Electrical Power and Energy Systems 52 (2013) 221-230

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Electrical Power and Energy Systems

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijepes



Distribution network expansion considering distributed generation and storage units using modified PSO algorithm



M. Sedghi^{a,*}, M. Aliakbar-Golkar^{a,1}, M.-R. Haghifam^{b,2}

^a K.N. Toosi University of Technology, Tehran, Iran ^b Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 December 2012 Received in revised form 18 March 2013 Accepted 29 March 2013 Available online 25 April 2013

Keywords: Distribution network planning Distributed generation Storage Reliability Modified particle swarm optimization

ABSTRACT

Multistage distribution network expansion because of load growth is a complex problem in distribution planning. The problem includes minimizing cost of objective function subject to technical constraints. The objective function consists of investment, operation and reliability costs. In this paper, HV/MV substations, main and reserve MV feeders, dispatchable DG sources and storage units are considered as possible solutions for multistage distribution expansion planning. A three-load level is used for variable load and some strategies are proposed for DG and storage units operation. A modified PSO algorithm is applied to solve the complex optimization problem. Numerical results of the case studies show the ability of the modification. Moreover, the proposed strategies improve the distribution network from both economical and reliability points of view compared with the other methods.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Optimal expansion of medium-voltage power network because of load growth is a common issue in electrical distribution network planning. The expansion planning approach determines the location, type and capacity of new equipments that should be expanded and/or added to the system. The problem consists of minimizing cost of the objective function subject to technical constraints. Additionally, the optimal system must provide acceptable customer outage profile to ensure that customer reliability requirements are satisfied. The objective function usually includes facilities installation and operation cost and the reliability cost.

Distribution network expansion planning is a complex problem. However, multistage procedure of planning because of dynamic load growth makes the problem more complicated. Multistage planning approach should define not only optimal location, type and capacity of investment, but also the most appropriate times to carry out such investments. Moreover, the intricacy of the problem is increased critically as the system size becomes large.

In recent years, a lot of mathematical models and algorithms have been developed for solving this problem. A comprehensive review of classical models and methods can be found in [1]. However, Dynamic Programming (DP), Branch Exchange (BE), Simulated Annealing (SA), Tabu Search (TS), Genetic Algorithm (GA), Ant Colony System (ACS) and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) are the most common modern algorithms which are used recently to solve the distribution network planning problem [2-8], and some hybrid approaches and improvements are proposed, too [9-15].

In conventional distribution expansion planning, HV/MV substations and main and reserve MV feeders installation/upgrade are considered as possible solutions. Today, new capacity options such as Distributed Generation (DG) and storage units are expanded. Due to more flexibility, DG and storage units can be implemented as possible solutions in distribution network planning. Recently several papers have considered either DG or storage units utilization in distribution network planning [16-28].

Importance of DG consideration in distribution network planning is discussed in [16-18]. In [19] analytical approaches are used for optimal placement of DG sources in radial and networked power systems with variable loads to minimize only energy loss. However, analytical approaches are not useful for more complex planning problems. In [20,21], distribution network planning considering DG sources is developed, however, load variation impact and reliability enhancement are not considered in these works. DG peak cutting benefits for distribution network planning is shown in [22] where sum of investment cost and energy loss cost is minimized. In this reference, GA is used for optimal distribution network expansion planning in a single stage. A similar study is performed in [23], meanwhile a linear

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 912 334 7946; fax: +98 21 88462066. E-mail addresses: meh.sedghi@gmail.com (M. Sedghi), golkar@eetd.kntu.ac.ir (M. Aliakbar-Golkar), haghifam@modares.ac.ir (M.-R. Haghifam).

Fax: +98 21 88462066.

Fax: +98 21 88004556.

^{0142-0615/\$ -} see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2013.03.041

Nomenclature

A _{DG} A _{EV} A _{FD}	set of all DG sources set of all failure events set of all feeder sections	$P_{i,j,s,t}^{SS}$
A_{LD}	set of all starge	$P_{i,j,s,t}^{ST}$
Ass Ass	set of all HV/MV substations	Pr
A _{ST}	set of all storage units	q
A_T	set of all load levels	-
<i>c</i> ₁ , <i>c</i> ₂	positive constants as learning factors	r_j
C _{FD}	fix cost of feeder section installation (\$/km)	rd
C_{DG}^{INS}	cost function of DG source installation (\$)	Ric
C_{SS}^{INS}	cost function of installing/upgrading HV/MV substation (\$)	$S_{DG,i}^{CAP}$
C_{ST}^{INS}	cost function of storage unit installation (\$)	$S_{SS,i,s}^{CAP}$
C_{ST}^{OM}	cost function of operation and maintenance of storage unit (\$)	$S_{ST,i}^{CAP}$ S_{DG}^{DG}
C_{ST}^{REP}	cost function of storage replacement (\$)	J _{i,j,s,t}
CE_j^{SS}	electricity market price at the <i>j</i> th HV/MV substation (\$/	$S_{i,j,s,t}^{FD}$
CF ^{INS}	MW) installation cost of the system at sth stage (\$)	PLOSS
CF_{s}^{OPR}	operation cost of the system during <i>t</i> th year of the sth	1 j,s,t
PLP	stage (\$)	$S_{i,j,s,t}^{SS}$
$CF_{s,t}^{RLD}$	reliability (outage) cost of the system during <i>t</i> th year of the sth stage (\$)	$S_{i,j,s,t}^{ST}$
СО	cost function of costumer outage (\$)	-
$E_{ST,i}^{CAP}$	capacity of the <i>i</i> th storage unit (MW h)	T
h _i	type of the <i>i</i> th load point	lj t
Infr	inflation rate	Uswt
ITUT I.	length of the ith feeder section (km)	Viist
N	number of all stages	-01-1-
Ng	number of all groups in PSO algorithm	V _{max}
N_{pg}	number of particles in each group	V_{\min}
N_s^{LD}	number of all load points in sth stage	W vDG
N ^{MFD}	number of all main feeder sections in sth stage	λ _{i,s}
OC_i^{DG}	operation cost of the <i>i</i> th DG source including mainte- nance cost (\$/MW)	$x_{i,s}^{MFD}$
OF	objective function (\$)	χ_{is}^{RFD}
OFs	intermediate objective function of the sth stage (\$)	1,5
$P_{i,j}^{DG}$	power generation of the <i>i</i> th DG at the <i>j</i> th load level (MW)	$x_{i,s}^{RST}$
$P_{i,j,s,t}^{DG}$	power generation of the <i>i</i> th DG at the <i>j</i> th load level of the <i>t</i> th year of the sth stage (MW)	$x_{i,s}^{SS}$
$P_{DG,i,l}^{FCAP}$	useful free capacity of the <i>l</i> th DG source for <i>i</i> th load point restoration (MW)	$x_{i,s}^{ST}$
$P_{ST,i,l}^{FCAP}$	useful free capacity of the <i>l</i> th storage unit for <i>i</i> th load	$x_{i,k}^{u,GB}$
$P_{i,s,t}^{LD}(u+b)$	(k) power demand of the <i>i</i> th load point at the $(u + k)$ th bour of the <i>i</i> th year of the state (MW)	$x_{i,k}^{u,LB}$
P ^{RES}	restored power of the <i>i</i> th load point by facility m (MW)	$x_{out}(i, j)$
$P_{DG,i}^{nus}$	restored power of the <i>i</i> th load point by all the DG sources (MW)	λι
$P_{ST,i}^{RES}$	restored power of the <i>i</i> th load point by all the storage units (MW)	- y

$P_{i,j,s,t}^{ss}$	dispatched real power from the <i>i</i> th HV/MV substation at the <i>j</i> th load level in <i>t</i> th year of the <i>s</i> th stage including
DST.	network losses (MW)
$P_{i,j,s,t}^{o_1}$	power generation of the <i>i</i> th storage unit at the <i>j</i> th load
D.	probability of failure event at utb hour
P _f	real variable that determines the relative importance of
Ч	the exploitation
r:	outage time of failure event $i(h)$
rd	random function generating random numbers uni-
	formly distributed within the range [0, 1]
Ris	local search rate
$S_{DG,i}^{CAP}$	capacity of the <i>i</i> th DG source (MVA)
S _{SS is}	capacity of the <i>i</i> th HV/MV substation at sth stage (MVA)
SCAP	capacity of the <i>i</i> th storage unit (MVA)
CDG	capacity of the till storage and (with)
$S_{i,j,s,t}$	tth year of the sth stage (MVA)
SFD	nower flow of the <i>i</i> th feeder section at <i>i</i> th load level in
J _{i,j,s,t}	th year of the sth stage (MVA)
PLOSS	total power loss of the distribution network at <i>i</i> th load
J,S,L	level in <i>t</i> th year of the <i>s</i> th stage (MW)
S_{iist}^{SS}	dispatched appearance power from <i>i</i> th HV/MV substa-
19,5,6	tion at <i>j</i> th load level in <i>t</i> th year of the <i>s</i> th stage (MVA)
$S_{i,j,s,t}^{ST}$	charge/discharge power of the <i>i</i> th storage unit at <i>j</i> th
5, ,	load level in <i>t</i> th year of the <i>s</i> th stage (MVA)
T	duration of the every stage (years)
T_j	duration of <i>j</i> th load level (h)
t _{swt}	switching time (h)
U	standard step function
V _{i,j,s,t}	load level in the very of the sth stage (nu)
V	maximum allowed operation voltage (p.u.)
V max Vin	minimum allowed operation voltage (p.u.)
W	inertia weight of PSO algorithm
χ_{ic}^{DG}	binary decision variable associated to installation of the
1,5	ith DG source at sth stage
x_{is}^{MFD}	binary decision variable associated to installation of the
	ith main feeder section at sth stage
$x_{i,s}^{RFD}$	binary decision variable associated to installation of the
DCT	ith reserve feeder section at sth stage
$X_{i,s}^{KST}$	binary decision variable associated to replacement of
55	the ith storage unit at sth stage
$x_{i,s}^{55}$	binary decision variable associated to installing/upgrad-
→ST	hipping of the <i>i</i> th HV/MV substation at still stage
λ _{i,s}	ith storage unit at sth stage
x ^{u,GB}	kth component of the global best position of the <i>i</i> th
^{rr} i,k	group of particles associated to facility <i>u</i>
$x_{i}^{u,LB}$	<i>k</i> th component of the local best position of the <i>i</i> th group
1,K	of particles associated to facility <i>u</i>
$x_{out}(i, j)$	binary variable associated to the <i>i</i> th load point outage
	due to <i>j</i> th failure event
λj	average failure rate of <i>j</i> th event

programming is applied in GA to optimize the power of DG sources. However, this linear programming is not applicable to storage units. Moreover, reliability cost is not considered in [22,23]. Some DG integration advantages for distribution network planning are presented in [24], where hybrid optimal power flow (OPF) and GA are used for multistage distribution

expansion planning. This multistage planning is suitable for the expansion of HV/MV substations, main and reserve feeders and DG units. The results show that integrating of DG sources in distribution expansion planning can improve voltage deviation, loss cost and reliability of the system. The proposed hybrid OPF/GA algorithm in [24] is appropriate for DG integrated distribution

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/398677

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/398677

Daneshyari.com