

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Information Sciences

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ins



# Support vector regression from simulation data and few experimental samples

Gérard Bloch a, Fabien Lauer a,\*, Guillaume Colin b, Yann Chamaillard b

- ª Centre de Recherche en Automatique de Nancy (CRAN), Nancy−University, CNRS, Parc Robert Bentz F-54500 Vand∞uvre-les-Nancy, France
- <sup>b</sup> Institut Pluridisciplinaire de Recherche en Ingénierie des Systèmes, Mécanique, Energétique (PRISME), University of Orléans, 8, rue Léonard de Vinci, 45072 Orléans Cedex 2, France

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 31 October 2007 Received in revised form 10 March 2008 Accepted 13 May 2008

Keywords: Support Vector Machine (SVM) Nonlinear modeling Prior knowledge SI engine Engine control Residual gas fraction

#### ABSTRACT

This paper considers nonlinear modeling based on a limited amount of experimental data and a simulator built from prior knowledge. The problem of how to best incorporate the data provided by the simulator, possibly biased, into the learning of the model is addressed. This problem, although particular, is very representative of numerous situations met in engine control, and more generally in engineering, where complex models, more or less accurate, exist and where the experimental data which can be used for calibration are difficult or expensive to obtain. The first proposed method constrains the function to fit to the values given by the simulator with a certain accuracy, allowing to take the bias of the simulator into account. The second method constrains the derivatives of the model to fit to the derivatives of a prior model previously estimated on the simulation data. The combination of these two forms of prior knowledge is also possible and considered. These approaches are implemented in the linear programming support vector regression (LP-SVR) framework by the addition, to the optimization problem, of constraints, which are linear with respect to the parameters. Tests are then performed on an engine control application, namely, the estimation of the in-cylinder residual gas fraction in Spark Ignition (SI) engine with Variable Camshaft Timing (VCT). Promising results are obtained on this application. The experiments have also shown the importance of adding potential support vectors in the model when using Gaussian RBF kernels with very few training samples.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

The general problem of how to efficiently incorporate knowledge given by a prior simulation model into the learning of a nonlinear model from experimental data can be presented from an application point of view. Consider the modeling of the in-cylinder residual gas fraction in Spark Ignition (SI) engine with Variable Camshaft Timing (VCT) for engine control. In this context, experimental measurements are complex and costly to obtain. On the other hand, a simulator built from physical knowledge can be available but cannot be embedded in a real time controller.

In engine control design (modeling, simulation, control synthesis, implementation and test), two types of models are commonly used:

E-mail addresses: gerard.bloch@esstin.uhp-nancy.fr (G. Bloch), fabien.lauer@esstin.uhp-nancy.fr (F. Lauer), guillaume.colin@univ-orleans.fr (G. Colin), yann.chamaillard@univ-orleans.fr (Y. Chamaillard).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

- Low frequency models or Mean Value Engine Models (MVEM) with average values for the variables over the engine cycle. These models are often used in real time engine control [1,5]. However, they must be calibrated on experiments in sufficiently large number in order to be representative.
- High frequency simulation models that can simulate the evolution of the variables during the engine cycle [6]. These models, of various complexity from zero-dimensional to three-dimensional models, are mostly based on fewer parameters with physical meaning. However, they cannot be embedded in real time controllers.

The idea is thus to build an embeddable black box model by taking into account a prior simulation model, which is representative but possibly biased, in order to limit the number of required measurements. The prior model is used to generate simulation data for arbitrarily chosen inputs in order to compensate for the lack of experimental samples in some regions of the input space. This problem, although particular, is representative of numerous situations met in engine control, and more generally in engineering, where complex models, more or less accurate, exist, providing prior knowledge in the form of simulation data, and where the experimental data which can be used for calibration are difficult or expensive to obtain. The following of the paper studies various methods for the incorporation of these simulation data into the training of the model.

In nonlinear function approximation, kernel methods, and more particularly Support Vector Regression (SVR) [24], have proved to be able to give excellent performances in various applications [18,17,14]. SVR aims at learning an unknown function based on a training set of N input-output pairs  $(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i)$  in a black box modeling approach. It originally consists in finding the function that has at most a deviation  $\varepsilon$  from the training samples with the smallest complexity [22]. Thus, SVR amounts to solve a constrained optimization problem, in which the complexity, measured by the norm of the parameters, is minimized. Allowing for the cases where the constraints can not all be satisfied (some points have larger deviation than  $\varepsilon$ ) leads to minimize an  $\varepsilon$ -insensitive loss function, which yields a zero loss for a point with error less than  $\varepsilon$  and corresponds to an absolute loss for the others. The SVR algorithm can thus be written as a quadratic programming (QP) problem, where both the  $\ell_1$ -norm of the errors larger than  $\varepsilon$  and the  $\ell_2$ -norm of the parameters are minimized. To deal with nonlinear tasks, SVR uses kernel functions, such as the Radial Basis Function (RBF) kernel, which allow to extend linear methods to nonlinear problems via an implicit mapping in a higher dimensional feature space. Compared to neural networks, SVR has the following advantages: automatic selection and sparsity of RBF centers, intrinsic regularization, no local minima (convex problem with a unique solution), and good generalization ability from a limited amount of samples. In addition, the  $\varepsilon$ -insensitive loss improves the robustness to outliers compared to quadratic criteria.

Other formulations of the SVR problem minimizing the  $\ell_1$ -norm of the parameters can be derived to yield linear programs (LP) [25,23,16]. Some advantages of this latter approach can be noticed compared to the QP formulation such as an increased sparsity of support vectors [25,23] or the ability to use more general kernels [15]. The remaining of the paper will thus focus on the LP formulation of SVR (LP-SVR).

After a presentation of the LP-SVR problem (Section 2), the paper uses the framework of [10] to extend the problem with additional constraints, that are linear with respect to the parameters, in order to include prior knowledge in the learning (Section 3). The methods are exposed respectively for the inclusion of knowledge on the output values (Section 3.1), on the derivatives of the model (Section 3.2) and the addition of potential support vectors (Section 3.3). Finally, the various ways of incorporating prior knowledge in the form of simulation data with these techniques are tested on the in-cylinder residual gas fraction data in Section 4.

Notations: all vectors are column vectors written in boldface and lowercase letters whereas matrices are boldface and uppercase, except for the *i*th column of a matrix  $\boldsymbol{A}$  that is denoted  $\boldsymbol{A_i}$ . The vectors  $\boldsymbol{0}$  and  $\boldsymbol{1}$  are vectors of appropriate dimensions with all their components respectively equal to 0 and 1. For  $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$  and  $\boldsymbol{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$  containing  $\boldsymbol{d}$ -dimensional sample vectors, the "kernel"  $\boldsymbol{K}(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B})$  maps  $\mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with  $\boldsymbol{K}(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B})_{i,j} = k(\boldsymbol{A_i}, \boldsymbol{B_j})$ , where  $k : \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \to \mathbb{R}$  is the kernel function. In particular, if  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a column vector then  $\boldsymbol{K}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{B})$  is a row vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$ . The matrix  $\boldsymbol{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$  contains all the training samples  $\boldsymbol{x_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, N$ , as rows. The vector  $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  gathers all the target values  $\boldsymbol{y_i}$  for these samples. Uppercase  $\boldsymbol{Z}$  is a set containing  $|\boldsymbol{Z}|$  vectors that constitute the rows of the matrix  $\boldsymbol{Z}$ .

#### 2. Support vector regression (SVR)

In nonlinear regression by kernel methods, the function of input  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is approximated by a kernel expansion on the N training samples

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i) + b = \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}}) \alpha + b, \tag{1}$$

where  $\alpha = [\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_i \cdots \alpha_N]^T$  and b are the parameters of the model and k(.,.) is the kernel function. Typical kernel functions are the linear, Gaussian RBF, polynomial and sigmoidal kernels. In this paper, a local, the Gaussian RBF kernel:  $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i) = \exp(-\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i\|^2/2\sigma^2)$ , and a non-local, the polynomial kernel:  $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i) = (\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{x}_i + 1)^T$ , are considered.

In kernel regression via linear programming (LP), the  $\ell_1$ -norm of the parameters  $\alpha$  of the kernel expansion is minimized together with the  $\ell_1$ -norm of the errors  $\xi_i = y_i - f(x_i)$  by

$$\min_{(\alpha,b)} \|\alpha\|_1 + C \sum_{i=1}^N |\xi_i|, \tag{2}$$

### Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/395934

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/395934

Daneshyari.com