ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejogrb



Historic Royal events and the male to female ratio at birth in the United Kingdom



Victor Grech*

Department of Paediatrics, Mater Dei Hospital, Malta

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 January 2015 Received in revised form 14 April 2015 Accepted 19 May 2015

Keywords: Great Britain Sex ratio Birth rate/*trends Infant Newborn

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The male to female ratio of live births is expressed as the ratio of male live births divided by total live births (M/T). Factors which reduce M/T include toxins, stress and privation. Britain remains enamoured of the Monarchy. This study was carried out in order to ascertain whether Royal events influenced M/T in the UK.

Materials and methods: Live births were analysed in relation to the birth of Prince Charles (1948), the Coronation of Queen Elizabeth II (1952), the Silver Jubilee (1977), the wedding of Diana Spencer to Charles, Prince of Wales (July 1981), the birth of Prince William (1982), the death of Lady Diana (August 1997), the wedding of Kate Middleton to Prince William (2011) and the Golden Jubilee (2002).

Results: This study analysed 29,293,240 live births. There was a significant dip in M/T in the Coronation year (p = 0.03). M/T dipped significantly in relation to the Royal Wedding, commencing a year before (p < 0.0001). There were no significant M/T changes in relation to the Silver and Golden Jubilees. The birth of Prince William in 1982 resulted in a rise in M/T in the following year only (p = 0.016). Analysis by quarter in relation to Lady Diana's death showed a decline in M/T 4–5 months later in the first quarter of 1998 (p = 0.046).

Comments: The anticipation and stress leading to the Coronation and Royal Wedding may have depressed M/T. Increased coital rates associated with exuberance in relation to Prince William's birth may have raised M/T. Lady Diana's death was temporally associated with a decline in M/T. Royalty events may affect M/T ratios in Britain.

© 2015 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

In mammals, gender is determined at conception and in humans, male live births occur slightly in excess. The male to female ratio of live births is expressed as the ratio of male live births divided by total live births and may be expressed as M/T [1].

Stress and M/T

Many factors have been shown to influence M/T [1,2]. These include exposure to toxins (both occupational and population exposures) [2], natural calamities such as earthquakes [3] and floods [4], stress [5], and privation [6]. All of these factors tend to reduce M/T.

Stress has been shown to be a particularly strong influence. For example, after the September 11 terrorist attacks on New York,

M/T fell sharply just three to four months later and recovered equally quickly [5]. Similarly, the 10-day war in Slovenia transiently but significantly depressed M/T [7]. However, conflicting results regarding the effects of stress have also been shown using similar datasets. For example, an analysis of the births in the Danish National Registers for 1980–1992 showed that exposure to severe life events tended to lower M/T [8]. In contrast, a study on the same but extended dataset (by one decade from 1993 to 2002) failed to replicate these findings [9].

Royalty and the public

Despite British cynicism towards traditional institutions such as Parliament, the City, the press and the police, the populace remains wedded to the notion of a Monarchy. Indeed, surveys consistently show that less than a fifth of British subjects wish to abolish the Royal institution [10]. For these reasons, the actions of Royalty are avidly watched and for many, have a significant impact on everyday life, an interest that is further fuelled by the increasingly ubiquitous media [11].

^{*} Tel.: +356 99495813. E-mail address: victor.e.grech@gov.mt

The British Isles have already been studied with regard to broad secular trends in M/T. There was an overall rise in M/T from the 1950s up to the late 1970s, followed by a decline thereafter. The step-down for the periods of 1975–1979 to 1980–1984 was highly significant (p = 0.001). An overall decreasing trend in M/T was noted (p = 0.04) [12]. It has also been shown that warfare did not significantly affect M/T, as, for example, in relation to the Falklands War [13].

This study was carried out in order to ascertain whether historic Royal occasions influenced M/T in the UK.

Materials and methods

Important dates related to the Monarchy for which M/T data was available included the birth of Prince Charles in 1948, Coronation of Queen Elizabeth II in 1952, the Silver Jubilee in 1977, the wedding of Diana Spencer to Charles, Prince of Wales in July 1981, the birth of Prince William in 1982, the death of Lady Diana in August 1997, the wedding of Kate Middleton to Prince William in 2011 and the Golden Jubilee in 2002.

Annual male and female live births were obtained directly from a World Health Organisation Database (HFA (Health for All) Database) for all of the UK. Data was available for the period 1950–2012. For the periods 1946–1950 and 2009–2013, data was available for England and Wales only from the Office for National Statistics, and this was used for the analysis pertaining to the birth

of Prince Charles and the wedding of Prince William and Kate Middleton.

Comparisons of M/T for these five index years were made with the two preceding and following years. This was to minimise the influence of natural secular variation in M/T [14].

Monthly data (male and female live births) for England and Wales only was also available from 1997 to 2008 from the Life Events & Population Sources, Office for National Statistics, Hants, UK. This data was used to identify any potential fluctuations in M/T related to the death of Lady Diana in 31 August 1997 and the Golden Jubilee in 2002.

Excel was used for data entry, overall analysis and charting. Sex odds were also calculated and tabulated (male divided by female births). The quadratic equations of Fleiss were used for exact calculation of 95% confidence intervals for ratios [15]. Chi tests and chi tests for trends for annual male and female births were used throughout using the Bio-Med-Stat Excel add-in for contingency tables [16]. A p-value \leq 0.05 was taken to represent a statistically significant result.

Results

This study analysed a total of 29,293,240 live births. Annual M/T for the index years and for the previous and following two years are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 M/T (and 95% confidence intervals) for the eight index years and two years prior and following each year.

	Birth of Prince Charles ^a						Wedding of Prince Charles to Diana Spencer				
	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950		1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
M F T UCI M/T LCI RR	422,299 398,420 820,719 0.5156 0.5145 0.5135 1.0599	453,590 427,436 881,026 0.5159 0.5148 0.5138 1.0612	399,112 376,194 775,306 0.5159 0.5148 0.5137 1.0609	376,064 354,454 730,518 0.5159 0.5148 0.5136 1.0610	358,715 338,382 697,097 0.5158 0.5146 0.5134 1.0601	M F T UCI M/T LCI RR	378,836 355,708 734,544 0.5169 0.5157 0.5146 1.0650	385,792 367,475 753,267 0.5133 0.5122 0.5110 1.0498	374,830 355,890 730,720 0.5141 0.5130 0.5118 1.0532	368,995 350,004 718,999 0.5144 0.5132 0.5121 1.0543	370,820 350,418 721,238 0.5153 0.5141 0.5130 1.0582
	Coronation						Death of Lady Diana				
	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954		1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
M F T UCI M/T LCI RR	421,440 396,981 818,421 0.5160 0.5149 0.5139 1.0616	409,942 386,703 796,645 0.5157 0.5146 0.5135 1.0601	406,992 385,925 792,917 0.5144 0.5133 0.5122 1.0546	413,929 390,340 804,269 0.5158 0.5147 0.5136 1.0604	408,686 386,083 794,769 0.5153 0.5142 0.5131 1.0585	M F T UCI M/T LCI RR	375,133 356,749 731,882 0.5137 0.5126 0.5114 1.0515	376,395 356,768 733,163 0.5145 0.5134 0.5122 1.0550	371,913 353,707 725,620 0.5137 0.5125 0.5114 1.0515	367,457 349,431 716,888 0.5137 0.5126 0.5114 1.0516	359,444 340,532 699,976 0.5147 0.5135 0.5123 1.0555
	Silver Jubilee						Golden Jubilee				
	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979		2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
M F T UCI M/T LCI RR	359,243 338,275 697,518 0.5162 0.5150 0.5139 1.0620	347,356 328,170 675,526 0.5154 0.5142 0.5130 1.0585	337,559 317,880 655,439 0.5162 0.5150 0.5138 1.0619	354,688 333,930 688,618 0.5163 0.5151 0.5139 1.0622	378,836 355,708 734,544 0.5169 0.5157 0.5146 1.0650	M F T UCI M/T LCI RR	347,941 331,088 679,029 0.5136 0.5124 0.5112 1.0509	342,709 326,414 669,123 0.5134 0.5122 0.5110 1.0499	343,155 325,622 668,777 0.5143 0.5131 0.5119 1.0538	356,578 338,971 695,549 0.5138 0.5127 0.5115 1.0519	367,586 348,410 715,996 0.5145 0.5134 0.5122 1.0550
	Birth of Prince William						Wedding of Prince William and Kate Middleton ^a				
	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
M F T UCI M/T LCI RR	385,792 367,475 753,267 0.5133 0.5122 0.5110 1.0498	374,830 355,890 730,720 0.5141 0.5130 0.5118 1.0532	368,995 350,004 718,999 0.5144 0.5132 0.5121 1.0543	370,820 350,418 721,238 0.5153 0.5141 0.5130 1.0582	373,379 356,022 729,401 0.5130 0.5119 0.5108 1.0488	M F T UCI M/T LCI RR	362,135 344,113 706,248 0.5139 0.5128 0.5116 1.0524	370,966 352,199 723,165 0.5141 0.5130 0.5118 1.0533	370,974 352,939 723,913 0.5136 0.5125 0.5113 1.0511	374,346 355,328 729,674 0.5142 0.5130 0.5119 1.0535	358,383 340,129 698,512 0.5142 0.5131 0.5119 1.0537

UCI and LCI: upper and lower confidence intervals.

^a England and Wales only

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3919535

Download Persian Version:

 $\underline{https://daneshyari.com/article/3919535}$

Daneshyari.com