



Pair Box 8 (PAX8) protein expression in high grade, late stage (stages III and IV) ovarian serous carcinoma

Paulette Mhawech-Fauceglia^{a,*}, Dan Wang^b, Damanzoopinder Samrao^a, Heidi Godoy^c, Faith Ough^a, Song Liu^b, Tanja Pejovic^d, Shashikant Lele^c

^a Department of Pathology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

^b Department of Biostatistics, Buffalo, NY, USA

^c Department of Gynecologic Oncology, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, NY, USA

^d Division of Gynecologic Oncology at Oregon Health & Science University and the Knight Cancer Institute, Portland, OR, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 May 2012

Accepted 5 June 2012

Available online 15 June 2012

Keywords:

PAX8

Immunoreexpression

Ovarian serous cancer

Disease outcome

ABSTRACT

Objectives. Pair-Box 8 (PAX8) is a transcription factor which has been found to be overexpressed in ovarian serous carcinoma (OSC). Silencing PAX8 by using shRNA led to a drop in cell viability in ovarian cancer cell lines, suggesting its use as a targeted therapeutic agent. The prognostic value of PAX8 in OSC is still widely unknown. The aim of this study was to evaluate PAX8 as a prognostic biomarker in patients with advanced stage OSC.

Methods. PAX8 was evaluated using immunohistochemistry on a tissue microarray of 148 OSC and the expression was correlated to the following clinico-pathologic variables; age of diagnosis, tumor stage, optimal debulking, recurrence free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS).

Results. We found that PAX8 was expressed in 61% of cases. There was no association between PAX8 and tumor stage, optimal debulking and disease recurrence. In addition, PAX8 failed to have a predictive value in disease outcome.

Conclusion. Despite showing that PAX8 protein is not a useful predictive marker in patients with high grade, advanced stage OSC, its overexpression in a large number of these cases makes the inhibition of PAX8 a very attractive targeted therapy.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) is the leading cause of death due to gynecologic malignancies in women in the United States, with 21,990 new cases and 15,460 women estimated to die of ovarian cancer in 2011 [1]. Women with EOC usually present with late stage diseases. The standard treatment for advanced stage disease is staging laparotomy with tumor debulking followed by platinum-taxane based chemotherapy [2]. Approximately 70–80% of patients with EOC will relapse after first-line chemotherapy and the management of relapsed ovarian carcinoma remains a difficult problem open to research [3]. Most patients will eventually die of chemotherapy resistant disease [4,5]. The overall survival rates remain disappointing with little improvement in response rates, disease free interval and median survival rates. Therefore, novel therapies targeting DNA

repair genes, angiogenesis, and immune-based therapy are urgently needed to improve patient care.

PAX8 is a member of the pair-box family of transcription factor genes. PAX8 is important in organogenesis of the thyroid, kidney, and müllerian tract [6,7]. Before the recent work of “Project Achilles”, the role of PAX8 in the reproductive system was still shrouded in mystery. By testing 100 ovarian cancer cell lines, the team detected PAX8 as the most important gene involved in ovarian cancer cells. Furthermore, in cancer cell lines overexpressing PAX8, silencing PAX8 using shRNA led to cell death, implicating that inhibition of PAX8 could be a novel targeted therapy in ovarian cancer [8]. In another study, PAX8 expression was found to be restricted to secretory cells of the fallopian tube epithelium, which most recently has been suggested as the cell of origin for serous ovarian carcinomas [9]. In the reproductive system, the interest in PAX8 immunoreexpression was mainly focused on its use as a marker to distinguish carcinoma of gynecologic origin versus carcinomas from other sites and from mesothelioma [10–12]. The value of PAX8 in women with ovarian cancer is still unknown. Thus, the aims of this study are to determine the expression of PAX8 in high grade, late stage ovarian serous carcinoma and to correlate its expression with clinico-pathologic characteristics.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Pathology, University of Southern California, 1200 N. State Street, Room 7A116, Los Angeles, CA 90033-5000, USA.

E-mail address: pfauceglia@hotmail.com (P. Mhawech-Fauceglia).

Methods

Patients and specimens

We searched pathology archives for patients with epithelial ovarian cancer who underwent debulking surgery for their EOC during a 10 year period from 1995 to 2005. All tissue specimens were collected under an approved protocol from the Institutional Review Board (IRB). The medical records of the patients were also retrospectively reviewed under an approved IRB protocol. The review included outpatient and inpatient treatment, including surgery and chemotherapy. Optimal debulking or cytoreduction was defined according to the Gynecologic Oncology Group (GOG) as the largest residual tumor nodule measuring 1 cm or less determined by the operating surgeon [13]. This definition is the most widely accepted among gynecologic oncologists.

Study outcomes included overall survival and time to recurrence, each measured from the time of definitive surgery. The duration of overall survival was the interval between definitive surgery and death. Observation time was the interval between definitive surgery and last contact (death or last follow-up). Data were censored at the last follow-up for patients with no evidence of recurrence, progression or death.

Hematoxylin and eosin slides were available for histologic review. The tumor subtypes and grade were re-reviewed for confirmation by a single experienced pathologist (PMF). Histologic subtypes were based on World Health organization (WHO) guidelines [14]. For our study, we used the two-tier grading system for ovarian carcinoma grading [15]. Only serous types, high grade and late stage tumors were included in the study. Out of total 250 cases, 148 cases fit our inclusion criteria and they were considered for further evaluation.

Immunohistochemistry

Paraffin-embedded tissues from 148 patient samples were included in this study. A tissue microarray was constructed as described previously by Kononen et al. [16]. Briefly, after carefully choosing the morphologically representative region from the hematoxylin–eosin (HE) section, a 0.6 mm core was punched from the individual paraffin-embedded block (donor block), and transferred to the receiver paraffin-embedded block (receiver block). To overcome tumor heterogeneity, 3 core biopsies were performed from three different areas of each tumor. One section was stained with H&E to confirm the presence of the tumor by light microscopy.

Four μ m sections from the formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue were processed for immunohistochemistry (IHC). IHC for PAX8 was done as described previously [17]. Endogenous peroxidase was blocked with 0.3% hydrogen peroxidase for 5 min. Antigen retrieval was carried out in high citrate buffer for 3 min in a steam-cooker. Then, sections were incubated overnight with Pax8 antibody (monoclonal antibody, 1:400 dilutions; Biocare lab, San Francisco, CA). A subsequent reaction was performed with the biotin-free HRP enzyme labeled polymer of the Envision plus detection system (Dakocytomation, Carpinteria, CA-USA). Diaminobenzidine complex was used as chromogen. Normal kidney and thyroid tissues were used as positive control. In negative controls, a normal goat serum was used instead of the primary antibody resulting in a lack of detectable staining. Two pathologists (PMF and DS) reviewed the IHC slides on a double-headed microscope, and the staining intensity was interpreted as negative, weak, moderate and strong. Only positive nuclear staining was considered positive. Only the staining intensity was taken into consideration for primary statistical analysis and it was divided into the following 2 groups; negative was considered as negative (group I) and weak/moderate/strong was considered as positive (group II).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed by R (<http://www.r-project.org/>). The clinical parameters used for modeling are age, histologic subtype, tumor grade, recurrence, status, optimal debulking, recurrence time and survival time. To test the association between the biomarker and the clinical parameters, Fisher's exact test was performed for categorical parameters and the logistic regression model was used for the continuous variable age. For survival analysis, the Kaplan–Meier method with log-rank test was used to calculate the cumulative survival time, and check both the overall survival (OS) and recurrence free survival (RFS) difference between patients with different PAX8 statuses. All reported p values were two sided and p values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

The characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1. The mean age of the study population was 62 years (range 33–89 years), and the median duration of follow-up was 39 months (ranging 0.6–161 months). One hundred twenty-five out of 148 patients (84%) were stage III and 23/148 (16%) were stage IV. As for disease outcome, 41% of patients had recurrences, 52% had persistent disease, and 6% had no recurrences. The median survival was 39 months (0.6–161 months). 66% of patients were considered to have optimal debulking and 33% had suboptimal tumor debulking. As for disease status at follow-up, 84% were dead of disease (DOD), 9% alive with evidence of disease (AWED), and only 7% were alive with no evidence of disease (ANED).

PAX8 was immunoexpressed in 91/148 tumors (61%), and negative in 57/148 (39%) of tumors (Figs. 1a–c). There was no association between PAX8 expression and tumor debulking ($p=0.28$, OR = 1.46 [CI: 0.69–3.12]), tumor stage ($p=0.82$, OR = 1.21 [CI: 0.44–3.55]), and tumor recurrence ($p=0.73$, OR = 0.72 [CI: 0.11–3.78]). Lastly, there was no association between PAX8 and DOD ($p=0.36$, OR = 0.61 [CI: 0.2–1.69]) (Table 2 and Figs. 2, 3).

Discussion

Pair-Box 8 (PAX8) plays an essential role in the normal development of the thyroid gland and the female genital tract [6,7]. In the reproductive tract, PAX8 is immunoexpressed in 89% of mullerian-type

Table 1

Clinical and pathologic features of 148 patients with ovarian serous carcinoma (OSC).

	# of cases	%
No. of evaluable patients	148	100
Age		
Median	62	
Range	33–89	
Recurrence		
No	9	6
PD	77	52
Yes	61	41
Missing	1	1
PAX8		
Negative (0)	57	39
Positive (1,2,3)	91	61
Stage		
III	125	84
IV	23	16
Status		
ANED	11	7
AWED	13	9
DOD	124	84
Optimal debulking		
No	49	33
Yes	98	66
Unknown	1	1

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3946922>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3946922>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)