Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijgo



CrossMark

CLINICAL ARTICLE Obstetric complications and cesarean delivery in Nepal

Rajendra Karkee ^{a,b}, Andy H. Lee ^{b,*}, Vishnu Khanal ^c, Paras K. Pokharel ^a, Colin W. Binns ^b

^a School of Public Health and Community Medicine, BP Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal

^b School of Public Health, Curtin University, Perth, Australia

^c Sanjeevani College of Medical Sciences, Butwal, Nepal

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 July 2013 Received in revised form 30 September 2013 Accepted 23 December 2013

Keywords: Cesarean delivery Nepal Obstetric complications Pregnancy outcomes Stillbirth

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the incidence of obstetric complications, the stillbirth rate, and the factors associated with cesarean delivery in central Nepal. *Methods:* A community-based prospective cohort study was undertaken in the Kaski district during 2011–2012. In total, 701 women who were at least 5 months pregnant were recruited and interviewed. Participants were followed-up and interviewed again within 45 days after delivery. *Results:* Of the 658 women who remained in the cohort after 43 were lost to follow-up, 12 (1.8%) had stillbirths. Cesareans accounted for 13.3% of the total deliveries. Age, urban residency, college-level education, and particularly presence of intrapartum symptoms significantly increased the likelihood of cesarean delivery. Prepartum, intrapartum, and postpartum symptoms were reported by 21.1%, 24.4%, and 10.2% of women, respectively. Common danger signs included prolonged labor, severe abdominal pain, swollen hand and body, and heavy bleeding. *Conclusion:* Obstetric complications and stillbirth rates were relatively high in central Nepal. Cesarean delivery appeared to meet obstetric need and was performed with medical indication, particularly after the onset of labor. © 2013 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Complications of pregnancy and childbirth are 2 of the 10 leading causes of death and disability among women of reproductive age in low- and middle-income countries [1]. Beside maternal mortality, many more women experience maternal morbidity in the form of near-miss events, pregnancy-related complications, and long-term disabilities [2]. Stillbirth is another "invisible" adverse pregnancy outcome associated with maternal morbidity and mortality. It occurs mainly in rural families and receives relatively little attention in policy agenda such as the Millennium Development Goals [3].

Maternal morbidity includes acute and chronic problems resulting from pregnancy-related complications during the prepartum, intrapartum, and postpartum periods. It may be aggravated by gender inequality, domestic violence, early marriage, adolescent pregnancy, and poor reproductive health services. Acute complications include hemorrhage, pre-eclampsia/eclampsia, prolonged or obstructed labor, infection, and unsafe abortion. It is anticipated that 15% of pregnancies will involve complications that require additional medical care or cesarean delivery [4]. However, women may choose to undergo cesarean delivery for a variety of psychological, social, or provider-induced reasons [5].

The rate of cesarean delivery has been increasing in low-resource countries, coinciding with increasing hospital delivery rates—as advocated by the Safe Motherhood Initiative to reduce maternal mortality

E-mail address: andy.lee@curtin.edu.au (A.H. Lee).

[6]. In Nepal, the cesarean rates among tertiary hospitals ranged from 12% to 25% in 2012 [7]. Although there is no consensus on an optimal rate, a high level of cesarean delivery performed without medical indication could increase the risk of maternal and neonatal morbidity [8], whereas a low cesarean rate may reflect unmet demand due to obstetric complications.

A previous hospital-based study in Nepal reported that 94% of women admitted for delivery developed maternal morbidities during the prepartum period [9]. Moreover, between 600 000 and 1 million Nepalese women were estimated to experience uterine prolapse [10]. Such high prevalence of uterine prolapse is an indication of poor care. Gender discrimination and the patriarchal nature of society have limited the ability of women to make informed choices, take control of their reproductive life, and seek proper care during pregnancy and childbirth [11]. Consequently, a range of maternal morbidities is likely to remain in Nepal, where many births still take place at home.

In the literature, studies on pregnancy outcomes in low-income countries are based predominantly on hospital data systems, record reviews, or birth logs. Such hospital-based data do not cover those women who deliver at home or who have prepartum stillbirths. There has been no community-based study that monitors and explores the reasons for cesarean delivery in Nepal. A community-based longitudinal approach has the advantage of effectively tracking pregnancy outcomes and events of women at the household level, and their use of maternity services during the entire pregnancy and childbirth period. Therefore, the aim of the present community-based study was to determine the incidence of obstetric complications, the stillbirth rate, and the factors associated with cesarean delivery in a large cohort of pregnant women residing in central Nepal.

^{*} Corresponding author at: School of Public Health, Curtin University, GPO Box U1987, Perth, WA 6845, Australia. Tel.: + 61 8 9266 4180; fax: + 61 8 9266 2958.

^{0020-7292/\$ –} see front matter © 2013 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2013.09.033

Table 1

2. Materials and methods

A community-based prospective cohort study was undertaken in the Kaski district of central Nepal between December 1, 2011, and October 30, 2012. The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Curtin University (approval number HR 130/2011), the Ethical Review Board of the Nepal Health Research Council (approval number 88/2011), and the District Public Health Office of Kaski. An information sheet was distributed and read to each participant before obtaining her signed or thumbprint informed consent. Confidentiality of the information provided was maintained throughout the study. Participants were assured of their freedom to withdraw without any negative consequences.

Details of the study area, target population, and sampling have been described previously [12]. Briefly, 701 women from urban and rural areas who were at least 5 months pregnant were recruited and interviewed. The urban central valley of Kaski district, Pokhara, has a regional public hospital and 2 private hospitals, which provide comprehensive obstetric services including cesarean delivery. In rural areas, birth centers provide basic obstetric services only—referring women to hospitals when complications arise that might require cesarean delivery. The regional public hospital is the main delivery center, accounting for 80% of all deliveries in the district. From July 2011 to August 2012, approximately 1700 cesareans (22.6% of the total 7500 deliveries) were performed at this hospital [7].

Fifteen female data collectors were recruited and employed from local areas to identify and interview pregnant women in their locality, with the assistance of female community health volunteers and local health facility

Demographic and obstetric characteristics of participants by delivery method (n = 639).^a

registrations for prenatal care visits. These female community health volunteers act as a bridge between the community and the local health center [13]; they keep track of pregnant women in their village, and counsel and motivate pregnant women to use prenatal and delivery services.

The baseline interview—conducted between December 1, 2011, and January 31, 2012-sought information on the sociodemographic and obstetric characteristics of the pregnant women. Participants were interviewed again during another household visit within 45 days after delivery to determine whether they had experienced any serious complication(s) during the prepartum, intrapartum, and postpartum (within 1 week) periods. A list of common symptoms was prepared to probe and verify such danger signs at each stage; the list was also used by health workers and community volunteers for counseling pregnant women: (1) prepartum symptoms: heavy bleeding (soaked clothes/bed/floor), swollen hand and body, loss of consciousness/ convulsions, blurred vision, severe headache, severe fever, severe abdominal pain, and severe vomiting; (2) intrapartum symptoms: heavy bleeding, prolonged labor (>12 hours), retained placenta (placenta not delivered within 30 minutes), swollen hand and body, and loss of consciousness/convulsions; (3) postpartum symptoms: heavy bleeding, severe fever, smelly water discharge, swollen hand and body, and loss of consciousness/convulsions.

The main demographic variables are listed in Table 1. An asset score was generated from the first component of a principal component analysis, utilizing national survey questions on household assets, and was then used to develop wealth quintiles. Four levels of education were recorded: none; primary (grade 1–5); secondary (grade 6–10); and

Age y 23.3 ± 4.07 24.97 ± 4.64 23.6 ± 4.2 0.001 Caste	Characteristic	Vaginal delivery ($n = 554$)	Cesarean delivery ($n = 85$)	Total	P value
Case 0005 Upper caste 255 (53.3) 44 (51.8) 330 (53.1) janjari 114 (26.6) 26 (30.6) 140 (21.9) Lower caste 0029 None 48 (8.8) 5 (5.9) 53 (8.3) Primary 119 (21.4) 14 (16.5) 133 (20.8) Scondary 211 (38.0) 25 (25.4) 236 (36.9) Colege 175 (31.7) 41 (48.2) 217 (34.0) Waltr quintile 15 (22.7) 7 (8.2) 121 (19.0) 3 101 (18.3) 20 (23.5) 121 (19.0) 3 101 (18.3) 20 (23.5) 121 (19.0) 4 96 (17.4) 27 (31.8) 123 (13.2) 4 96 (17.4) 27 (31.8) 123 (13.2) 5 15 (20.9) 19 (22.4) 134 (21.1) Charlon 1000 1000 1000 Private hospital 37 (56.6) 14 (47.2) 1000 Private hospital 47 (90.4) 72 (83.8) 19 (89.1) 1000 Pred	Age, y	23.38 ± 4.07	24.97 ± 4.64	23.6 ± 4.2	0.001
Upper caste 295 (53.3) 44 (51.8) 339 (53.1) Janajari 114 (20.6) 15 (17.6) 150 (24.9) Lower caste 144 (26.0) 15 (17.6) 159 (24.9) Binary 119 (21.4) 14 (16.5) 133 (20.8) Secondary 211 (38.0) 25 (29.4) 236 (36.9) College 176 (31.7) 41 (48.2) 217 (34.0) Wealth quintile 100 (18.3) 20 (23.5) 121 (18.0) 2 114 (20.7) 7 (8.2) 121 (18.0) 123 (19.3) 3 010 (18.3) 20 (23.5) 121 (18.0) 123 (19.3) 4 96 (17.4) 27 (31.8) 123 (19.3) 123 (19.3) 5 15 (20.9) 19 (22.4) 134 (21.1) 123 (19.3) 4 96 (17.4) 27 (31.8) 123 (19.3) 123 (19.3) 5 15 (20.9) 19 (22.4) 134 (21.1) 133 (20.1) 4 96 (17.4) 27 (42.6) 120 (10.9) 123 (19.1) 123 (19.1) Frequerey oprenal visits 75 (49.6)	Caste				0.065
jariajati 114 (206) 26 (306) 140 (21.9) Lower cate 140 (21.9) 0.029 None 48 (8.8) 5 (7.6) 53 (8.3) Primary 119 (21.4) 141 (16.5) 133 (20.8) Secondary 211 (38.0) 25 (29.4) 236 (36.9) College 176 (31.7) 41 (48.2) 217 (34.0) Walth quintile 125 (22.7) 12 (14.1) 137 (21.6) 2 14 (20.7) 7 (8.3) 121 (19.0) 3 101 (18.3) 20 (23.5) 121 (19.0) 4 96 (17.4) 27 (31.8) 123 (19.3) 5 101 (18.3) 20 (23.5) 121 (19.0) 4 96 (17.4) 27 (31.8) 134 (51.7) 4 96 (17.4) 27 (31.8) 133 (51.8) Rural 275 (49.6) 21 (24.7) 296 (46.3) Public hospital 347 (90.4) 72 (83.8) 419 (89.1) Frequency of prenatal visits (10.9) (10.9) (10.9) 24 407 (95.5)	Upper caste	295 (53.3)	44 (51.8)	339 (53.1)	
Lower caste 144 (260) 15 (7.6) 159 (24.9) Education level 0.029 None 48 (8.8) 5 (5.9) 53 (8.3) 0.029 Prinary 119 (21.4) 14 (16.5) 133 (20.8) 0.029 Secondary 21 (13.8) 25 (29.4) 23 (63.6) 0.002 College 13 (20.7) (21.1) 137 (21.5) 0.002 Wealth quintile 0.002 121 (18.0) 22.5 121 (18.0) 2 14 (20.7) 7 (8.2) 121 (18.0) 34 (67.7) 4 96 (17.4) 27 (31.8) 122 (19.3) $$	Janajati	114 (20.6)	26 (30.6)	140 (21.9)	
Eduction level 0.002	Lower caste	144 (26.0)	15 (17.6)	159 (24.9)	
None 48 (8.) 5 (5.9) 53 (8.3) Primary 119 (21.4) 14 (16.5) 133 (20.8) Secondary 211 (38.0) 25 (29.4) 236 (36.9) College 176 (31.7) 41 (48.2) 217 (34.0) Weath quintile 0002 0002 0002 1 125 (22.7) 12 (14.1) 137 (21.5) 0002 2 114 (20.7) 7 (8.2) 121 (19.0) 14 4 96 (17.4) 27 (31.8) 123 (19.3) 14 5 115 (20.9) 19 (24.7) 236 (36.3) 14 Warda 295 (95.6) 21 (24.7) 236 (36.3) 14 Urban 295 (95.6) 21 (24.7) 236 (36.3) 14 Urban 295 (95.6) 21 (24.7) 236 (36.3) 14 Private hospital 37 (90.6) 14 (16.2) 51 (10.9) 14 Private hospital 37 (90.6) 16 (76.6) 17 24 24 407 (73.5) 66 (77.6) 473 (74.0) 16<	Education level				0.029
Primary 119 (2.4) 14 (16.5) 133 (20.8) Secondary 211 (38.0) 25 (29.4) 236 (36.9) College 176 (31.7) 41 (48.2) 217 (34.0) 0002 Wealth quintile 0.002 0.017 (31.0) 0.002 2 144 (20.7) 7.82.3 121 (19.0) 0.002 3 0.10 (18.3) 0.2 (32.5) 121 (19.0) 0.001 4 96 (74.4) 27 (31.8) 123 (19.3) 0.001 4 96 (74.4) 27 (31.8) 123 (19.3) 0.001 Weath 275 (95.6) 47 (73.5) 343 (53.7) 0.001 Urban 275 (95.6) 42 (47.7) 343 (53.7) 0.001 Urban 276 (96.6) 14 (16.2) 51 (10.9) 0.001 Private hospital 37 (96.6) 14 (16.2) 51 (10.9) 0.001 Public hospital 347 (90.4) 72 (83.8) 419 (89.1) 0.001 24 407 (73.5) 66 (77.6) 473 (74.0) 0.01 24	None	48 (8.8)	5 (5.9)	53 (8.3)	
Secondary 211 (38.0) 25 (23.4) 236 (36.9) College 176 (31.7) 41 (48.2) 217 (34.0) Wealth quintile 0.002 1 125 (22.7) 12 (14.1) 137 (21.5) 2 114 (20.7) 7 (8.2) 121 (19.0) 3 101 (18.3) 20 (23.5) 121 (19.0) 4 96 (17.4) 27 (31.8) 123 (19.3) 5 115 (20.9) 19 (22.4) 134 (21.1) Residential location Whan 279 (50.4) 64 (75.3) 334 (33.7) Rural 279 (50.6) 21 (24.7) 296 (46.3) Phytaer hospital 347 (90.4) 72 (83.8) 419 (89.1) Phytaer hospital 347 (90.4) 72 (83.8) 419 (89.1) Prequency of prenatal visits 67.76 473 (74.0) 24 407 (75.5) 66 (77.6) 473 (74.0) 25 157 (28.3) 20 (23.5) 177 (27.7) 3 72 (13.0) 16 (18.8) 88 (13.	Primary	119 (21.4)	14 (16.5)	133 (20.8)	
College 176 (31.7) 41 (48.2) 217 (34.0) Wealth quintile	Secondary	211 (38.0)	25 (29.4)	236 (36.9)	
Wealth quintile 0.002 1 15 (22,7) 12 (14,1) 137 (21,5) 2 114 (20,7) 7 (8,2) 121 (19,0) 3 101 (18,3) 20 (23,5) 123 (19,3) 5 115 (20,9) 19 (22,4) 124 (21,1) Residential location 0.001 0.001 Wthan 279 (50,4) 64 (75,3) 343 (53,7) Rural 275 (49,6) 21 (24,7) 296 (46,3) Delivery location ^b 0.100 0.100 Private hospital 37 (9,6) 14 (16,2) 51 (10,9) Public hospital 347 (90,4) 72 (83,8) 419 (88,1) Perequency of prenatal visits 0.100 0.100 erequency of prenatal visits 0.100 0.100 24 407 (73,5) 66 (7,6) 313 (51,8) 2 157 (28,3) 20 (23,5) 137 (24,0) 2 16 (18,8) 88 (13,8) 24 2 37 (6,7) 63 (7,41) 336 (7,1) 2 13 (20,4) 22	College	176 (31.7)	41 (48.2)	217 (34.0)	
1 125 (2.7) 12 (141) 137 (21.5) 2 114 (20.7) 7.82) 121 (19.0) 3 101 (18.3) 20 (23.5) 121 (19.0) 4 96 (17.4) 27 (31.8) 123 (19.3) 5 15 (20.9) 19 (22.4) 134 (21.1) Residential location Urban 279 (50.4) 64 (75.3) 343 (53.7) Rural 275 (49.6) 21 (24.7) 296 (46.3) Delivery location ^b 0.100 Private hospital 37 (9.6) 14 (16.2) 51 (10.9) Public hospital 347 (90.4) 72 (83.8) 419 (89.1) 0.100 Public hospital 347 (90.4) 72 (83.8) 419 (89.1) 0.100 24 407 (73.5) 66 (77.6) 473 (74.0) 0.413 24 407 (73.5) 66 (77.6) 331 (51.8) 0.213 24 407 (73.5) 66 (71.1) 43 (67.7) 0.101 24 157 (28.3) 20 (23.5) 177 (27.7) 0.11 252 No 430 (20.4) 22 (25	Wealth quintile				0.002
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	125 (22.7)	12 (14.1)	137 (21.5)	
3 101 (18.3) 20 (23.5) 121 (19.0) 4 96 (17.4) 27 (31.8) 123 (19.3) 5 115 (20.9) 19 (22.4) 134 (21.1) Residential location Urban 279 (50.4) 64 (75.3) 343 (53.7) Rural 275 (42.6) 21 (24.7) 296 (46.3) Delivery location ^b 0.100 Private hospital 37 (9.6) 14 (16.2) 51 (10.9) Public hospital 37 (90.4) 72 (83.8) 419 (88.1) Frequency of prenatal visits 0.413 <4	2	114 (20.7)	7 (8.2)	121 (19.0)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	101 (18.3)	20 (23.5)	121 (19.0)	
5 115 (20.9) 19 (22.4) 134 (21.1) Residential location <0.001	4	96 (17.4)	27 (31.8)	123 (19.3)	
Residential location < 0.001 Urban 275 (49.6) 64 (75.3) 343 (53.7) Rural 275 (49.6) 21 (24.7) 296 (46.3) Delivery location ^b	5	115 (20.9)	19 (22.4)	134 (21.1)	
Urban279 (50.4)64 (75.3)343 (53.7)Rural275 (49.6)21 (24.7)296 (46.3)Delivery location ^b	Residential location				< 0.001
Rural275 (49.6)21 (24.7)296 (46.3)Delivery location	Urban	279 (50.4)	64 (75.3)	343 (53.7)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } \hline Pelivery locationb & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Rural	275 (49.6)	21 (24.7)	296 (46.3)	
Private hospital $37 (9.6)$ $14 (16.2)$ $51 (10.9)$ Public hospital $347 (90.4)$ $72 (83.8)$ $419 (89.1)$ Frequency of prenatal visits	Delivery location ^b				0.100
Public hospital347 (90.4)72 (83.8)419 (89.1)Frequency of prenatal visits0.413<4	Private hospital	37 (9.6)	14 (16.2)	51 (10.9)	
Frequency of prenatal visits 0.413 <4 147 (26.5) 19 (22.4) 166 (26.0) ≥ 4 407 (73.5) 66 (77.6) 473 (74.0) Parity 0.470 0.470 1 288 (52.1) 43 (50.6) 331 (51.8) 2 157 (28.3) 20 (23.5) 177 (27.7) 3 72 (13.0) 16 (18.8) 88 (13.8) ≥ 4 37 (6.7) 6 (7.1) 43 (6.7) Prepartum symptoms 0.252 0.22 (25.9) 135 (21.1) No 440 (79.6) 63 (74.1) 503 (78.8) Yes 13 (20.4) 22 (25.9) 135 (21.1) Intrapartum symptoms No 431 (78.8) 44 (52.4) 475 (75.3) Yes 116 (21.2) 40 (47.6) 156 (24.4) Postpartum symptoms 0.890 0.890 0.890 No 498 (89.9) 76 (89.4) 574 (89.8) Yes 56 (10.1) 9 (10.6) 65 (10.2)	Public hospital	347 (90.4)	72 (83.8)	419 (89.1)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Frequency of prenatal visits				0.413
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<4	147 (26.5)	19 (22.4)	166 (26.0)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } Parity & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	≥ 4	407 (73.5)	66 (77.6)	473 (74.0)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Parity				0.470
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	288 (52.1)	43 (50.6)	331 (51.8)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	157 (28.3)	20 (23.5)	177 (27.7)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	3	72 (13.0)	16 (18.8)	88 (13.8)	
Prepartum symptoms 0.252 No 440 (79.6) 63 (74.1) 503 (78.8) Yes 113 (20.4) 22 (25.9) 135 (21.1) Intrapartum symptoms No 431 (78.8) 44 (52.4) 475 (75.3) Yes 116 (21.2) 40 (47.6) 156 (24.4) Postpartum symptoms 0.890 0 0.890 No 498 (89.9) 76 (89.4) 574 (89.8) Yes 56 (10.1) 9 (10.6) 65 (10.2)	≥ 4	37 (6.7)	6 (7.1)	43 (6.7)	
No 440 (79.6) 63 (74.1) 503 (78.8) Yes 113 (20.4) 22 (25.9) 135 (21.1) Intrapartum symptoms No 431 (78.8) 44 (52.4) 475 (75.3) Yes 116 (21.2) 40 (47.6) 156 (24.4) Postpartum symptoms 0.890 0 0.890 No 498 (89.9) 76 (89.4) 574 (89.8) Yes 56 (10.1) 9 (10.6) 65 (10.2)	Prepartum symptoms				0.252
Yes 113 (20.4) 22 (25.9) 135 (21.1) Intrapartum symptoms <0.001	No	440 (79.6)	63 (74.1)	503 (78.8)	
Intrapartum symptoms	Yes	113 (20.4)	22 (25.9)	135 (21.1)	
No 431 (78.8) 44 (52.4) 475 (75.3) Yes 116 (21.2) 40 (47.6) 156 (24.4) Postpartum symptoms 0.890 No 498 (89.9) 76 (89.4) 574 (89.8) Yes 56 (10.1) 9 (10.6) 65 (10.2)	Intrapartum symptoms				< 0.001
Yes 116 (21.2) 40 (47.6) 156 (24.4) Postpartum symptoms 0.890 No 498 (89.9) 76 (89.4) 574 (89.8) Yes 56 (10.1) 9 (10.6) 65 (10.2)	No	431 (78.8)	44 (52.4)	475 (75.3)	
Postpartum symptoms 0.890 No 498 (89.9) 76 (89.4) 574 (89.8) Yes 56 (10.1) 9 (10.6) 65 (10.2)	Yes	116 (21.2)	40 (47.6)	156 (24.4)	
No 498 (89.9) 76 (89.4) 574 (89.8) Yes 56 (10.1) 9 (10.6) 65 (10.2)	Postpartum symptoms				0.890
Yes $56(101)$ $9(106)$ $65(102)$	No	498 (89.9)	76 (89.4)	574 (89.8)	
	Yes	56 (10.1)	9 (10.6)	65 (10.2)	

 a Values are given as mean \pm SD or number (percentage) unless otherwise indicated.

^b For hospital delivery only.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3948648

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3948648

Daneshyari.com