



www.figo.org

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijgo

CLINICAL ARTICLE

Time between skin incision and delivery during cesarean

Jana N. Rossouw^{a,*}, David Hall^a, Justin Harvey^b^a Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Tygerberg Hospital, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa^b Centre for Statistical Consultation, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 May 2012

Received in revised form 4 November 2012

Accepted 19 December 2012

Keywords:

Adhesions

Apgar score

Cesarean delivery

Incision-to-delivery time

Obesity

ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate factors influencing skin incision-to-delivery time (including sub-divisions thereof) and the effect of these surgical intervals on immediate neonatal outcome. **Methods:** A prospective cohort analysis was conducted of all women undergoing cesarean delivery at Tygerberg Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa, from May 24 to November 2, 2010. Three surgical intervals were evaluated: skin incision to myometrium, myometrium to delivery, and skin incision to delivery. Neonatal outcome was assessed by the 5-minute Apgar score. **Results:** Of 1120 cesarean deliveries recorded during the study period, 77.2% were emergency procedures, which were performed more quickly at all surgical planes ($P < 0.01$). Adhesions in the surgical field were present in 7.4% of all primary procedures versus 67.7% of all third procedures ($P < 0.001$). The skin incision-to-delivery time was significantly extended among repeat procedures ($P < 0.001$) and increased progressively with degree of obesity ($P < 0.001$). Although the 3 surgical intervals were calculated individually, none of the median values correlated with a 5-minute Apgar score below 7 for emergency deliveries. **Conclusion:** Repeat procedures, adhesions, and obesity prolonged the time taken for cesarean delivery. Nevertheless, the effect of these factors on the 5-minute Apgar score was minimal.

© 2013 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Cesarean delivery is the most frequent major surgical intervention in obstetrics. As this procedure can be performed at various levels of healthcare, most health systems categorize patients requiring cesarean delivery into 3 levels of care. The goal of such categorization is to provide appropriate surgical expertise for patients where operative complications are anticipated [1]. The rising rate of cesarean delivery observed in many countries is a great cause for concern [2,3]. Recurrent use of cesarean delivery is associated with increased intra-abdominal adhesions. Furthermore, placenta previa and morbidly adherent placentas increase the risk of intraoperative complications, such as the need for blood transfusion, bladder injury [4], cesarean hysterectomy, and death. A study performed in the Netherlands showed that placenta accreta was the most frequent indication for peripartum hysterectomy and was significantly associated with cesarean delivery in the current or previous pregnancy [5].

Previous cesarean deliveries increase the risk of adhesions in the operative field and prolong the delivery time [2,6]. Some studies have demonstrated that incision-to-delivery times (particularly the myometrium-to-delivery time) influence the Apgar scores of the newborn [7,8]. This finding supports the opinion that slow delivery

may be to the detriment of the neonate; however, these data have not been confirmed in other studies [9].

Uncertainty clearly exists concerning the importance of the incision-to-delivery time. The aim of the present study was, therefore, to investigate factors influencing the skin incision-to-delivery time (and sub-divisions thereof) and to determine the influence of these surgical intervals on immediate neonatal outcome.

2. Materials and methods

A prospective cohort analysis was conducted of all women who underwent elective or emergency cesarean delivery at Tygerberg Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa, from May 24 to November 2, 2010. The study center was a secondary and tertiary referral unit. Elective cesarean deliveries were defined as those performed before the onset of labor. For the purposes of the present study, surgeries performed for failed induction of labor were classified as emergency cesarean deliveries. The Health Research Ethics Committee of Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa, approved the study design (reference N 10/02/32). Owing to the fact that the present study was conducted in the form of a prospective audit, waiver of consent was approved by the Health Research Ethics Committee.

Data were collected prospectively by means of a datasheet that was continuously available in the operating theaters. Before and during the present study, the surgeons, theater sisters, and nurses were informed of the importance of precise time measurements. In practice, the timings were called out to the theater nurse as the operation proceeded; only completed minutes were measured. Immediately

* Corresponding author at: Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Tygerberg Hospital, PO Box 19063, Tygerberg, Cape Town 7505, South Africa. Tel.: +27 21 938 9059; fax: +27 21 932 2455.

E-mail address: jnrossouw@yahoo.com (J.N. Rossouw).

after the procedure, other information required by the study investigators was completed by the surgeon. All datasheets were checked by the principal investigator and, where possible, any remaining data added. Data collection was anonymous and only the principal investigator had access to the log connecting a patient's study number to her medical file number.

The main outcome measures were surgical interval and factors that might influence these intervals. Surgical intervals were measured as follows: skin incision to myometrium (S-M), myometrium to delivery (M-D), and skin incision to delivery (S-D). In the case of multiple pregnancies, the S-D time of the first newborn was used for the analysis. Obesity was defined as a body mass index (BMI, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters) of 30 or more at the time of booking of the current pregnancy. Immediate neonatal outcome was defined on the basis of the 5-minute Apgar score.

The data were captured in an Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) spreadsheet and analyzed using STATISTICA version 9.0 (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). Data were expressed as number (percentage) or median (range). Differences in means were analyzed using the 2-tailed Student *t* test; the Mann–Whitney *U* test was used for data not normally distributed. The χ^2 test was applied to qualitative variables with the Fisher method (for 2 x 2 contingency tables) when the expected frequency was below 5. A 1-way analysis of variance with a post hoc Bonferroni adjustment was performed for comparisons of more than 2 groups. A Kruskal–Wallis test was performed where data were not normally distributed. A *P* value below 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

During the study period, 4009 deliveries were performed (birth weight >500 g). The total number of cesarean deliveries was 1123 (28.0%). Of the 1120 cesarean deliveries with data available, 22.8% were elective and 77.2% were emergency procedures. The level of surgical experience was as follows: medical officers (54.1%), registrars (residents/trainee specialists; 25.4%), specialists (15.0%), interns (under supervision; 3.5%), and community service doctors (2.0%). The clinical characteristics of the study group at the time of surgery are shown in Table 1.

The details of the 391 women (35.2%) who had undergone any previous abdominal surgery are shown in Table 2. With regard to the type of skin incision used during cesarean delivery, 88.4% of 1110 women underwent a Pfannenstiel incision, 7.3% a midline vertical incision, and 4.3% a Joel–Cohen incision. At the uterine level, almost all of the incisions were transverse in the lower segment

Table 2
Evaluation of previous abdominal surgery (n = 1110).^{a,b}

Procedure or indication	Distribution
General	
Lower abdominal surgery	386 (34.8)
Upper abdominal surgery	10 (0.9)
Specific	
No. of cesarean deliveries	
1	210 (59.3)
2	134 (37.9)
3	10 (2.8)
>3	0 (0.0)
Ectopic pregnancy	18 (4.6)
Appendectomy	9 (2.3)
Laparoscopy	7 (1.8)

^a Values are given as number (percentage).

^b Some patients had previous upper and lower abdominal surgery.

(97.4%), whereas 1.2% were classic uterine incisions and 1.4% were other incisions.

Data regarding surgical intervals and factors potentially influencing delivery time are shown in Table 3.

Most cesarean deliveries were performed by medical officers or registrars. The median S-D time was 6 minutes (range, <1–47) for medical officers and 5 minutes (range, 1–28) for registrars (*P* < 0.001). The presence of adhesions in the operative area can influence surgical times [1,5]. For this reason, intraoperative findings of adhesions were carefully noted (Table 4).

Ease of entry into the abdomen was compared across the 5 different levels of surgical experience. A χ^2 test found no significant association between the expressed ease of entry into the abdomen and surgical experience (*P* = 0.32). The ease of entry for the 3 largest experience groups (medical officers, registrars, and specialists) was also evaluated. No significant association was evident between these groups either (*P* = 0.55). Major intraoperative complications were rarely linked to adhesions in the present study. Cesarean hysterectomies (n = 5) were performed for other reasons, such as morbidly adherent placenta or uterine atony. No intraoperative bladder injuries were reported.

Immediate neonatal outcome was assessed on the basis of a 5-minute Apgar score below 7 (Table 5). This criterion was recorded in 47 (5.6%) of the 840 emergency cesarean deliveries and in 7 (2.8%) of the 250 elective cesarean deliveries (*P* = 0.07).

4. Discussion

Factors leading to prolonged incision-to-delivery time may influence neonatal outcomes after cesarean delivery and should be taken into account when choosing the level of care. When compared with elective surgeries, emergency procedures were performed more quickly at all surgical planes (*P* < 0.01). Adhesions in the surgical field were present in 7.4% of all primary and 67.7% of all third procedures (*P* < 0.001). The skin incision-to-delivery time was significantly extended among repeat procedures (*P* < 0.001) and increased progressively with degree of obesity (*P* < 0.001). The type of skin incision also influenced the total delivery time with Joel–Cohen incision providing the fastest time, followed by Pfannenstiel incision, and midline vertical incision (*P* = 0.005), which is in agreement with the findings of Stark and Finkel [10]. Although S-M, M-D, and S-D times were calculated individually, none of the median values correlated with 5-minute Apgar scores below 7 for emergency deliveries.

Obesity was previously considered a problem of high-income countries but has now also become an issue for low-income countries [11]. The large-scale National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey conducted in the USA found that 35.5% of women aged 20–39 years were obese (BMI ≥ 30) when adjusted for age [12]. In South Africa, 44% of pregnant women were reported to have a BMI

Table 1
Clinical characteristics of the study group at the time of surgery (n = 1123).^a

Characteristic	Distribution
Age, y	28 (12–48)
Parity	1 (0–6)
Primigravida ^b	346 (30.0)
Any previous abdominal surgery ^c	391 (35.4)
Previous cesarean delivery ^d	366 (33.2)
BMI ^e	
<30	469 (50.1)
30.0–39.9	289 (30.8)
≥40	179 (19.1)

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters).

^a Values are given as median (range) or number (percentage).

^b Gravidity data available for 1116 women.

^c Surgical data available for 1105 women.

^d Surgical data available for 1105 women.

^e BMI data available for 937 women.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3953076>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3953076>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)