Imaging after Urinary Tract Infection in Older Children and Adolescents

Michael P. Kurtz,* Jeanne S. Chow, Emilie K. Johnson, Ilina Rosoklija, Tanya Logvinenko and Caleb P. Nelson

From the Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

Abbreviations and Acronyms

Accepted for publication October 31, 2014. Study received institutional review board approval.

* Correspondence: Department of Urology, Boston Children's Hospital, 300 Longwood Ave., Hunnewell 390, Boston, Massachusetts 02115 (telephone: 617-355-7796; FAX: 617-730-0474; e-mail: michael.kurtz@childrens.harvard.edu). **Purpose**: There are few guidelines and little data on imaging after urinary tract infections in older children. We determined the clinical yield of renal and bladder ultrasound, and voiding cystourethrogram in older children and adolescents after urinary tract infection.

Materials and Methods: We analyzed findings on voiding cystourethrogram, and renal and bladder ultrasound as well as the clinical history of patients who underwent the 2 studies on the same day between January 2006 and December 2010. We selected for study patients 5 to 18 years old who underwent imaging for urinary tract infection. Those with prior postnatal genitourinary imaging or prenatal hydronephrosis were excluded from analysis.

Results: We identified a cohort of 153 patients, of whom 74% were 5 to 8 years old, 21% were 8 to 12 years old and 5% were 12 to 18 years old. Of the patients 77%were female, 78% had a febrile urinary tract infection history and 55% had a history of recurrent urinary tract infections. Renal and bladder ultrasound findings revealed hydronephrosis in 7.8% of patients, ureteral dilatation in 3.9%, renal parenchymal findings in 20% and bladder findings in 12%. No patient had moderate or greater hydronephrosis. Voiding cystourethrogram showed vesicoureteral reflux in 34% of cases and bladder or urethral anomalies in 12%. Reflux was grade I, II-III and greater than III in 5.9%, 26% and 2% of patients, respectively. For any voiding cystourethrogram abnormality the sensitivity and specificity of any renal and bladder ultrasound abnormality were 0.49 (95% CI 0.37-0.62) and 0.76 (95% CI 0.66-0.84), respectively. Positive and negative predictive values were 0.58 (95% CI 0.44-0.71) and 0.69 (0.59-0.77), respectively. **Conclusions:** In older children with a history of urinary tract infection the imaging yield is significant. However, imaging revealed high grade hydronephrosis or high grade vesicoureteral reflux in few patients. Renal ultrasound is not reliable for predicting voiding cystourethrogram findings such as vesicoureteral reflux.

> Key Words: urinary tract infections, vesico-ureteral reflux, hydronephrosis, ultrasonography, adolescents

URINARY tract infections are common in adolescents and young children.¹ The 2011 AAP (American Association of Pediatrics) guidelines for evaluation after UTI focus on ages 2 to 24 months² but there are few guidelines and little data on assessing older children and adolescents. VCUG and RBUS have been done in such patients but the value of such tests is uncertain.^{3,4} The 2 procedures carry costs in terms of invasiveness and resource use. In addition, it would be useful for the practicing clinician to counsel patients accurately on the anticipated probability of a positive test. Moreover, if 1 test could provide information on the other (ie if RBUS findings were reliably predictive of VCUG findings), a stepwise approach could be performed in which imaging tests could be ordered sequentially, each contingent on the results of the other.

While RBUS is a poor predictor of VCUG findings such as VUR in younger children 0 to 5 years old,⁵ the predictive value of RBUS in the older age group is not well defined. We determined the clinical yield of RBUS and VCUG after UTI in older children and adolescents, and tested the predictive ability of RBUS with respect to VCUG findings in this patient population.

METHODS

The study was approved by the institutional review board and informed consent was waived. Single institution billing records were used to identify all patients 60 months to 18 years old who on a single day underwent VCUG (CPT code 74455) plus RBUS (CPT codes 76700—abdominal, 76705—abdominal, limited, 76770—retroperitoneal, 76775—retroperitoneal, limited, 76856—pelvic or 76857—pelvic, limited) between January 1, 2006 and December 31, 2010. Imaging reports were reviewed, and demographic and clinical histories were compiled from the electronic or paper medical record.

Patients with prior postnatal genitourinary imaging such as VCUG, RBUS or other studies during which the urinary tract was imaged, or a history of prenatal hydronephrosis were excluded from analysis. In all studies the indication was UTI, which was classified as febrile or nonfebrile and initial or recurrent.

	Normal RBUS-		-D RBUS-C		RBUS-B		RBUS-A		
Renal Collecting System	Normal	Normal		"Fullness" or "prominence"		Mild hydronephrosis		>Mild hydronephrosis	
Ureter	Normal	Normal		Normal		Mild dilatation		>Mild ureteral dilatation	
Renal parenchyma	Normal	Duplication, Solitary Kidney		Simple cyst (single)		Size discrepancy Renal ectopia		Stone(s), Dysplasia/ Increased echogenicity, Cortical thinning/scar, Abnormal cortico- medullary differentiation, Multicystic/polycystic kidney	
Bladder	Normal	Normal		Debris, Wall thickening		Trabeculation, Diverticulum		Ureterocele, Dilated posterior urethra	
	VCUG-E V		VCU	VCUG-D		VCUG-C		G-B	VCUG-A
VUR	Any VUR		Any VUR		VUR >Grade I		VUR > Grade II		VUR > Grade III
Peri- ureteral diverticulum	Any peri- ureteral diverticulum		Any peri- ureteral diverticulum		Any peri- ureteral diverticulum		Any peri- ureteral diverticulum		Any peri- ureteral diverticulum
Bladder	Diverticulum, Trabeculation, Ureterocele, Large volume, Small volume, Bladder wall thickening		"Significant" abnormalities: Diverticulum Trabeculation Ureterocele		"Significant" abnormalities: Diverticulum Trabeculation Ureterocele		"Significant" abnormalities: Diverticulum Trabeculation Ureterocele		"Significant" abnormalities: Diverticulum Trabeculation Ureterocele
Urethra	Any abnormality		Any abnormality		Any abnormality		Any abnor	mality	Any abnormality

Figure 1. RBUS and VCUG positivity thresholds in abridged form. To determine threshold met by findings of given imaging study first identify specific imaging finding furthest to right (most abnormal). RBUS or VCUG would then meet positive criteria of threshold at top of column (A, B, C, D or E) as well as of less stringent thresholds further to left. For instance, RBUS with most abnormal finding of mild ureteral dilatation would meet definition of positive RBUS for threshold B as well as thresholds C and D. This RBUS would be negative under threshold A.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3859209

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3859209

Daneshyari.com