



Case Series

Lumbee Native American ancestry and the incidence of aggressive histologic subtypes of endometrial cancer



Chelsea Zhang^a, Dario Roque^b, Jessie A. Ehrisman^a, Nicola DiSanto^a, Gloria Broadwater^c, Kemi M. Doll^b, Paola A. Gehrig^b, Angeles Alvarez Secord^a, Laura J. Havrilesky^{a,*}

^a Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, United States

^b Division of Gynecologic Oncology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, United States

^c Department of Biostatistics, Duke Cancer Institute, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 May 2015

Received in revised form 9 June 2015

Accepted 15 June 2015

Available online 18 June 2015

Keywords:

Lumbee Indian tribe

Survival outcomes

Endometrial cancer

ABSTRACT

Objective: The Lumbee Indian tribe is the largest Native American tribe in North Carolina, with about 55,000 enrolled members who mostly reside in southeastern counties. We evaluated whether Lumbee heritage is associated with high-risk histologic subtypes of endometrial cancer.

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed the available records from IRB-approved endometrial cancer databases at two institutions of patients of Lumbee descent (year of diagnosis range 1980–2014). Each Lumbee case was matched by age, year of diagnosis, and BMI to two non-Lumbee controls. Chi-square test was used to compare categorical associations. Kaplan–Meier methods and log-rank test were used to display and compare disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS). Multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression was used to adjust for age and BMI while testing cohort as a predictor of DFS and OS.

Results: Among 108 subjects, 10/35 (29%) Lumbee and 19/72 (26%) non-Lumbee subjects had high-risk (serous/clear cell/carcinosarcoma) histologic types ($p = 0.8$). 12/35 (34%) Lumbee and 24/72 (33%) non-Lumbee subjects had grade 3 tumors ($p = 0.9$). 5/33 (15%) Lumbee and 13/72 (18%) non-Lumbee had advanced stage endometrial cancer at diagnosis ($p = 0.7$). Lumbee ancestry was not associated with worse survival outcomes. OS ($p = 0.054$) and DFS ($p = 0.01$) were both worse in Blacks compared to Lumbee and White subjects.

Conclusion: In this retrospective cohort analysis, Lumbee Native American ancestry was not a significant independent predictor of rates of high-risk histological subtypes of endometrial cancer or poor survival outcomes.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The Lumbee Indian tribe, with 55,000 enrolled members, is the largest Native American tribe in North Carolina. Between 1975 and 1997, Native Americans compared to all other races had the highest relative risk of death from cancer for all cancers except for colorectal cancer in males (Clegg et al., 2002). From 1992 to 2000, even after adjusting for the census tract poverty rate, Native American women had lower five-year survival than did non-Hispanic Whites for all cancers combined (Ward et al., 2004). During 2000–2009, while all non-Native American racial/ethnic groups experienced at least a 1.1% decline in cancer death rates per year, Native Americans experienced no decline in cancer death rates (Siegel et al., 2013).

Disparities in factors linked to both socioeconomic status and cancer prevention and screening practices have been observed in Native Americans compared to other races in the U.S. Compared to Whites, Native Americans have lower educational status, less access to health

care coverage or a source of primary care, and higher poverty rates (Ward et al., 2004). In a study conducted in Arizona, Native Americans were more likely than Whites to travel greater than 50 miles for endometrial cancer surgery and faced greater barriers to care by a gynecologic oncologist (Benjamin et al., 2011). In rural Robeson County, North Carolina, Native American women, as compared to White and Black women, have the lowest levels of education, least insurance coverage, and least accurate knowledge regarding breast carcinoma (Paskett et al., 2004).

However, no direct link between cancer prognosis or survival and culture or SES specific to the Lumbee population has been established. Given the paucity of scientific literature on endometrial cancer in the Lumbee population, we sought to evaluate whether, among patients referred to our institutions, Lumbee heritage is associated with an elevated risk for histologic subtypes of endometrial cancer that carry a worse prognosis.

2. Methods

Following Institutional Review Board approval of retrospectively collected endometrial cancer databases at each institution, we identified Lumbee women with endometrial cancer who were referred for

* Corresponding author at: Box 3079 DUMC, Durham NC 27710, United States. Tel.: +1 919 684 3765; fax: +1 919 684 8719.

treatment at Duke University Medical Center (Duke) or the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Hospitals (UNC) between 1980 and 2014. We developed four Lumbee identification criteria, of which two were required for entry into the Lumbee cohort (cases): (1) Subject has one of the 23 traditional Lumbee last names (Barnes, Bell, Braveboy, Brayboy, Brooks, Bullard, Chavers, Chavis, Cumbo, Cummings, Hammonds, Hunt, Jacobs, Lockileer, Locklear, Lowerie, Lowry, Lowery, Oxendine, Revils, Revels, Strickland, Wilkins); (2) subject is a resident of Robeson County, NC, 38% of whose citizens are members of the Lumbee tribe; (3) subject is identified as Native American/American Indian in medical record; and (4) subject is identified specifically as Lumbee in medical record.

Each Lumbee case was matched to two non-Lumbee controls. Cases and controls were matched by age at diagnosis, institution of diagnosis, body mass index (BMI), and date of surgery. Matched controls were selected randomly if more than one possible control was found. Medical records were reviewed to abstract data on age, BMI, race, ethnicity, insurance status, hypertension, arteriosclerotic cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, smoking history, type of hysterectomy, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) stage based on the 2009 staging system, histology, grade, depth of myometrial invasion, myometrial thickness, tumor size, cervix invasion, fallopian tube and ovarian involvement, performance of lymph node dissection, lymph vascular space invasion (LVSI), pelvic peritoneal cytology, adjuvant therapy received, recurrence, and overall survival (OS). Assessment of ethnicity (Hispanic, non-Hispanic, other) was attempted but information was not available on medical records from Duke University Medical Center.

The Chi-square test was used to compare cases to controls on histologic type (containing serous, clear cell, or carcinosarcoma components vs. none of these components), FIGO grade (grade 3 vs. grades 1–2), and “high-risk cancer”, defined as the presence of papillary serous, clear cell, or carcinosarcoma histology or FIGO grade 3. Chi-squared test was also performed to compare cases to controls on clinical and pathological characteristics. Characteristics examined included insurance (private, Medicare, Medicaid, none), hypertension (yes vs. no), cardiovascular diseases, diabetes (yes vs. no), smoking history (yes vs. no), type of hysterectomy (minimally invasive hysterectomy vs. total abdominal hysterectomy), cervix invasion (negative, involvement of glandular epithelium or stromal invasion), number of positive pelvic nodes (0 vs. 1 or more), fallopian tube and ovarian involvement (positive vs. negative), LVSI (positive vs. negative), pelvic peritoneal cytology (positive, negative, atypical), adjuvant chemotherapy (yes vs. no), adjuvant radiation (yes vs. no) and recurrence (yes vs. no). The Kruskal–Wallis test was used to compare central tendencies of continuous variables in the three race cohorts. Variables were considered significant at $p < 0.05$. Disease-free survival (DFS) was defined as time from date of surgery until first relapse or death of endometrial cancer; for patients still alive without relapse it was censored at the last follow-up visit or death due to other causes. Overall survival (OS) was calculated as time from date of surgery until death of any cause, and was censored at the last follow-up date for those still alive. Survival curves were compared using the log-rank test. Cox proportional hazards multivariate regression models were used to determine if race cohort predicts OS and DFS while adjusting for age and BMI. Statistical analyses were conducted using SAS v. 9.3 software (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) and survival plots were created using Spotfire S+ v. 8.1 (TIBCO, Palo Alto, CA).

3. Results

One hundred eight patients (36 Lumbee, 72 non-Lumbee) were included in this study. Of the 36 Lumbee subjects who met at least two out of the four Lumbee identification criteria, 34 subjects had one of the 23 traditional Lumbee last names; 35 subjects were residents of Robeson County; 34 subjects were identified as Native American/

Table 1
Pre-operative characteristics of 3 cohorts.

Characteristics	Lumbee n = 36	Whites n = 49	Blacks n = 23	p-Value
Age, median (IQR)	62.2 (11.8)	64 (13.4)	58 (13.2)	0.09
BMI, median (IQR)	37.5 (12.1)	35.5 (12.8)	37.7 (13.0)	0.9
Surgical year, median (IQR)	2006.5 (4.5)	2005 (14.0)	2008 (3.0)	0.1
Smoker, number (%)				
Yes	5 (15%)	7 (16%)	4 (18%)	0.9
No	29 (85%)	37 (84%)	18 (82%)	
Not reported	2	5	1	
Cardiovascular diseases, number (%)				
Yes	5 (14%)	10 (21%)	4 (17%)	0.7
No	31 (86%)	37 (79%)	19 (83%)	
Not reported	0	2	0	
Diabetic, number (%)				
Yes	12 (33%)	12 (25%)	8 (35%)	0.6
No	24 (67%)	36 (75%)	15 (65%)	
Not reported	0	1	0	
Hypertension, number (%)				
Yes	25 (69%)	32 (67%)	14 (61%)	0.8
No	11 (31%)	16 (33%)	9 (39%)	
Not reported	0	1	0	
Insurance status, number (%)				
Private insurance	4 (11%)	15 (31%)	10 (43%)	0.03
Medicare	14 (39%)	15 (31%)	10 (43%)	
Medicaid	5 (14%)	2 (4%)	2 (9%)	
No insurance	3 (8%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	
Unknown	10 (28%)	17 (34%)	0 (0%)	

Note. IQR, interquartile range.

American Indian in medical record; and 3 subjects were identified specifically as Lumbee in medical record.

Table 1 describes the pre-operative characteristics of each cohort. The mean age at diagnosis was 61 and the mean BMI was 36. Median year of diagnosis was 2006. There were no significant differences between cohorts in age, BMI, year of surgery, smoking status, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, or hypertension. Among subjects with known insurance status, 4/26 (15%) Lumbee, 15/32 (47%) White and 10/23 (44%) Black subjects had private insurance ($p = 0.03$).

Tables 2a and 2b describe the operative results and outcomes of each cohort. High-risk cancer (either grade 3 or histological types of serous, clear cell, or carcinosarcoma) was found in 13/35 (37%) Lumbee, 15/49 (31%) White and 12/23 (52%) Black subjects ($p = 0.2$). High-grade (grade 3 vs. grades 1–2) tumors were found in 12/35 (34%) Lumbee, 12/49 (24%) White and 12/23 (52%) Black subjects ($p = 0.2$). Tumors containing high-risk histologic types (serous/clear cell/carcinosarcoma) were found in 10/35 (29%) Lumbee, 9/49 (18%) White, and 10/23 (43%) Black subjects ($p = 0.08$). Advanced stage (stages III–IV) endometrial cancer at diagnosis was found in 5/33 (15%) Lumbee, 6/49 (12%) White, and 7/23 (30%) Black subjects ($p = 0.15$). The number of pelvic lymph nodes removed was similar between groups; there was a trend toward removal of more aortic lymph nodes in black subjects (median number in Lumbee 3; White 0; Black 5.5; $p = 0.053$). Rates of receipt of adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy were not significantly different between cohorts.

The endometrial cancer recurrence rate was 10% for White, 12% for Lumbee, and 27% for Black subjects ($p = 0.15$). Fig. 1 depicts Kaplan–Meier curves for DFS and OS. The 5-year DFS rates were 84% (68–100%) for Lumbee, 93% (86–100%) for White, and 58% (39–88%) for Black subjects (univariate $p < 0.001$). In a multivariate Cox regression model to predict DFS while adjusting for age and BMI, race was a significant predictor ($p = 0.01$), with Black subjects having significantly shorter DFS than White or Lumbee subjects. The 5-year OS rates were 79% (62–100%) for Lumbee, 87% (78–97%) for White, and 65% (46–91%) for Black subjects (univariate $p = 0.26$). In a multivariate Cox regression model to predict OS while adjusting for age and BMI, race cohort was a borderline significant predictor ($p = 0.054$).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3951160>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3951160>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)