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## Introduction

Dose escalation is a critical component in radiation therapy (RT) for prostate cancer. Randomized controlled trials $(1,2)$ and institutional series (3) have demonstrated a benefit in prostate-specific antigen (PSA) relapse-free survival for patients who underwent dose escalation during external beam RT. Institutional series have also suggested the existence of a dose-response relationship for low-dose-rate (LDR) brachytherapy (4-6). In addition, higher radiation doses have been associated with lower positive biopsy rates along with improved clinical outcomes for patients with negative biopsies after both external beam

RT (7) and brachytherapy (8). However, dose escalation to the entire prostate gland can result in increased gastrointestinal and genitourinary toxicity (1).

Studies have shown that prostate cancer often recurs at the original site after RT $(9,10)$. As a result, investigators have been interested in developing methods for escalating radiation dose to intraprostatic regions, while both maintaining coverage of the entire prostate gland and respecting normal tissue dose constraints. This form of dose escalation would in theory lead to improved clinical outcomes without higher toxicity rates. However, intraprostatic dose escalation requires advanced imaging capabilities, which can detect intraprostatic tumor deposits with acceptable sensitivity and specificity. Furthermore, highly conformal RT techniques are needed for safe and effective treatment delivery.

In this report, we summarize our institutional experience with intraprostatic dose escalation using LDR brachytherapy and magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging (MRSI). We used LDR brachytherapy with real-time ultrasound-based intraoperative treatment planning $(11,12)$ because this modality allows for highly conformal dose escalation to intraprostatic regions. We incorporated preoperative MRSI into treatment planning because this modality can identify regions suspicious for intraprostatic tumor deposits based on elevated choline plus creatine-to-citrate ratios $(13,14)$. We had previously explored the feasibility of generating MRS-optimized dose distributions for permanent prostate implants (15). We now present our long-term clinical outcomes for patients treated with this technique.

## Methods and materials

## Patient population

Forty-seven patients with clinically localized prostate cancer, who underwent LDR brachytherapy with MRSI-directed dose escalation between May 2000 and December 2003, were included in this retrospective analysis. As shown in Table 1, 35 patients (74\%) had National Cancer Center Network low-risk disease (T1-T2a, Gleason 6 , and PSA $<10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). The remaining 12 patients ( $26 \%$ ) had intermediate-risk disease (T2b-T2c, Gleason 7, or PSA $10-20 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). Clinical T-stage was defined by digital rectal examination. The numbers of patients with suspicious and definite radiographic extracapsular extension, as assessed by MRI, were 7 ( $15 \%$ ) and 1 , respectively. The median International Prostate Symptom Score, which was available for 24 patients, was 6 . The median pretreatment prostate volume, as assessed by MRI, was 26.0 cc among all patients. Eight patients received neoadjuvant androgen-deprivation therapy (ADT), primarily for cytoreduction, and MRI was obtained before ADT in six of these patients. With respect to brachytherapy, 45 patients received ${ }^{125}$ I monotherapy with a prescription dose of 144 Gy . One patient underwent an ${ }^{125}$ I boost to 110 Gy followed by 50.4 Gy external beam RT due to concern

Table 1
Baseline clinical characteristics

| Characteristics | Total, n (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |
| Median (IQR) | 66 (59-69) |
| Clinical stage |  |
| T1c | 33 (70) |
| T2a | 12 (26) |
| T2b | 2 (4) |
| Gleason score |  |
| $6(3+3)$ | 39 (83) |
| $7(3+4)$ | 7 (15) |
| $7(4+3)$ | 1 (2) |
| Pretreatment PSA |  |
| Median (IQR) | 5.1 (3.8-7.0) |
| NCCN risk |  |
| Low | 35 (74) |
| Intermediate | 12 (26) |
| Pretreatment MRI |  |
| Organ confined | 39 (83) |
| Suspicious ECE | 7 (15) |
| Definite ECE | 1 (2) |
| Pretreatment MRI prostate volume (cc) |  |
| Median (IQR) | 26.0 (21.0-36.5) |
| Baseline IPSS ( $\mathrm{n}=24$ ) |  |
| Median (IQR) | 6 (4-9) |
| Neoadjuvant ADT | 8 (17) |
| Isotope used |  |
| ${ }^{125}$ I (definitive, 144 Gy ) | 45 (96) |
| ${ }^{125}$ I (boost, 110 Gy ) + EBRT ( 50.4 Gy ) | 1 (2) |
| ${ }^{103} \mathrm{Pd}$ (definitive, 140 Gy ) | 1 (2) |
| Followup, mo |  |
| Median (IQR) | 86.4 (49.8-117.6) |

$\mathrm{ADT}=$ androgen-deprivation therapy; $\mathrm{ECE}=$ extracapsular extension; $\mathrm{EBRT}=$ external beam radiation therapy; $\mathrm{IQR}=$ interquartile range; NCCN $=$ National Comprehensive Cancer Network; PSA $=$ prostatespecific antigen.
for suspicious extracapsular extension on MRI. This patient had also received neoadjuvant ADT. Another patient received ${ }^{103} \mathrm{Pd}$ monotherapy to 140 Gy .

## MRSI imaging

Before the procedure, all patients were scanned on a General Electric Signa 1.5 T MR scanner with an endorectal radiofrequency probe inflated with 100 cc of air. Acquired sequences included T1-weighted images and T2-weighted axial images. Spectroscopic analysis was conducted on T2-weighted sequences using 0.12 cc ( $0.625 \mathrm{~cm} \times 0.625 \mathrm{~cm} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) voxels over a $50-\mathrm{mm}$ field of view. Peak areas of choline, creatine, and citrate were then calculated on an offline workstation, and MRS-positive voxels that were suspicious for malignancy based on choline plus creatine-to-citrate ratios were identified based on previously established criteria $(14,16)$.

## LDR brachytherapy with MRSI-directed dose escalation

The LDR brachytherapy and intraoperative planning technique have been previously described (15). Briefly,
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