

Brachytherapy 14 (2015) 531-536

# Salvage high-dose-rate brachytherapy for esophageal cancer in previously irradiated patients: A retrospective analysis

Stéphanie Wong Hee Kam<sup>1,2</sup>, Sofia Rivera<sup>1,3</sup>, Christophe Hennequin<sup>1</sup>, Nelson Lourenço<sup>4</sup>, Mircea Chirica<sup>5</sup>, Nicolas Munoz-Bongrand<sup>5</sup>, Jean-Marc Gornet<sup>4</sup>, Laurent Quéro<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Radiation Oncology Department, Saint Louis Hospital, Paris, France

<sup>2</sup>Radiation Oncology Department, Institut du Cancer de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

<sup>3</sup>Radiation Oncology Department, Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France <sup>4</sup>Gastroenterology Department, Saint Louis Hospital, Paris, France

<sup>5</sup>General Surgery Department, Saint Louis Hospital, Paris, France

#### ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To evaluate outcomes after exclusive salvage high-dose-rate (HDR) intraluminal esophageal brachytherapy given to previously irradiated patients with recurrent esophageal cancer. METHODS AND MATERIALS: We reviewed medical records of 30 patients who were treated by salvage HDR brachytherapy for local esophageal cancer. Brachytherapy delivered four to six fractions of 5–7 Gy at 5 mm from the applicator surface and 20 mm above and below the macroscopic tumor volume.

**RESULTS:** Eighty percentage of patients received treatment as initially planned. Complete response rate, evaluated 1 month after brachytherapy by endoscopy and biopsy, was 53%. Squamous histology and complete endoscopic tumor response at 1 month were significantly associated with better local tumor control. Median local progression-free survival was 9.8 months. Overall survival was 31.5% and 17.5% at 1 and 2 years, respectively. On univariate analysis, preserved performance status and limited weight loss (<10%) before salvage brachytherapy were associated with better overall survival. Severe toxicity (Grade  $\geq$ 3) occurred in 7 patients (23%).

**CONCLUSIONS:** Although esophageal cancer in previously irradiated patients is associated with poor outcomes, HDR brachytherapy may be a valuable salvage treatment for inoperable patients with locally limited esophageal cancer, particularly in the subset of patients with preserved performance status and limited weight loss ( $\leq 10\%$ ) before salvage brachytherapy. © 2015 American Brachytherapy Society. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Esophageal; Cancer; Salvage; Brachytherapy; High-dose rate

### Introduction

Esophageal cancer is the eighth most common cancer worldwide, with an estimated 482,300 new cases each year; it is also the sixth most common cause of death from cancer, with an estimated 406,800 deaths/yr. In Europe, the incidence of esophageal cancer and mortality rate was 51/100,000 and 44/100,000, respectively (1). Incidences of adenocarcinoma of the esophagus have been increasing in western countries because of increased body weight and the prevalence of obesity, whereas the occurrence of squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus has been decreasing because of long-term reductions in tobacco use and alcohol consumption.

Treatment options for advanced esophageal cancer are exclusive chemoradiotherapy or a trimodality therapy combining chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery. Unfortunately, a significant proportion of patients develop a local relapse or persistent disease after chemoradiotherapy. A local relapse or persistent disease is associated with a poor prognosis. Relapses are difficult to treat; salvage surgery can provide a higher survival rate compared with no surgery but is associated with serious postoperative morbidity and mortality (2-4). The use of a palliative expandable esophageal stent or photodynamic

1538-4721/\$ - see front matter © 2015 American Brachytherapy Society. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.brachy.2015.02.392

Received 30 November 2014; received in revised form 21 January 2015; accepted 3 February 2015.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Radiation Oncology Department, Saint Louis Hospital, 1 Avenue Claude Vellefaux, 75010 Paris, France. Tel.: +33-1-42-49-90-34; fax: +33-1-42-49-40-81.

E-mail address: laurent.quero@sls.aphp.fr (L. Quéro).

therapy is also treatment options (5). In some cases, persistent disease or a relapse seem to be limited to the wall of the esophagus and may then be accessible to esophageal brachytherapy if the patient is unfit for salvage surgery.

High-dose-rate (HDR) brachytherapy is a technique which delivers HDR radiation, often >12 Gy/h, to the tumor, temporarily using the catheters placed in or adjacent to the tumor. <sup>192</sup>Ir is a commonly used isotope in HDR brachytherapy. Radiation dose is delivered to the tumor based on the predefined dwell time and dwell locations in the catheters, which are removed after the completion of the radiation delivery (6).

Definitive HDR brachytherapy can be performed either as a monotherapy or as a boost treatment after external beam radiotherapy. HDR intraluminal esophageal brachytherapy (HDR-IEBT) offers a highly conformal treatment with the possibility of delivering a relatively high radiation dose to the tumor volume while also delivering a relatively low dose to the surrounding normal tissues, particularly the lung, the spinal cord, and the adjacent normal esophageal mucosa.

Historically, esophageal brachytherapy has been previously delivered at a low-dose rate but is now usually delivered at a HDR using a miniaturized high-activity iridium source, offering more convenient utilization.

The aims of this retrospective study are to evaluate the efficacy and tolerance of salvage HDR-IEBT for esophageal cancer given to inoperable patients who had been previously treated by radiation therapy.

#### Methods and materials

#### Patients

Between January 1991 and October 2013, we identified, in our institution, 36 patients previously irradiated by external beam radiotherapy who had been treated by HDR-IEBT for recurrent or new primary esophageal cancer. Among these 36 patients, 6 patients were excluded from our study: 4 patients who had been previously treated with external beam radiotherapy for head-and-neck cancer and 2 patients for Hodgkin lymphoma. Finally, we analyzed, in the present study, 30 patients treated by HDR-IEBT who had recurrent esophageal cancer after radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy. Patients were recommended salvage brachytherapy because they were medically inoperable. Among these 36 patients, 30 patients had recurrent esophageal cancer after radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy; 6 patients were excluded from our study: 4 patients who had been previously treated with external beam radiotherapy for head-and-neck cancer and 2 patients for Hodgkin lymphoma.

Previous treatment before salvage brachytherapy consisted of external beam radiation therapy alone in 8 patients (26.5%), chemoradiotherapy in 20 patients (67%), or surgery followed by adjuvant radiotherapy in 2 patients (6.5%) (Table 1).

The mean total dose previously delivered to the esophageal tumor/involved lymph nodes and uninvolved

Table 1   Patient characteristics	
Characteristics	n(%)
Number of patients $(N)$	30
	50
Gender	25 (02.5)
Male	25 (83.5)
Female	5 (16.5)
Age (yr)	(5
Mean	00
Kange	40-87
Squamous cell carcinoma	26 (86 5)
A depocarcinoma	20 (80.5) 4 (13.5)
Adenocarcinoma DS_status	4 (13.3)
0	18 (60 5)
1	8 (26 5)
2	2 (6 5)
2 NA	2 (6.5)
Tumor location	2 (0.5)
Upper third	5 (16.5)
Middle third	16 (53.5)
Lower third	9 (30)
Tumor length (cm)	
$\leq 2$	16 (53.5)
>2	14 (46.5)
N stage	
NO	27 (90)
N1	3 (10)
Tumor length (cm) and nodal status	
$\leq 2$ and NO	15 (50)
>2 or N1	15 (50)
Weight loss (%)	
<5	14 (47)
5-10	8 (26.5)
>10	3 (10)
NA	5 (16.5)
Previous treatment	
Radiotherapy	8 (26)
Chemoradiotherapy	20 (66.5)
Surgery and radiotherapy	2 (6.5)
Brachytherapy regimen (fraction size, fraction n	umber)
5 Gy, 1 fraction	2(7)
5 Gy, 2 fractions	5(17)
5 Gy, 5 Iractions	3 (10) 2 (10)
5 Gy, 4 fractions	5(10)
5 Gy 6 fractions	<b>5</b> (17)
6 Gy 3 fractions	$\frac{1}{3}$
6 Gy. 4 fractions	2 (7)
6 Gy. 5 fractions	$\frac{1}{1}$ (3)
7 Gy. 3 fractions	1 (3)
7 Gy. 4 fractions	3 (10)
Tumor response after brachytherapy	2 (10)
CR	16 (53.5)
No CR	11 (36.5)
NA	3 (10)

PS = performance status; NA = not available; CR = complete response.

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3976944

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3976944

Daneshyari.com