




Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tzu Chi Medical Journal

journal homepage: [www.tzuchimedjnl.com](http://www.tzuchimedjnl.com)

## Original Article

# Comparison between the Trachway video intubating stylet and Macintosh laryngoscope in four simulated difficult tracheal intubations: A manikin study

Jimmy Ong<sup>a</sup>, Chia-Ling Lee<sup>b</sup>, Shen-Jer Huang<sup>b</sup>, Ming-Hwang Shyr<sup>b,\*</sup><sup>a</sup> Department of Anaesthesiology, Sarawak General Hospital, Malaysia<sup>b</sup> Department of Anesthesiology, Buddhist Tzu Chi General Hospital and Tzu Chi University, Hualien, Taiwan

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 9 May 2016

Received in revised form

7 June 2016

Accepted 14 June 2016

Available online 27 July 2016

## Keywords:

Adult learning

Difficult intubation

Medical simulation

Video laryngoscope

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** It remains to be determined whether the TVI-4000 Trachway video intubating (TVI) stylet (Markstein Sichtec Medical Corp, Taichung, Taiwan), an airway device for novices, improves airway management practice by experienced anesthesiologists. The aim of this study was to evaluate the feasibility of using the TVI stylet in difficult tracheal intubation situations compared with that of using the Macintosh laryngoscope on an airway manikin.

**Materials and Methods:** Ten anesthesiologists (with 3–21 years' experience), including three senior residents, participated. We compared tracheal intubation in four airway scenarios: normal airway, tongue edema, cervical spine immobilization, and tongue edema combined with cervical spine immobilization. The time of tracheal intubation (TTI), success rate, and perceived difficulty of intubation for each scenario were compared and analyzed.

**Results:** The TTI was significantly shorter in both the tongue edema and combined scenarios with the TVI stylet compared with the Macintosh laryngoscope ( $21.60 \pm 1.45$  seconds vs.  $24.07 \pm 1.58$  seconds and  $23.73 \pm 2.05$  seconds vs.  $26.6 \pm 2.77$  seconds, respectively). Success rates for both devices were 100%. Concomitantly, participants rated using the TVI stylet in these two scenarios as being less difficult.

**Conclusion:** The learning time for tracheal intubation using the TVI stylet in difficult airway scenarios was short. Use of the TVI stylet was easier and required a shorter TTI for tracheal intubation in the tongue edema and combined scenarios.

Copyright © 2016, Buddhist Compassion Relief Tzu Chi Foundation. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

For decades, airway management has remained a challenging issue for clinical anesthesiologists. Direct laryngoscopy is usually performed for routine tracheal intubation [1,2]. However, performing this technique may prove difficult or even impossible under certain situations, such as in patients having tongue edema, cervical immobilization, and limitation of mouth opening [3,4]. This can result in airway trauma or severe complications. Failed or

difficult intubation is an important cause of mortality and morbidity during anesthesia.

In its newest practice guidelines, the American Society of Anesthesiologists recommends that videolaryngoscopy can and should be considered both as an initial approach to intubation (awake or following induction of general anesthesia) and following failed intubation in which face mask ventilation is adequate [5]. A number of devices have been developed to circumvent the difficult airway problems typically encountered with direct laryngoscopy. These devices include the flexible fiber optic bronchoscope, rigid optical stylet, lightwand, rigid fiberscope, and many types of video laryngoscopes, including the Trachway video intubating (TVI) stylet (TVI-4000; Markstein Sichtec Medical Corp., Taichung, Taiwan). The true test of airway management is comfort with troubleshooting backup and rescue devices. Practitioners should practice airway management skills regularly, must be familiar with the tools

Conflicts of interest: none.

\* Corresponding author. Department of Anesthesiology, Buddhist Tzu Chi General Hospital, 707, Section 3, Chung-Yang Road, Hualien, Taiwan. Tel.: +886 3 8561825x16238; fax: +886 3 8575366.

E-mail address: [mhshyr@gmail.com](mailto:mhshyr@gmail.com) (M.-H. Shyr).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcmj.2016.06.004>

1016-3190/Copyright © 2016, Buddhist Compassion Relief Tzu Chi Foundation. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

available in their institution, and should always anticipate the next step should airway management go awry.

Since our introduction of the TVI stylet for tracheal intubation [6], it has been widely used in clinical practice for normal and emergency airways [7]. It can also be used for double lumen [8], nasal [9], and awake [10] intubations for particularly difficult airways. The aim of this study was to compare tracheal intubation with the TVI stylet with that using the conventional Macintosh laryngoscope by practitioners who are experienced in using a direct laryngoscope but novices to the stylet. We measured and analyzed the time of tracheal intubation (TTI), success rate, and difficulty of tracheal intubation on a manikin with different airway scenarios simulating varying difficulty.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Participants

Ten anesthesiologists (seven consultants and three senior residents) at a medical center were recruited for this study. They were all experienced with the direct Macintosh laryngoscope but were novices in the use of the TVI stylet for normal or difficult tracheal intubations before the study. Instructions on the use of the stylet were given before the procedure, and all 10 anesthesiologists were given time to practice on the manikin at least 10 times before the study.

### 2.2. Technical information

Using an airway manikin (Trucorp Airsim Bronchi; Trucorp Ltd., Belfast, Northern Ireland) we simulated four airway scenarios: normal airway, tongue edema (tongue inflated with 50 mL of air), cervical spine immobilization (spine fixed in a neutral position), and tongue edema combined with cervical spine immobilization. In each of the four scenarios, participants were asked to perform a tracheal intubation with an endotracheal tube having 7.0 mm internal diameter (Portex; Smiths Medical, Hythe, UK) through the vocal cords using either a conventional Macintosh laryngoscope with a size 3 blade or the Trachway intubating stylet. In the Macintosh group, a guide stylet (Rusch Inc., Duluth, GA, USA) was preloaded in the endotracheal tube to give it a C-shape curve to facilitate tracheal intubation.

Each participating anesthesiologist was randomly assigned three attempts at tracheal intubation with each device in each scenario. In each scenario, five anesthesiologists intubated the manikin's trachea with the Macintosh laryngoscope (Macintosh group) followed by the Trachway intubating stylet (Trachway group), while the other five intubated the trachea with the Trachway intubating stylet followed by the Macintosh laryngoscope.

Each participating anesthesiologist was allowed a maximum time of 120 seconds for each tracheal intubation attempt. The TTI was defined as the time from insertion of the laryngoscope blade or intubating stylet into the mouth of the manikin until successful tracheal intubation was confirmed by inflation of the lungs. Failed intubation was defined as an intubation exceeding 120 seconds or esophageal intubation. After intubation, the participants were asked to describe the difficulty of intubation on a rating scale graded from 1 (very easy) to 5 (very difficult).

### 2.3. Statistics

The TTI and success rates were recorded. The TTI was expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, and success rates were expressed as percentages (95% confidence interval). Both were analyzed using Student *t* test. Difficulty ratings were compared using the

Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon signed-rank test. A *p* value of  $<0.05$  was considered statistically significant.


## 3. Results

All 10 anesthesiologists completed the study. Their median clinical anesthesia experience was 10.5 years (range 3–21 years). The TTI in the Macintosh group for the normal, tongue edema, cervical spine immobilization, and tongue edema combined with cervical spine immobilization scenarios were  $21.87 \pm 1.19$  seconds,  $24.07 \pm 1.58$  seconds,  $24.67 \pm 2.66$  seconds, and  $26.6 \pm 2.77$  seconds, respectively. In the Trachway group, TTIs were  $21.53 \pm 1.19$  seconds,  $21.6 \pm 1.45$  seconds,  $23.13 \pm 3.14$  seconds, and  $23.73 \pm 2.05$  seconds, respectively (Fig. 1). The TTI of the Trachway group was significantly shorter in the two scenarios tongue edema and tongue edema combined with cervical spine immobilization as compared with that of the Macintosh group ( $p = 0.000126$ ,  $p = 0.003457$ , respectively).

Success rates for both devices were 100% in all scenarios. The median difficulty scores and ranges for both devices in the four scenarios are shown in Fig. 2. It was significantly easier to perform tracheal intubation using the TVI stylet than it was using the Macintosh laryngoscope in the simulated tongue edema ( $p = 0.031$ ) and in the combined scenarios ( $p = 0.04$ ).

## 4. Discussion

The TVI stylet has been widely used in normal and difficult intubations. The learning time is short for novice operators, even for nurses [11] and medical students [12]. Interestingly, however, no previous study has compared its use in different airway conditions. We evaluated its efficiency in tracheal intubation by comparing the TTI, success rates and degrees of intubation difficulty between use of the stylet and the conventional Macintosh laryngoscope. We found that the Macintosh group took more time for tracheal intubation in the tongue edema and combined scenarios than did the TVI stylet group. Our study also proved that experienced anesthesiologists have strong motivation to learn the use of a new tool such as the TVI stylet in managing both anticipated and unanticipated difficult airways. The learning curve for using the stylet for different



**Fig. 1.** Time of tracheal intubation (TTI) with the Macintosh laryngoscope (ML) and the Trachway intubating (TVI) stylet in four airway scenarios: normal airway (NO), tongue edema (TE), cervical immobilization (CI), and tongue edema combined with cervical immobilization (CO). The bar and whiskers represent the mean TTI and standard deviation, respectively. \*Significant difference between TVI and ML  $p < 0.01$ .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3841759>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3841759>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)