



Fuzzy rule base simplification using multidimensional scaling and constrained optimization

George E. Tsekouras *

University of the Aegean, Laboratory of Intelligent Multimedia, Department of Cultural Technology and Communication, Mytilene, Lesvos Island, Greece

Received 6 February 2014; received in revised form 14 October 2015; accepted 27 October 2015

Available online 3 November 2015

Abstract

This paper proposes a novel approach for the development of highly accurate and interpretable fuzzy models with Gaussian fuzzy sets, under the framework of multidimensional scaling and non-linear constrained optimization. Upon the assumption that an accurate initial fuzzy model has already been designed, we introduce an effective methodology to approximate the similarity measure between fuzzy sets. The resulting similarity degrees guide the quantification of the dissimilarity degrees between rule antecedents. We, then, put the multidimensional scaling in place in order to transform the rule antecedents into points in a low dimensional Euclidean space. The elaboration on the distribution of these points is carried out by means of an objective-function based fuzzy clustering using a cluster validity index. Rules that correspond to points belonging to the same cluster are similar and therefore, are unified through a specialized merging process. With respect to each dimension, the aforementioned merging process acts to create a topology of fuzzy sets that enables us to elicit interpretability constraints, which are used to minimize the model's performance index in terms of non-linear constrained optimization. The established fuzzy model appears to possess a simple and transparent (i.e. interpretable) structure while maintaining a highly accurate behavior. The overall method is rigorously tested and evaluated through a number of simulation experiments that involve low and high dimensional data sets.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Fuzzy modeling; Interpretability; Accuracy; Multidimensional scaling; Approximated similarity measures; Non-linear constrained optimization

1. Introduction

During the last decades, fuzzy modeling has been extensively exploited as an alternative to more traditional modeling considerations [4,5,15,18,19,45,49]. The reason that gave impetus to this tendency relies on two basic structural characteristics the fuzzy models possess. First, they are universal approximators and second, their inherent configuration supports the processing of linguistic concepts [8,14,21,42]. The former concerns the accurate model's behavior,

* Tel.: +30 2251036631; fax: +30 2251036609.

E-mail address: gtsek@ct.aegean.gr.

Nomenclature

A_{ij}	Fuzzy set of the i th rule in the j th dimension	v_A	Center of the fuzzy set A
a_{ij}	Consequent parameters of the initial fuzzy model	v_{ij}	Fuzzy set centers of the initial fuzzy model
b_{ij}	Consequent parameters of the simplified fuzzy model	v_i^{SC}	Cluster centers in the low dimensional transformed Euclidean space
C_i	Fuzzy clusters in the low dimensional transformed Euclidean space	w_i	Firing strength of the i th fuzzy rule
c	Number of rules of the simplified model	x_k	The k th input training data vector
c_{\max}	Maximum number of clusters used to apply the cluster validity index	x_{AB}	Intersection point between the fuzzy sets A and B
d_{il}	Euclidean distance in the low dimensional transformed Euclidean space	z_i	The consequent part of the i th fuzzy rule
E	Area	y_k	The k th output data
f_{kj}	Distinguishability constraint functions	\tilde{y}_k	Fuzzy model's estimated output
G	Functions that aggregate the constraints used in the constrained optimization	β_{ij}	Constraints involved in the optimization procedure
g_{kj}	Completeness constraint functions	γ	Penalty factor
h_i	Fuzzy basis functions	δ_{il}	Dissimilarity measure between rule antecedents
J_{SC}	Multidimensional scaling objective function	ϑ_A^L	The lower point that corresponds to a small membership degree ξ of the fuzzy set A
J_{SE}	Square error objective function	ϑ_A^U	The upper point that corresponds to a small membership degree ξ of the fuzzy set A
M	Number of rules of the initial fuzzy model	μ_{ij}	Type of membership function involved in the cluster validity index
N	Number of training data	ξ	A small number corresponding to the ξ -cut of a fuzzy set
p	Number of dimensions of the feature space	σ_A	Width of the fuzzy set A
Q	Number of equidistant points that discretize each universe of discourse	σ_{ij}	Fuzzy set widths of the initial fuzzy model
R_i	The i th fuzzy rule	v_{ij}	Fuzzy set centers of the simplified fuzzy model
R_i^A	The antecedent part of the i th fuzzy rule	ϕ_{ij}	Constraints involved in the optimization procedure
r_i	Vectors that represent the cluster centers in the low dimensional transformed Euclidean space	φ_{ij}	Constraints involved in the optimization procedure
S	Similarity measure	χ_i	Data vectors resulting from the multidimensional scaling transformation
s_{ij}	Fuzzy set widths of the simplified fuzzy model	$\bar{\chi}$	Mean value of all χ_i
UoD_j^L	Lower limiting point of the j th universe of discourse	ψ_{kj}	Equidistant points that discretize each universe of discourse
UoD_j^U	Upper limiting point of the j th universe of discourse	Ω_j	Set of the fuzzy set widths in the j th dimension
u_{ik}^{SC}	Membership degrees of the clusters in the transformed Euclidean space		

while the latter reflects on model's ability to generate and reform human-understandable knowledge and is usually referred to as interpretability [13,24,27,29,37,47]. It has been widely acknowledged that although these two characteristics are of major importance, their direct implementation appears to obtain conflicting results. The experience acquired so far has shown that this contradictory behavior is by far the most challenging problem in fuzzy modeling. Yet, this problem indicates the very core of the diversification of fuzzy modeling from other modeling strategies, since it directly designates the model's potential to provide user friendly knowledge; an issue that can hardly be addressed by other modeling schemes. Finding a balance between interpretability and accuracy appears to be a tough problem mainly because of the varying model's structure/behavior from application to application [7,10,16,22,24,51,52]. Al-

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/389284>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/389284>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)