



Correspondence

Note on stratified  $L$ -ordered convergence structures <sup>☆</sup>

Jinming Fang <sup>a,\*</sup>, Kai Wang <sup>a</sup>, Qinghua Li <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Ocean University of China, 238 Song Ling Road, Qingdao 266100, China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Yantai University, 30 Qing Quan Road, Yantai 264005, China

Received 24 July 2015; received in revised form 31 December 2015; accepted 11 January 2016

Available online 21 January 2016

**Abstract**

In the paper, we point out that the proof of Theorem 5.5 in Fang (2010) [1], which says the category of stratified  $L$ -ordered convergence spaces is Cartesian-closed, is not correct. By an alternative method, the Cartesian-closedness of the category of stratified  $L$ -ordered convergence spaces is confirmed.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:*  $L$ -filter;  $L$ -ordered convergence structure; Cartesian-closedness; Topology

**1. Introduction**

When the underlying lattice is a complete Heyting algebra  $L$ , G. Jäger [5] developed a theory of stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence spaces based on the concept of stratified  $L$ -filters. The resulting category  $SL\text{-GCS}$  of stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence spaces contains the category of stratified  $L$ -topological spaces as a reflective subcategory and has the desired structural property of Cartesian-closedness.

J.M. Fang [1] proposed the concept of stratified  $L$ -ordered convergence structures by making use of the intrinsic fuzzy inclusion order on the fuzzy power set. Note that Li [6] also obtained this concept using a different name, called stratified  $L$ -convergence structures. Fang in [1] showed that the category of stratified  $L$ -ordered convergence spaces  $SL\text{-OCS}$  is a reflective full subcategory in  $SL\text{-GCS}$ . Further Fang [2] observed there is a Galois correspondence between  $SL\text{-OCS}$  and the category of strong  $L$ -topological spaces [8].

Following the property of a reflective full subcategory in  $SL\text{-GCS}$ , in order to answer the Cartesian-closedness of  $SL\text{-OCS}$ , one of the ideas is to prove that the reflector  $(-)_* : SL\text{-GCS} \rightarrow SL\text{-OCS}$  preserves finite products. This is precisely the strategy in the proof of Theorem 5.5 [1], which says that the category  $SL\text{-OCS}$  is Cartesian-closed.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2010.04.001>.

<sup>☆</sup> This work is supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11471297, No. 11401547).

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail address:* [jinming-fang@163.com](mailto:jinming-fang@163.com) (J. Fang).

However, we find that the method of proving that the reflector  $(-)_* : SL\text{-GCS} \rightarrow SL\text{-OCS}$  preserves finite products is not correct. In detail, the inequality at line 5 of p. 2148 in [1] is wrong.

The aim of this note is to offer a solution to confirm the Cartesian-closedness of  $SL\text{-OCS}$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

To explore the solution, let us make some necessary preliminaries.

Throughout this paper,  $L$  denotes a complete Heyting algebra. The greatest element of  $L$  is denoted by 1 and the least element of  $L$  by 0. In a complete Heyting algebra  $L$ , an implication can be defined by  $a \rightarrow b = \bigvee \{c \mid a \wedge c \leq b\}$ . This implication is a right-adjoint of the meet operation, i.e. it satisfies  $c \leq a \rightarrow b$  if and only if  $a \wedge c \leq b$ . For the properties of an implication, we refer readers to [5].

An  $L$ -subset on a set  $X$  is a map from  $X$  to  $L$ , and the family of all  $L$ -subsets on  $X$  will be denoted by  $L^X$ , called the  $L$ -power set. By  $0_X$  and  $1_X$ , we denote the constant  $L$ -subsets on  $X$  taking the value 0 and 1, respectively. For  $A, B \in L^X$ , the degree to which  $B$  contains  $A$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}(A, B)$ , which is given by  $\mathcal{S}(A, B) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow B(x))$ .

**Definition 2.1.** (See [3,4].) Let  $L$  be a Heyting algebra and  $X$  be a nonempty set. A map  $\mathcal{F} : L^X \rightarrow L$  satisfies the following conditions: for all  $A, B \in L^X, \alpha \in L$ ,

- (F1)  $\mathcal{F}(1_X) = 1, \mathcal{F}(0_X) = 0,$
- (F2)  $A \leq B \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A) \leq \mathcal{F}(B),$
- (F3)  $\mathcal{F}(A) \wedge \mathcal{F}(B) \leq \mathcal{F}(A \wedge B),$
- (Fs)  $\alpha \wedge \mathcal{F}(A) \leq \mathcal{F}(\alpha \wedge A),$

then  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a stratified  $L$ -filter. The set of all stratified  $L$ -filters is denoted by  $F_L^s(X)$ , order it by  $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}$  if  $\mathcal{F}(A) \leq \mathcal{G}(A)$  for all  $A \in L^X$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.2.** (See [4].) Given a point  $x \in X$ , the map  $[x] : L^X \rightarrow L$  defined by for each  $A \in L^X, [x](A) := A(x)$  is a stratified  $L$ -filter on  $X$ , called it the point  $L$ -filter  $[x]$  of  $x$ .  $\square$

Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map and  $\mathcal{F} \in F_L^s(X)$ . Then the map  $\varphi \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F}) : L^Y \rightarrow L$ , defined by  $\varphi \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F})(B) = \mathcal{F}(B \circ \varphi)$  for all  $B \in L^Y$ , is a stratified  $L$ -filter, called the image of  $\mathcal{F}$  under  $\varphi$  in [3]. For a nonempty set  $X$ , a binary map  $\mathcal{S}_F(-, -) : F_L^s(X) \times F_L^s(X) \rightarrow L$  is defined in [1] by

$$\forall \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in F_L^s(X), \mathcal{S}_F(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \bigwedge_{A \in L^X} (\mathcal{F}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)).$$

Obviously, if  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is an ordinary map, then for  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in F_L^s(X)$  it follows that  $\mathcal{S}_F(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \leq \mathcal{S}_F(\varphi \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F}), \varphi \Rightarrow (\mathcal{G}))$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be stratified  $L$ -filters on  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. The product of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$ , is defined by Jäger in [5]. We only offer one of its computations in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.3.** (See J.M. Fang [1].) Let  $\mathcal{F} \in F_L^s(X_1), \mathcal{G} \in F_L^s(X_2)$ . The product filter  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$  can be computed as follows (see Proposition 3.11 [1]):

$$\forall A \in L^{X_1 \times X_2}, (\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G})(A) = \bigvee_{C \in L^{X_1}, D \in L^{X_2}} \mathcal{F}(C) \wedge \mathcal{G}(D) \wedge \mathcal{S}(C \times D, A). \quad \square$$

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two nonempty sets. Then for  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in F_L^s(X)$  and  $\mathcal{H} \in F_L^s(Y), \mathcal{S}_F(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}) \geq \mathcal{S}_F(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ .

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.3,  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{H}$  and is computed by for  $A \in L^{X \times Y}$ ,

$$(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{H})(A) = \bigvee_{C \in L^X, D \in L^Y} \mathcal{F}(C) \wedge \mathcal{H}(D) \wedge \mathcal{S}(C \times D, A).$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/389076>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/389076>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)