

Adolescents' and Young Women's Use of a Microbicide Surrogate Product When Receiving Oral Sex

Beth A. Auslander PhD^{1,*}, Marina Catallozzi MD^{2,3}, Gray Davis PhD⁴, Paul A. Succop PhD⁵, Lawrence R. Stanberry MD, PhD², Susan L. Rosenthal PhD^{2,6}

¹ Department of Pediatrics and Sealy Center for Vaccine Development, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX

² Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Medical Center - College of Physicians and Surgeons and New York-Presbyterian Morgan Stanley Children's Hospital, New York, NY

³ Department of Population and Family Health, Columbia University Medical Center-Mailman School of Public Health and New York-Presbyterian Morgan Stanley Children's Hospital, New York, NY

⁴ Gray Davis Consulting, Raleigh-Durham, NC

⁵ Department of Environmental Health, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH

⁶ Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University Medical Center - College of Physicians and Surgeons and New York-Presbyterian Morgan Stanley Children's Hospital, New York, NY

ABSTRACT

Study Objective: Genital herpes, which can be spread through oral sex, is an important target for microbicides. We examined episode-specific predictors of young women's receptive oral sex and of microbicide surrogate use.

Design: Longitudinal study.

Setting: Participants were recruited to participate in a microbicide acceptability study from adolescent clinics and local colleges and through snowballing.

Participants: Young women (ages 14 to 21 y) who reported sexual contact on at least 1 weekly phone interview (n = 181) were included from the larger sample of 208 young women.

Main Outcome Measures: On weekly diary phone interviews, participants reported whether or not their last sexual contact included receptive oral sex and whether or not their last sexual contact included use of a microbicide surrogate.

Results and Conclusions: Participants reported a total of 1042 episodes of sexual contact of which 311 included receptive oral sex and 354 included microbicide surrogate use. Being older, having sex for the first time with a partner, and having given oral sex were associated with having received oral sex during a sexual episode. Being older, being African American, and having discussed the microbicide surrogate with their partner were associated with having used the microbicide surrogate use during a sexual episode. These results indicate that oral sex should be considered in the design of clinical trials. Future studies need to evaluate ways to promote consistent microbicide use in the context of receiving oral sex as well as those factors (eg, taste, pleasure) which may serve as a barrier.

Key Words: Sexually transmitted infections, Genital herpes, Oral sex, Microbicides, Adolescents

Introduction

Recently, clinical trials of topical microbicides have had promising results for the prevention of both HIV and herpes simplex virus (HSV) type 2 infections.¹⁻³ These products must be used during each sexual encounter, however, because reduction rates of HIV acquisition were found to be greater when the product was used more consistently.² Little is known about women's use of microbicides during

oral sex, although it has been hypothesized that a woman may be less likely to use a microbicide when she receives oral sex due to users/partners' concerns regarding taste and smell of the microbicide.

Genital herpes is an important target for microbicides, because of its significant physical and psychosocial morbidities for the individual,⁴ serious consequences for neonates,⁵ and its association with increased HIV transmission and acquisition.^{6,7} HSV types 1 and 2 infect the mouth and genitals and the virus is shed in the absence of symptoms, so it can be transmitted at any time from the mouth to the genitals.⁸ In recent years and across several countries, the rates of genital herpes attributable to HSV-1 have increased dramatically,⁹⁻¹⁴ which may be due to an increase in oral sex practices.¹⁵ Thus, the relationship between microbicide use and oral sex is particularly relevant for genital herpes prevention and for the design of a microbicide trial with acquisition of genital herpes as an endpoint.

Since microbicides are still in development, surrogate products have been used to increase understanding of the behavioral and attitudinal issues associated with microbicide acceptability.¹⁶ There may be some product-specific

Support was received from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (R01 HD4015101; R01 HD067287); National Institutes of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (U19 A161972, N01 A150042; R34AI090051) of the National Institutes of Health. Studies were conducted on the General Clinical Research Center (GCRC) at the University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston. The GCRC is funded by a grant (M01 RR 00073) from the National Center for Research Resources, NIH, USPHS.

Conflict of Interest: Drs. Auslander, Stanberry, and Rosenthal's institution received grant funding from the National Institutes of Health to conduct the study on which these analyses were based. Dr. Succop received payment in his role as statistical consultant for this NIH-funded study. Drs. Catallozzi and Gray have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

* Address correspondence to: Beth A. Auslander, PhD, Department of Pediatrics, University of Texas Medical Branch, 301 University Boulevard, Galveston, TX 77555-0319; Phone (409) 772-2355; fax: (409) 747-6618

E-mail address: baauslan@utmb.edu (B.A. Auslander).

issues which will impact use of microbicides during receptive oral sex (eg, taste) that will have to be understood in the context of each candidate microbicide. However, studies of surrogates can help us understand oral sex practices and help us design clinical trials of candidate microbicides.

Previously, in a study of adolescent girls and young women's use of a surrogate product, we found that African-American girls were significantly less likely to report a history of oral sex than whites and Hispanics and also reported a later age of initiating oral sex than the other 2 race/ethnicities.¹⁷ While most young women were willing to try the microbicide surrogate, initial use and frequency of use depended on their characteristics (ie, age and sexual experience) and perceptions of the product (eg, comparison to condoms, experience of sexual pleasure).^{15,18} We did not, however, examine episode predictors of use. Thus, the purpose of this analysis was to examine episode specific predictors of: (1) young women's receptive oral sex and (2) their use of a microbicide surrogate.

Material and Methods

As previously described,¹⁸ 208 females (14 to 21 yrs.) who had ever had penile-vaginal sex were recruited from clinics and colleges and through snowball sampling to participate in a 6 month longitudinal study examining the use of a microbicide surrogate, either a gel-filled applicator: Replens Vaginal Moisturizer (Warner-Lambert, Morris Plains, NJ) or a suppository: Lubrin Insert (Bradley Pharmaceuticals, Fairfield, NJ). Participants were asked to use the product of their choice with sexual intercourse. Participants received \$30 for each completed face-to-face interview and an additional \$3 for each completed "weekly" telephone interview. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Texas Medical Branch in Galveston.

The present sample was limited to those who reported sexual contact on at least one weekly interview. Analyses were based on data collected at intake (ie, age and race-ethnicity), and data collected weekly regarding their last sexual contact. Race/ethnicity was collapsed into: Non-Hispanic white/other, African-American, and Hispanic. Questions regarding their last sexual contact relevant to this analysis were: gender of their partner, whether this was the first time they had sex with that partner (partner type), whether the sexual experience included vaginal sex, receptive oral sex, and giving oral sex, whether they talked

to the partner about using the surrogate, and whether they used the surrogate.

Data were analyzed using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). To assess the first objective of examining episode specific predictors of young women's receptive oral sex, age, race/ethnicity, partner type (first time having sex with that partner), and oral sex (giving) were entered into a repeated measure logistic regression model utilizing generalized estimating equations (GEE) to predict receptive oral sex at each sexual episode. To evaluate the second objective of examining episode specific predictors of young women's use of a microbicide surrogate, age, race/ethnicity, first time having sex with that partner, oral sex (giving), oral sex (receptive), and discussion with partner about use were entered into a repeated measure logistic regression model utilizing GEE to predict surrogate use during a sexual episode. Significance was set at the $P < .05$ level.

Results

Of the 208 participants, 181 reported on a last episode of sexual contact at least once. Participants had a mean age of 18.3 years (SD = 1.92); 38.7% were African-American, 33.2% were Hispanic, and 28.2% were Non-Hispanic White/other. Participants completed an average of 5.8 (SD = 4.43) weekly interviews wherein they reported on their last sexual contact, an average of 1.73 (SD = 2.56) weekly interviews wherein they reported receiving oral sex, and an average of 1.97 (SD = 2.93) weekly interviews wherein they reported surrogate use.

A total of 1042 episodes of sexual contact were reported. Most of the episodes were heterosexual ($n = 1014$); 105 of the episodes were with a person with whom they had not previously had sex. The 1042 episodes included 727 of vaginal sex only, 304 of both oral and vaginal sex, 5 in which only oral sex was received, 4 in which only oral sex was given, and 2 in which oral sex was given and received without vaginal intercourse. Ninety-seven participants (54%) reported receiving oral sex across 311 of the 1042 sexual episodes. Product use was discussed in 289 episodes. Use of the product occurred in 354 episodes with 110 (61%) participants reporting using the product.

The odds ratios and confidences intervals for the prediction of receptive oral sex are presented in Table 1. Age, partner type (first time having sex with that person), oral sex (ie, given) significantly predicted having received oral sex. Contrary to previous research,¹⁷ race/ethnicity did not significantly predict receptive oral sex. As age increased by 1

Table 1
Univariate and Multivariate Repeated Measures Logistic Regression Analyses: Associations with Receptive Oral Sex

	Univariate		Multivariate	
	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Age (per year)	1.19 (1.04, 1.37)	.01	1.16 (1.02, 1.32)	.02
Race/ethnicity				
Non-Hispanic white vs Hispanic	1.96 (1.11, 3.46)	.02	1.16 (.64, 2.10)	.62
African-American vs Hispanic	1.24 (.66, 2.34)	.50	1.15 (.63, 2.08)	.65
African-American vs Non-Hispanic white	.63 (.35, 1.45)	.13	.99 (.54, 1.79)	.96
First time having sex with that person (yes vs no)	1.42 (.93, 2.20)	.11	1.85 (1.12, 3.04)	.02
Oral sex (given; yes versus no)	8.25 (5.32, 12.82)	<.0001	8.62 (5.58, 13.33)	<.0001

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3961674>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3961674>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)