

HOSTED BY



ELSEVIER

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/ajur](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ajur)



## ASIAN FOCUS

# The older the better: The characteristic of localized prostate cancer in Chinese men



Haifeng Wang<sup>1</sup>, Xu Gao<sup>1</sup>, Ziyu Fang<sup>1</sup>, Xin Lu, Yan Wang, Chunfei Ma, Zhenkai Shi, Bo Yang, Shancheng Ren, Chuanliang Xu, Yinghao Sun\*

Department of Urology, Changhai Hospital, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, China

Received 25 June 2015; received in revised form 3 July 2015; accepted 6 July 2015  
Available online 14 July 2015

### KEYWORDS

Prostate cancer;  
Age;  
Biochemical  
recurrence-free  
survival;  
Radical  
prostatectomy

**Abstract** *Objective:* To assess the clinicopathological features and overall survival between two groups of Chinese patients older or younger than 70 years after retropubic radical prostatectomy.

*Methods:* From January 2001 to February 2010, 390 patients receive retropubic radical prostatectomy. After excluding 89 patients with adjuvant or neoadjuvant hormonal therapy or radiotherapy, a total of 301 patients were included in this study. We arbitrarily divided these patients into younger age group (<70 years, 140 cases, 46.5%) and older age group (≥70 years, 161 cases, 53.5%). The differences in serum prostate specific antigen (PSA), Gleason score, clinical tumor stage, and biochemical-free survival were analyzed between the two groups.

*Results:* There were not significant differences between the two groups in high Gleason score rate and clinical tumor stage. However, older patients had significantly lower biochemical recurrence rate than those of younger patients, and had significantly higher PSA levels. Multivariate analysis showed that older age, PSA level and clinical tumor stage were significantly associated with biochemical recurrence free survival.

*Conclusion:* In Chinese men, older age (≥70 years) is associated with better outcome. If the physical condition permits, older age alone should not exclude patients from radical prostatectomy.

© 2015 Editorial Office of Asian Journal of Urology. Production and hosting by Elsevier (Singapore) Pte Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [sunyh@medmail.com.cn](mailto:sunyh@medmail.com.cn) (Y. Sun).

Peer review under responsibility of Shanghai Medical Association and SMMU.

<sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally to this study.

## 1. Introduction

In 2013, prostate cancer was the most commonly diagnosed malignancy and the second leading cause of cancer-related death in men in America [1]. However, the incidence rate of prostate cancer in China is significantly lower. A study had reported a 26-fold higher incidence rate of prostate cancer in American than in Chinese men with an intermediate rate in Chinese-American men. Such differences may be due to genetic and/or environment factors [2]. An RNA-seq study using prostate cancer tissue from Chinese patients found two novel gene fusions which appear to be specifically associated with Chinese patients [3]. In this article, we will reveal an age-related Chinese people specific feature.

## 2. Patients and methods

From January 2001 to February 2010, a cohort of 390 men underwent retropubic radical prostatectomy in our institution for the treatment of clinically localized prostate cancer. Eighty-nine cases were excluded because of insufficient clinical data, adjuvant or neoadjuvant hormonal therapy, and radiotherapy. The remaining 301 cases were included in the study and divided into two groups based on age, with 161 (53.5%) men aged  $\geq 70$  years and 140 (46.5%) aged  $< 70$  years.

Two hundred and seventy-five patients were diagnosed by ultrasound guided prostate biopsy, and 26 patients were diagnosed by Transurethral Resection of Prostate (TURP). Ultrasound and pelvic MRI or CT were performed before biopsy. The indications for prostate biopsy were prostate peripheral zone nodule detected by digital rectal examination (DRE), ultrasound, MRI or CT with any prostate specific antigen (PSA) level, or PSA = 4–10 ng/mL and free PSA/total PSA  $< 0.16$  without detectable nodule, or PSA  $> 10$  ng/mL. In order to comparatively analyze the age related differences, we considered Gleason score, PSA and clinical stage (Table 1). Biochemical recurrence was defined as two consecutive increasing PSA values of  $> 0.2$  ng/mL.

A Chi-square test and an independent test were used to perform a comparative analysis of clinical factors according to age. Univariate Cox regression model and Kaplan–Meier analysis were used for examining the effect of age on the outcomes of biochemical recurrence-free survival (BCRFS). Mean overall follow-up for the analysis was 57 months.

**Table 1** Assignment of PSA, Gleason score and clinical stage.

	Process of variable assignment
PSA (ng/mL)	Level 1: 0–4; Level 2: 4–10; Level 3: 10–20; Level 4: $\geq 20$
Gleason score	Level 1: 3/3; Level 2: 3/4–5; Level 3: 4–5/3–5
Clinical stage	Level 1: T1a; Level 2: T1b; Level 3: T1c; Level 4: T2a; Level 5: T2b; Level 6: T2c; Level 7: T3a

SPSS19.0 for Windows software (IBM, NY, USA) was used, and  $p < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

## 3. Results

Demographics and clinical characteristics are described in Table 2. Except for PSA, there were no significant differences in other parameters between the two groups. However, the low Gleason score (3/3) rate in older group (46.6%) was higher than that in younger group (41.4%).

Mean operation time was 200 min (range: 150–300 min). Average blood loss was 230 (100–1100) mL. Among the 301 patients, rectum injury rate was 1.0% (3 cases), and lymphatic leakage rate was 1.99% (6 cases). The 1 year, 2 years, 3 years and 5 years BCRFS rate were 95.0% (286 cases), 92.0% (277 cases), 91.0% (274 cases) and 89.4% (269 cases). The 5 year BCRFS rate of the old group was 92.5% (149 in 161 cases), and it 85.7% (120 in 140 cases) in young group.

We analyzed the relationship between the four factors and 5 years BCRFS rate. The PSA and clinical stage were positive risk factors, and age was a negative risk factor. There was no relation between Gleason score and 5 years BCRFS rate (Table 3). The survival analysis of different age groups showed that the older patients had higher BCRFS rate than that of the younger patients (Fig. 1).

## 4. Discussion

As radical prostatectomy is traditionally recommended for patients with longer than 10 years life expectancy, many surgeons intend to offer radical surgery to patients aged  $\geq 70$  years [4]. However, with the rapidly increasing elderly population and prolonged life expectancy, more and more old ( $\geq 70$  years) patients with localized prostate cancer will have longer than 10 years life expectancy [5,6]. How to manage these patients become an important issue. It is important to know the outcomes of radical prostatectomy for those patients.

Several articles had focused on this question, however, the result seemed controversial. In 2008, an US study of 4035 patients demonstrate that even though the older patients had higher clinical stage, pathology Gleason sums and a lower frequency of organ-confined disease, there was no difference in cancers specific survival or biochemical progression-free probability between the younger and older patients [7], and similar opinion appeared in another US study [8]. With the advent of new robotic assisted RP technique, the result seemed to be confirmed. In a RARP study, Greco observed that except for a higher pathological Gleason grade and longer time to return to driving, outcomes of older patients are largely comparable to that in younger patients [9]. However, Pfitzenmaier et al. [10] analyzed 626 RP patients in Germany, and showed that the 10-year PSA-free survival was 51.8% for the younger and 57.4% for the older patients respectively. Another American and British study showed the similar result [11,12]. In Asia, biochemical recurrence are more likely in older Korean and Japanese patients [13,14]. Although these results are controversial, it appears that older patients have no better outcome than the young patients.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3853493>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3853493>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)