchi, Giofre, Martini, & Tressoldi, 2010; Felice & Giugliano, 2010). Lynn (2010b) replied with new arguments that, again, seem quite questionable. In the present paper we discuss several theoretical and methodological flaws of Lynn's (2010a, 2010b) studies and report new regional data from Italy on Raven's Coloured Progressive Matrices (Raven, 1954) and the Cognitive Assessment System – Italian Edition (Taddei & Naglieri, 2006).

1.1. The measurement of IQ

The question of the nature and measurement of intelligence has been a topic of considerable interest in Psychology in the last century, and it is not our aim to review the literature about this issue (a good

review of the field is provided by Deary, Penke, & Johnson, 2010; Hunt, 2011). It is important, however, to revisit a few aspects that should always be considered in studying intelligence but especially in regard to Lynn's selection of data upon which he has made his statements.

It is difficult to measure intelligence without considering the influence of social and cultural variables. Indeed, scores on verbal and quantitative test questions, on instruments such as the Wechsler (2003) or Stanford–Binet (Roid, 2003) scales, are strongly influenced by linguistic skills and related to educational quality. For these reasons, measures that exclude language were developed, such as the Raven's Progressive Matrices (Raven, 1954) or the Cattell (1949), for assessing cognitive ability in a way that is minimally influenced by literacy, education and informal learning. Although the role of environmental conditions may be never totally controlled, individual, regional or national differences in IQs should be made with consideration of these factors. Moreover, great caution is needed when considering the issue of collective genetic differences in intelligence (e.g., Wicherts, Borsboom, & Dolan, 2010).

1.2. Differences in achievement not in intelligence

Lynn's (2010a) estimate of IQ was based on the 2006 British PISA (Program for International Student Assessment), an internationally standardized assessment administered to 15 year olds in schools, that found higher scores for students in northern Italy when compared to students in the south. PISA tests, however, were developed to measure *achievement* and not *intelligence*. In fact, the aim of PISA is to measure “how far students near the end of compulsory

* Corresponding author at: Dipartimento di Psicologia, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, Edificio 15, 90128 Palermo, Italy. Tel.: +39 091 23897710; fax: +39 091 6513825.

E-mail address: antonella.damico@unipa.it (A. D'Amico).

education have acquired some of the knowledge and skills that are essential for full participation in society” (for more information, see the site www.pisa.oecd.org).

Differences in scholastic achievement of Italians have been documented by Cornoldi et al. (2010) as well as by the Italian INVALSI (the National Institute for the Assessment of Educational and Vocational System). Cornoldi et al. (2010) used the MT-Advanced tasks (Cornoldi, Pra Baldi, & Rizzo, 1991) and demonstrated that more accurate methodological controls reduced these differences. INVALSI's (2009) results showed that, in fifth grade, pupils in the north achieve better than children in the south, but there are no statistical differences between achievement of north and south pupils in the second grade. Moreover, a further INVALSI study by Campodifiori, Figura, Papini, and Ricci (2010) found high variability between performances of children belonging to different schools of the same southern towns. Both these results can be explained by the impact of socio-economic factors on scholastic achievement.

Nevertheless, Lynn (2010a) uses achievement tests as “proxies for Intelligence” (pp. 95) adopting the logic that educational attainment and intelligence are highly correlated (from $r = 0.5$ to $r = 1.0$) across nations (Lynn & Meisenberg, 2010; Lynn & Mikk, 2007). However, in his studies it is not clear what kind of IQ tests has been used, and the other factors affecting achievement such as school quality, socio-cultural level, and so on, are not controlled.

1.3. Correlation relationships discussed as causality relationships

It is widely known and accepted that a correlation coefficient describes the degree of relationship between two variables. However, two variables may correlate highly, but they may be different from each other. It is also possible that changes in the variables being studied are influenced by some other unobserved variable. Finally, correlation does not assume causality.

Against such universally shared methodological rules, Lynn (2010a) discusses association among variables as if they are equivalent or in a simple unilinear causal relationship.

1.4. Regions as “subjects”

Lynn (2010a) stated that:

“data have been assembled for 12 Italian regions for mean IQ, average per capita income in Euros for 1970 and 2003 (...), percentages of the populations that were literate in 1880 (...) statures of military conscripts born in 1855, 1910, 1927 and 1980 (...) infant mortality 1955–57 and 1999–2002 (...), years of education in 1951, 1971 and 2001 (...) and latitude (...). The regional IQs have been calculated from the 2006 PISA (Program for International Student Assessment) study of reading comprehension, mathematical ability, and science understanding administered to 15 year olds in 52 countries (OECD, 2007)” (pp. 95).

Thus, Lynn (2010a) uses regions as “subjects”, therefore scores of “subject-region” correspond to the average measure of all the subjects that have been tested in that region. Consequently, participants of the study are always different individuals, of different age cohorts, sharing only the common aspect of living in the same Italian region. For instance, scholastic achievement (labeled by Lynn as IQ), is collected in 2006 in 15 year olds, while stature of subjects is collected in 1885, in an unknown number of subjects of unknown age. The same could be said for the other variables. It also means that the correlational study by Lynn (2010a) is performed on 12 “subjects”, and this is not statistically rigorous, as already stated also by Beraldo (2010).

Another problem with Lynn's study refers to the representativeness of the sample used, since PISA results were only based on

15 year olds attending school. These subjects are not representative of the Italian population, because achievement levels change during the academic career. Moreover, data are collected only on the part of youth that attends school, while not all young persons attend school and not all young persons attend school regularly (Rindermann, 2007).

Finally, Lynn affirms that the regional differences in IQ (actually, differences in scholastic achievement) strongly reflect genetic differences between Italian population of north and south Italy. However, students who attend schools in the north of Italy, are not necessarily born in the north of Italy, from northern parents, and do not necessarily have “northern genes”.

On the basis of the points discussed so far, a significant adjustment should be made to the title of Lynn's (2010a) paper, that should read: “In Italy, differences in scholastic achievement among 15 years old attending schools in the regions of north and south are associated with differences in income, education, infant mortality, stature, and literacy, measured in different populations that lived in the same regions in the period between the 1880 and 2001”. This title is really difficult to understand but it is accurate in describing what Lynn has found in his study.

1.5. Measuring intelligence using unvalidated tests

In his more recent paper, Lynn (2010b) reports further evidence of the lower IQs of southern Italians. The first is the report of an intelligence test given to a sample of 50,000 individuals who self-administered the test over the internet on www.sitozero.it. This is a commercial site with an inadequate description of the psychological tests used, with a considerable amount of advertisements and without any control of scientific and methodological issues. We do not consider these non-scientific data to be suitable for making assumptions about IQs.

1.6. Intelligence scores and Flynn effect

Lynn (2010b) uses data from several studies on Raven's test (Pruneti, 1985; Pruneti, Fenu, Freschi, & Rota, 1996; Tesi & Young, 1962) and Cattell Culture Fair test (Buj, 1981; Pace & Sprini, 1998). None of the studies used the same age groups and none were aimed at comparing IQs across regions of Italy.

Moreover, Lynn (2010b) did not consider the calculation of IQs made by the authors, but rather he recalculated the IQs scores in light of the well known and controversial (Colom, Lluís-Font & Andrés-Pueyo, 2005) Flynn effect (2007), described as a general increase of intelligence scores over the worlds in the last 50 years. So, for instance, an IQ of 99 collected in 1960, was increased by 4 points considering the Flynn effect = 4 of the Italian IQ in the years 1960–79.

Such procedure is questionable, as also Hagan, Drogin, and Guilmette (2008) pointed out. Indeed, different studies demonstrated that the Flynn effect is concentrated in the lower half of the normal distribution or in undeveloped countries (Colom et al., 2005), whereas a possible stagnation of IQ scores in developed ones is currently under debate (Teasdale & Owen, 2005; 2008).

The best way to study regional differences is to compare subjects from the same age cohort who live in different geographical regions using the same test. This was conducted by Cornoldi et al. (2010). The authors drew from a larger standardization sample of Raven Coloured Progressive matrices made by Belacchi, Scalisi, Cannoni, and Cornoldi (2008) involving a group of 747 children belonging to 5 age groups living in northern or southern Italy. Then, they compared their CMP scores through a 5×2 ANOVA age \times geographical area. Results showed a significant effect of age, but no significant effect of geographical area. Lynn (2010b) criticized these results arguing that the Belacchi et al.'s Italian standardization of CMP “is clearly defective” (pp. 454) because the authors failed to detect the expected

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/365255>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/365255>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)