



## Review

## Clinical practice guidelines from the French College of Gynecologists and Obstetricians (CNGOF): benign breast tumors – short text



Vincent Lavoué<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>, Xavier Fritel<sup>d,e</sup>, Martine Antoine<sup>f</sup>, Françoise Beltjens<sup>g</sup>, Sofiane Bendifallah<sup>h</sup>, Martine Boissérie-Lacroix<sup>i</sup>, Loïc Boulanger<sup>j</sup>, Geoffroy Canlorbe<sup>k</sup>, Sophie Catteau-Jonard<sup>t</sup>, Nathalie Chabbert-Buffet<sup>l</sup>, Foucauld Chamming's<sup>m</sup>, Elisabeth Chéreau<sup>n</sup>, Jocelyne Chopier<sup>o</sup>, Charles Coutant<sup>p</sup>, Julie Demetz<sup>j</sup>, Nicolas Guilhen<sup>q</sup>, Raffaele Fauvet<sup>r,s</sup>, Olivier Kerdraon<sup>u</sup>, Enora Laas<sup>l</sup>, Guillaume Legendre<sup>v,w</sup>, Carole Mathelin<sup>x</sup>, Cédric Nadeau<sup>q</sup>, Isabelle Thomassin Naggara<sup>o</sup>, Charlotte Ngô<sup>y,z</sup>, Lobna Ouldamer<sup>A,B,C</sup>, Arash Rafii<sup>D</sup>, Marie-Noelle Roedlich<sup>E</sup>, Jérémy Seror<sup>F,G</sup>, Jean-Yves Séror<sup>H</sup>, Cyril Touboul<sup>I</sup>, Catherine Uzan<sup>J,K</sup>, Emile Daraï<sup>k</sup>  
on behalf of the French College of Gynecologists and Obstetricians (CNGOF)

<sup>a</sup> Department of Gynecology, Rennes University Hospital, France<sup>b</sup> ER440, Oncogenesis, Stress and Signaling, INSERM Labeled, CRLCC Eugène Marquis, Rennes, France<sup>c</sup> University of Rennes 1, France<sup>d</sup> University of Poitiers, CIC 1402, Poitiers University Hospital, Poitiers, France<sup>e</sup> CESP Inserm U1018, Kremlin-Bicêtre, France<sup>f</sup> Department of Anatomical Pathology, Hôpital Tenon, 4 rue de la Chine, 75020 Paris, France<sup>g</sup> Department of Anatomical Pathology, Centre Régional de Lutte contre le cancer Georges-François Leclerc, Dijon, France<sup>h</sup> Department of Gynecology, Obstetrics and Reproductive Medicine, Hôpital Tenon, AP-HP, INSERM UMRS707, 4 rue de la Chine, 75020 Paris, France<sup>i</sup> Department of Radiology, Centre Régional de Lutte Contre le Cancer Bergognié, Bordeaux, France<sup>j</sup> Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Hôpital Jeanne de Flandre, Lille, France<sup>k</sup> Department of Gynecology, Obstetrics and Reproductive Medicine, Hôpital Tenon, AP-HP, Inserm UMRS938, 4 rue de la Chine, 75020 Paris, France<sup>l</sup> Department of Gynecology, Obstetrics and Reproductive Medicine, Hôpital Tenon, AP-HP, 4 rue de la Chine, 75020 Paris, France<sup>m</sup> Department of Radiology, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, AP-HP, 15 rue Leblanc, 75015 Paris, France<sup>n</sup> Department of Surgery, Centre régional de lutte contre le cancer Paoli Calmettes, Marseille, France<sup>o</sup> Department of Radiology, Hôpital Tenon, AP-HP, 4 rue de la Chine, 75020 Paris, France<sup>p</sup> Department of Surgery, Centre Régional de Lutte contre le cancer Georges-François Leclerc, Dijon, France<sup>q</sup> Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Poitiers University Hospital, 2 rue de la Milétrie, BP 577, 86021 Poitiers Cedex, France<sup>r</sup> Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Caen University Hospital, Avenue de la Côte de Nacre, 14 033 Caen Cedex 09, France<sup>s</sup> University of Caen Normandy, INSERM U1199, BIOTICLA, France<sup>t</sup> Department of Endocrine Gynecology, Hôpital Jeanne de Flandre, Lille, France<sup>u</sup> Department of Anatomical Pathology, Hôpital Jeanne de Flandre, Lille, France<sup>v</sup> Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Angers University Hospital, France<sup>w</sup> CESP INSERM U1018, France<sup>x</sup> Mastology Unit, CHRU Strasbourg, Hôpital de Hautepierre, Avenue Molière, 67200 Strasbourg, France<sup>y</sup> Department of Oncological, Gynecological and Breast Surgery, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, AP-HP, 15 rue Leblanc, 75015 Paris, France<sup>z</sup> Paris-Descartes University, Paris, France<sup>A</sup> Department of Gynecology, Tours University Hospital, Hôpital Bretonneau, 2 boulevard Tonnellé, 37044 Tours, France<sup>B</sup> Faculty of Medicine François-Rabelais, 37044 Tours, France<sup>C</sup> Unité INSERM 1069, 37044 Tours, France<sup>D</sup> Department of Obstetric Gynecology, Montpellier University Hospital, France<sup>E</sup> Department of Radiology, Hôpital Hautepierre, 1 av Molière, 67100 Strasbourg, France<sup>F</sup> Medical Practice, 146 Avenue Ledru Rollin, 75011 Paris, France<sup>G</sup> Ultrasound Department, AP-HP, Hôpital Tenon, 4 rue de la Chine, 75020 Paris, France<sup>H</sup> Imagerie Duroc, R Boulevard du Montparnasse, 75006 Paris, France<sup>I</sup> Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, CHI, 40 avenue de Verdun, 94000 Créteil, France<sup>J</sup> Department of Gynecological and Breast Surgery and Oncology, AP-HP, Pitié-Salpêtrière, 83 Bd de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris, France<sup>K</sup> Pierre et Marie Curie University, Paris 6, France

\* Corresponding author at: Collège National des Gynécologues et Obstétriciens Français (CNGOF), 91 Bd Sébastopol, 75002 Paris, France. Tel.: +00 33 144 641 534.  
E-mail address: [cngof@club-internet.fr](mailto:cngof@club-internet.fr) (V. Lavoué).

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 8 December 2015

Accepted 11 February 2016

## Keywords:

Benign breast tumor

Mammogram

Ultrasound

Pathological examination

BI-RADS classification

## ABSTRACT

Screening with breast ultrasound in combination with mammography is needed to investigate a clinical breast mass (Grade B), colored single-pore breast nipple discharge (Grade C), or mastitis (Grade C). The BI-RADS system is recommended for describing and classifying abnormal breast imaging findings.

For a breast abscess, a percutaneous biopsy is recommended in the case of a mass or persistent symptoms (Grade C). For mastalgia, when breast imaging is normal, no MRI or breast biopsy is recommended (Grade C). Percutaneous biopsy is recommended for a BI-RADS category 4–5 mass (Grade B). For persistent erythematous nipple or atypical eczema lesions, a nipple biopsy is recommended (Grade C). For distortion and asymmetry, a vacuum core-needle biopsy is recommended due to the risk of underestimation by simple core-needle biopsy (Grade C). For BI-RADS category 4–5 microcalcifications without any ultrasound signal, a minimum 11-G vacuum core-needle biopsy is recommended (Grade B). In the absence of microcalcifications on radiography cores additional samples are recommended (Grade B).

For atypical ductal hyperplasia, atypical lobular hyperplasia, lobular carcinoma in situ, flat epithelial atypia, radial scar and mucocoele with atypia, surgical excision is commonly recommended (Grade C). Expectant management is feasible after multidisciplinary consensus. For these lesions, when excision margins are not clear, no new excision is recommended except for LCIS characterized as pleomorphic or with necrosis (Grade C). For grade 1 phyllodes tumor, surgical resection with clear margins is recommended. For grade 2 phyllodes tumor, 10 mm margins are recommended (Grade C). For papillary breast lesions without atypia, complete disappearance of the radiological signal is recommended (Grade C). For papillary breast lesions with atypia, complete surgical excision is recommended (Grade C).

© 2016 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Contents

Introduction . . . . .	17
Materials and methods . . . . .	17
Results . . . . .	18
Investigation of a non-inflammatory clinical breast mass [10] . . . . .	18
Investigation of inflammatory breast (mastitis) [11] . . . . .	18
Management of infectious breast abscess and non-infectious inflammatory disease [12] . . . . .	18
Management of breast nipple discharge [9] . . . . .	18
Investigation of breast nipple lesion [13] . . . . .	18
Management of breast pain [14] . . . . .	18
Role of BI-RADS in the management of breast imaging abnormalities . . . . .	19
Management of breast mass, architectural distortion and asymmetry on imaging findings [16] . . . . .	19
Investigation of breast cysts [17] . . . . .	19
Investigation of breast microcalcifications [15] . . . . .	20
Management of breast epithelial proliferation with or without atypia [18] . . . . .	21
Management of fibro-epithelial breast tumor [19,20] . . . . .	22
Conflict of interest statement . . . . .	23
Acknowledgements . . . . .	23
References . . . . .	23

## Introduction

Unlike for breast cancer, data and guidelines for the investigation and management of benign breast disorders are limited. The CNGOF (French College of Gynecologists and Obstetricians) therefore decided to establish clinical practice guidelines for benign breast tumors (BBT). Breast diseases during pregnancy or post partum were not included in these guidelines [1].

## Materials and methods

CNGOF appointed a committee tasked with selecting experts, compiling questions and summarizing recommendations. The summary of valid scientific data for each question analyzed by the experts included a level of evidence (LE), based on the quality of the data available and determined using the rating scheme developed by the HAS (French health authority) [2–4]:

- LE1—high-power randomized comparative trials or meta-analyses of randomized comparative trials;
- LE2—low-power randomized trials, well-conducted non-randomized comparative studies and cohort studies;
- LE3—case-control studies; and
- LE4—non-randomized comparative studies with substantial bias, retrospective studies, cross-sectional studies and case series.

The practice guidelines were summarized from the responses provided by the experts, and grades were attributed as follows:

- Grade A: established scientific evidence;
- Grade B: scientific presumption; and
- Grade C: based on a low level of evidence, generally LE3 or LE4.

Recommendations based on professional consensus (absence of conclusive scientific evidence) were reduced to strict minimum.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3919259>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3919259>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)