

Biochemical Parameters After Cholecalciferol Repletion in Hemodialysis: Results From the VitaDial Randomized Trial

Annick Massart, MD,^{1,*} Frédéric Daniel Debelle, MD, PhD,^{2,*} Judith Racapé, PhD,³
Christine Gervy, PhD,⁴ Cécile Husson, BsT,⁵ Michel Dhaene, MD,²
Karl Martin Wissing, MD, PhD,⁶ and Joëlle Louise Nortier, MD, PhD¹

Background: The 2009 KDIGO (Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes) chronic kidney disease–mineral and bone disorder clinical practice guideline suggests correcting 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ (25[OH]D) levels < 30 ng/mL in patients treated with maintenance hemodialysis, but does not provide a specific treatment protocol.

Study Design: 2-center, double-blind, randomized, 13-week, controlled trial followed by a 26-week open-label study.

Setting & Participants: 55 adult maintenance hemodialysis patients with 25(OH)D levels < 30 ng/mL were recruited from June 2008 through October 2009.

Intervention: Cholecalciferol, 25,000 IU, per week orally versus placebo for 13 weeks, then 26 weeks of individualized cholecalciferol prescription based on NKF-KDOQI (National Kidney Foundation–Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative) guidelines.

Outcomes: Primary end point was the percentage of patients with 25(OH)D levels ≥ 30 ng/mL at 13 weeks. Secondary outcomes included the percentage of patients with normal calcium, phosphorus, and intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH) blood levels. Safety measures included incidence of hypercalcemia and hypervitaminosis D.

Measurements: Blood calcium and phosphate were measured weekly; iPTH, 25(OH)D, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ (1,25[OH]₂D), and bone turnover markers, trimonthly; fetuin A and fibroblast growth factor 23 (FGF-23) serum levels and aortic calcification scores were determined at weeks 0 and 39.

Results: The primary end point significantly increased in the treatment group compared with the placebo group (61.5% vs 7.4%; $P < 0.001$), as well as 1,25(OH)₂D levels (22.5 [IQR, 15–26] vs 11 [IQR, 10–15] pg/mL; $P < 0.001$) and the proportion of patients achieving the target calcium level (76.9% vs 48.2%; $P = 0.03$). Incidence of hypercalcemia and phosphate and iPTH levels were similar between groups. The second 26-week study phase did not significantly modify the prevalence of 25(OH)D level ≥ 30 ng/mL in patients issued from the placebo group.

Limitations: Small size of the study population.

Conclusions: Oral weekly administration of 25,000 IU of cholecalciferol for 13 weeks is an effective, safe, inexpensive, and manageable way to increase 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)₂D levels in hemodialysis patients. Further evaluation of clinical end points is suggested.

Am J Kidney Dis. ■(■):■-■. © 2014 by the National Kidney Foundation, Inc.

INDEX WORDS: Cholecalciferol; vitamin D; calcium; parathyroid hormone (PTH); hemodialysis; clinical practice guidelines; calcitriol; vascular calcification; aortic calcification score; fetuin A; fibroblast growth factor 23 (FGF-23); 25-hydroxyvitamin D; 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D; nutritional vitamin D; vitamin D deficiency; vitamin D repletion; bone fracture; falls.

Levels of calcidiol (25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ (25[OH]D) < 30 ng/mL are observed frequently in patients treated with maintenance hemodialysis (HD) in Northern latitudes.^{1–4} As with the general population, this is associated with poor outcomes in chronic kidney disease (CKD; for review, see Nigwekar et al⁵), including stage 5.^{4,6–9}

To date, a limited number of randomized controlled trials designed to study the effect of nutritional vitamin D (either cholecalciferol or ergocalciferol) have been conducted in HD patients.^{3,10–13} This lack of interest probably is multifactorial. As kidney function declines, production of calcitriol (1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ [1,25(OH)₂D]) decreases in

From the ¹Nephrology Department, Erasme Hospital, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels; ²Nephrology Department, Centre Hospitalier Epicura, Baudour; ³Ecole de Santé Publique, ⁴Clinical Biology Department, Erasme Hospital, and ⁵Laboratory of Experimental Nephrology, Faculty of Medicine, Université Libre de Bruxelles; and ⁶Nephrology Department, Universitair Ziekenhuis, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium.

*A.M. and F.D.D. contributed equally to this work.

Received July 9, 2013. Accepted in revised form April 14, 2014.

Trial registration: www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu; study number: EUDRACT (European Union Drug Regulating Authorities Clinical Trials) 2008-002387-33.

Address correspondence to Annick Massart, MD, Nephrology Department, Erasme Hospital, 808, Route de Lennik, Belgium. E-mail: anmassar@ulb.ac.be

© 2014 by the National Kidney Foundation, Inc.

0272-6386/\$36.00

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2014.04.020>

parallel.^{14,15} Since the 1980s, 1α -hydroxylated forms of vitamin D (also called active vitamin D) became widely used in HD patients and low-priced nutritional forms of vitamin D were neglected.⁵ The existence of extrarenal 1α -hydroxylases was suggested by the observation that anephric individuals were able to produce $1,25(\text{OH})_2\text{D}$ in response to supraphysiologic nutritional vitamin D challenge.^{16,17} However, these findings did not modify prescription habits. Further experimental studies demonstrated that extrarenal 1α -hydroxylases were present in a wide range of tissues acting locally in paracrine or autocrine circuits.¹⁸ That led to the understanding of the pleiotropic properties of $25(\text{OH})\text{D}$ ¹⁹⁻²¹ and revived interest in this molecule.²²⁻²⁴ In addition, it was noted that $25(\text{OH})\text{D}$ has an excellent safety profile.^{16,22,25} For these reasons and despite the absence of any controlled trial or large prospective study, in 2009, KDIGO (Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes) recommended restoration of $25(\text{OH})\text{D}$ levels > 30 ng/mL in patients treated with maintenance HD.²⁶ However, targeted protocols are still lacking. The high cost of the $25(\text{OH})\text{D}$ assay further complicates drug prescription and the setup of larger clinical trials.²²

The present study, combining a 13-week randomized trial of oral cholecalciferol repletion versus placebo with an open-label study of customized cholecalciferol prescription derived from the NKF-KDOQI (National Kidney Foundation–Kidney

Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative) guidelines²⁷ for 26 additional weeks aimed to provide valuable information about vitamin D repletion strategies and their possible impact on mineral and bone markers.

METHODS

Definitions

In this study, we defined levels of $25(\text{OH})\text{D}$ ranging from 20 to < 30 ng/mL as “insufficient” and levels < 20 ng/mL as “deficient.”⁵

Study Design

Overview

This was an investigator-driven, prospective, multicenter, partly randomized, controlled trial (VitaDial) performed in 2 Belgian nephrology centers (Epicura [in Baudour] and Erasme university hospitals [in Brussels]). It was approved by the institutional review board at each site. This 39-week trial was divided into 2 periods as follows: a randomized period (13 weeks) during which enrolled patients were randomly assigned to receive either cholecalciferol or a placebo, and an open-label period (26 weeks) during which all patients, regardless of initial allocation, received a cholecalciferol dose adjusted to their most recent $25(\text{OH})\text{D}$ assessment (at weeks 13 and 26; Fig 1). This design allowed investigators to collect data for a new cholecalciferol repletion protocol using substantial doses under safe conditions (first period limited to 13 weeks) and, in a second phase, gather data reflecting the use of NKF-KDOQI guidelines, usually prescribed for 6 months (second period of 26 weeks). Finally, by combining both periods, we investigated the biochemical outcomes of cholecalciferol repletion over a total period of 39 weeks. Another interest of the present design was to offer cholecalciferol access to all

2003 KDOQI GUIDELINES Ergocalciferol administration in patients with CKD Stages 3 and 4 (to be revised after 6 months)		VITADIAL STUDY Cholecalciferol administration in patients with CKD Stage 5			
		PHASE I RANDOMIZATION (13 wks)		PHASE II OPEN-PHASE (26 wks with dose adjustment at midpoint)	
Serum $25(\text{OH})\text{D}$ (ng/mL)	Ergocalciferol dose	Serum $25(\text{OH})\text{D}$ (ng/mL)	Cholecalciferol dose	Serum $25(\text{OH})\text{D}$ (ng/mL)	Cholecalciferol dose
< 5 ng/mL	50,000 IU/wk x 12 wks, then monthly	< 30 ng/mL	25,000 IU/wk orally X 13 wks VS (1:1) Placebo	< 6 ng/mL	50,000 IU/wk x 13 wks
5 - 15 ng/mL	50,000 IU/wk x 4 wks, then 50,000 IU/mo			6 - 15 ng/mL	50,000 IU/wk x 4 wks, then 50,000 IU/mo x 2 mo
16 - 30 ng/mL	50,000 IU/mo			16 - 30 ng/mL	50,000 IU/mo x 3 mo
> 30 ng/mL	Vitamin-D-containing multi- vitamin preparation			30 - 60 ng/mL	25,000 IU/mo x 3 mo
				> 60 ng/mL	None

Figure 1. Diagram compares cholecalciferol prescription during both phases of the study to the reference 2003 NKF-KDOQI (National Kidney Foundation–Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative) guidelines. Abbreviations: $25(\text{OH})\text{D}$, 25-hydroxyvitamin D_3 ; CKD, chronic kidney disease.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3847852>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3847852>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)