



Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)



sets and systems

[Fuzzy Sets and Systems 299 \(2016\) 98–104](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2015.10.008)

[www.elsevier.com/locate/fss](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/fss)

# A note on the superadditive and the subadditive transformations of aggregation functions

Alexandra Šipošová

Slovak University of Technology, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Department of Mathematics and Descriptive Geometry, Radlinského 11, *810 05 Bratislava, Slovakia*

Received 4 March 2015; received in revised form 26 October 2015; accepted 28 October 2015

Available online 2 November 2015

#### **Abstract**

We expand the theoretical background of the recently introduced superadditive and subadditive transformations of aggregation functions. Necessary and sufficient conditions ensuring that a transformation of a proper aggregation function is again proper are deeply studied and exemplified. Relationships between these transformations are also studied. © 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Aggregation function; Subadditive transformation; Superadditive transformation

## **1. Introduction**

Motivated by applications in economics, subadditive and superadditive transformations of aggregation functions on  $R^+ = [0, \infty)$  have been recently introduced in [\[4\].](#page--1-0) Formally, both these transformations can be introduced on the improper real interval  $[0, \infty]$ .

**Definition 1.** A mapping  $A : [0, \infty]^n \to [0, \infty]$  is called an  $(n$ -ary) aggregation function if  $A(0, \ldots, 0) = 0$  and A is increasing in each coordinate. Further, *A* is called a proper (*n*-ary) aggregation function if it satisfies the following two additional constraints:

(i)  $A(\mathbf{x}) \in [0, \infty)$  for some  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, \infty]^n$ ,

(ii)  $A(\mathbf{x}) < \infty$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, \infty]^n$ .

Though for real applications we only need proper aggregation functions (in fact, their restriction to the domain [0*,*∞[*n*), a broader framework of all (*n*-ary) aggregation functions is of advantage in a formal description of our results, making formulations and expressions more transparent. Observe that our framework is broader than the concept of aggregation functions on  $[0, \infty]$  as introduced in [\[1,3\],](#page--1-0) which does not cover Sugeno integral based aggregation

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2015.10.008> 0165-0114/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*E-mail address:* [alexandra.siposova@stuba.sk.](mailto:alexandra.siposova@stuba.sk)

functions, for example. We denote the class of all *n*-ary aggregation functions by A*n*, and the class of all *n*-ary proper aggregation functions by  $P_n$ .

The next definition was motivated by optimization tasks treated in linear programming area and related areas  $[2]$ , as well as by recently introduced concepts of concave [\[5\]](#page--1-0) and convex [\[6\]](#page--1-0) integrals.

**Definition 2.** For every  $A \in \mathcal{A}_n$  the subadditive transformation  $A_* : [0, \infty]^n \to [0, \infty]$  of *A* is given by

$$
A_*(\mathbf{x}) = \inf \{ \sum_{i=1}^k A(\mathbf{y}^{(i)}) \mid \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{y}^{(i)} \ge \mathbf{x} \} \tag{1}
$$

Similarly, for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}_n$  the superadditive transformation  $A^* : [0, \infty]^n \to [0, \infty]$  of *A* is defined by

$$
A^*(\mathbf{x}) = \sup \{ \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} A(\mathbf{y}^{(j)}) \mid \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{y}^{(j)} \le \mathbf{x} \} .
$$
 (2)

Observe that the transformation (1) was originally introduced in [\[4\]](#page--1-0) for  $A \in \mathcal{K}_*^n$ , where  $\mathcal{K}_*^n$  is the class of all *n*-ary proper aggregation functions (restricted to  $[0, \infty]^n$ ) such that also  $A_*$  is proper, that is,  $A_* \in \mathcal{P}_n$ . Similarly,  $A^*$  given by (2) was originally introduced in [\[4\]](#page--1-0) only for  $A \in \mathcal{K}_n^*$ , where  $\mathcal{K}_n^*$  is the class of all  $A \in \mathcal{P}_n$  (restricted to  $[0, \infty]^n$ ), so that  $A^* \in \mathcal{P}_n$  as well.

Theorem 2 in [\[4\]](#page--1-0) gives a necessary and sufficient condition ensuring that a function  $A \in \mathcal{P}_n$  has also the property that  $A \in \mathcal{K}_n^*$ . We develop this result, giving an equivalent condition. Moreover, we also characterize all the functions *A* ∈  $\mathcal{P}_n$  such that *A* ∈  $\mathcal{K}_*^n$ . Our approach is based on a deep study of transformations (1) and (2) on unary aggregation functions that belong to  $\mathcal{P}_1$ . Our approach allows to show that for any  $A \in \mathcal{P}_n$  we have the inequality  $(A_*)^* \leq (A^*)_*$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, the classes  $\mathcal{K}_1^*$  and  $\mathcal{K}_*^1$  are completely described, showing that the properties in a neighborhood of 0 are important for characterization of elements of these classes. In Section [3,](#page--1-0) necessary and sufficient conditions for a function  $A \in \mathcal{P}_n$  to belong to  $\mathcal{K}_n^*$ , or to  $\mathcal{K}_n^n$ , are given. Section [4](#page--1-0) is devoted to the study of relationships of transformations  $(A_*)^*$  and  $(A^*)_*$ . Finally, some concluding remarks are added.

### **2. The one-dimensional case**

We begin with basic results which show how the values of the subadditive and superadditive transformations of one-dimensional aggregation functions depend on the behavior of the functions near zero.

**Theorem 1.** Let h be an unary aggregation function on  $[0, \infty]$  with  $\liminf_{t\to 0^+} h(t)/t = a$  and  $\limsup_{t\to 0^+} h(t)/t = a$ b, where  $0 \le a \le b \le \infty$ . Then, for every  $x \in [0, \infty)$  we have  $h_*(x) \le ax$  and  $h^*(x) \ge bx$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x > 0$ . By definitions of  $h_*$  and  $h^*$ , for every positive integer *n* we have  $h_*(x) \le nh(x/n) \le h^*(x)$ , that is,

$$
h_*(x) \le x \cdot \frac{h(\frac{x}{n})}{\frac{x}{n}} \le h^*(x) \tag{3}
$$

Since *h* is increasing, for every *t* such that  $\frac{x}{n+1} \le t \le \frac{x}{n}$  we have

$$
\frac{h(\frac{x}{n+1})}{\frac{x}{n}} \le \frac{h(t)}{t} \le \frac{h(\frac{x}{n})}{\frac{x}{n+1}}.
$$

Applying the limits inferior and superior to these inequalities as  $t \to 0^+$  and  $n \to \infty$  (with  $\frac{n+1}{n} \to 1$ ) shows that

$$
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{h(\frac{x}{n})}{\frac{x}{n}} \le \liminf_{t \to 0^+} \frac{h(t)}{t} \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{h(\frac{x}{n})}{\frac{x}{n}} \ge \limsup_{t \to 0^+} \frac{h(t)}{t} \ .
$$

Combining  $(3)$  with  $(4)$  now gives

$$
h_*(x) \le x \cdot \liminf_{t \to 0^+} \frac{h(t)}{t} = ax \quad \text{and} \quad h^*(x) \ge x \cdot \limsup_{t \to 0^+} \frac{h(t)}{t} = bx
$$

for every  $x > 0$ , which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Download English Version:

# <https://daneshyari.com/en/article/389083>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/389083>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)