Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Electrical Power and Energy Systems

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijepes

Augmented Lagrange Hopfield network based Lagrangian relaxation for unit commitment

Vo Ngoc Dieu, Weerakorn Ongsakul*

Energy Field of Study, School of Environment, Resources and Development, Asian Institute of Technology, Klongluang, Pathumthani 12120, Thailand

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 June 2007 Received in revised form 10 June 2010 Accepted 3 December 2010 Available online 14 January 2011

Keywords: Augmented Lagrange Hopfield Improved Lagrangian relaxation Heuristic search Unit commitment

ABSTRACT

This paper proposes an augmented Lagrange Hopfield network based Lagrangian relaxation (ALHN-LR) for solving unit commitment (UC) problem with ramp rate constraints. ALHN-LR is a combination of improved Lagrangian relaxation (ILR) and augmented Lagrange Hopfield network (ALHN) enhanced by heuristic search. The proposed ALHN-LR method solves the UC problem in three stages. In the first stage, ILR is used to solve unit scheduling satisfying load demand and spinning reserve constraints neglecting minimum up and down time constraints. In the second stage, heuristic search is applied to refine the obtained unit schedule including primary unit de-commitment, unit substitution, minimum up and down time repairing, and de-commitment of excessive units. In the last stage, ALHN which is a continuous Hopfield network with its energy function based on augmented Lagrangian relaxation is applied to solve constrained economic dispatch (ED) problem and a repairing strategy for ramp rate constraint violations is used if a feasible solution is not found. The proposed ALHN-LR is tested on various systems ranging from 17 to 110 units and obtained results are compared to those from many other methods. Test results indicate that the total production costs obtained by the ALHN-LR method are much less than those from other methods in the literature with a faster manner. Therefore, the proposed ALHN-LR is favorable for large-scale UC implementation.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Unit commitment (UC) which is considered as a large scale, nonlinear, mixed-integer optimization problem plays a very important role in optimal operation of power systems. Solving the UC problem is a complex decision making process since multiple constraints must be satisfied and a good UC solution method can substantially contribute to annual savings of production cost. The objective of the UC problem is to minimize the total cost of thermal generating units while maintaining sufficient spinning reserve and satisfying the operational constraints of generating units over a given schedule time horizon. An optimal solution to the UC problem in power system operation can be obtained by a complete enumeration. However, the requirement of the excessive computational resource is impossible to be implemented in practice. Therefore, many research efforts have been focused on efficient UC algorithms for lower total production cost and computational time.

Many conventional methods were applied to solve the UC problem such as priority list (PL) [1], branch and bound method (BB) [2], dynamic programming (DP) [3], mixed-integer linear programming (MILP) [4], Lagrangian relaxation (LR) [5]. Among these methods, the PL method is one of the earliest and simplest approaches to solve the UC problem. Most priority list schemes are built around a shut down algorithm based on the relative efficiency of each unit. However, the PL method can not deal with the systems of moderate size since the large number of combinations can not be properly handled, leading to relatively higher operation cost. The BB and DP methods suffer from the "curse of dimensionality" if the size of a system is too large or scheduling period is too long. When applying a MILP problem formulation, solving largescale problems requires a large amount of computing effort and can result in relatively high computational time. The LR method is considered to be the most realistic and efficient method among the conventional methods for large-scale systems. The LR method is superior to the DP method due to its higher quality solution and faster computational time. However, due to the non-convexity of the UC problem, the optimality of the dual problem does not guarantee the feasibility of the primal UC problem. An enhanced adaptive Lagrangian relaxation (ELR) to overcome the drawback of the LR method has been proposed in [6] based on adaptive Lagrangian relaxation enhanced by a heuristic search for identical units. ELR is favourable for large scale implementation in terms of the total production costs and computational times.

Recently, meta-heuristic techniques have been widely used for solving the UC problem such as artificial neural network (ANN)



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +66 2 524 5421; fax: +66 2 524 6589. *E-mail address:* ongsakul@ait.ac.th (W. Ongsakul).

^{0142-0615/\$ -} see front matter \odot 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ijepes.2010.12.004

Nomenclature

C_i^t	on/off decision criterion of unit <i>i</i> at hour <i>t</i>	SUH _i	shut down time of unit <i>i</i> to decrease its output power
DR _i	ramp down rate limit of unit <i>i</i> (MW/h)		from $P_{i,min}$ or above to zero (h)
ER^t	excessive spinning reserve at hour t (MW)	SUR _i	start up ramp constraint of unit <i>i</i> (MW/h)
$F_i(P_i^t)$	quadratic fuel cost function of generating unit <i>i</i>	Т	time horizon for UC (h)
	$F_i(P_i^t) = a_i + b_i P_i^t + c_i (P_i^t)^2 (\$/h)$	T _{i,down}	minimum down time of unit <i>i</i> (h)
$F_{i,high}^t$	upper limit frame of power output of unit <i>i</i> at hour <i>t</i>	$T_{i,off}^t$	continuously off time of unit <i>i</i> up to hour <i>t</i> (h)
.,	(MW)	$T_{i.on}^{t^{o.s}}$	continuously on time of unit <i>i</i> up to hour <i>t</i> (h)
K _{max}	maximum allowable number of iterations for LR	$T_{i,up}$	minimum up time of unit <i>i</i> (h)
Ν	total number of generating units	T_{ifwd}^{t}	continuously forward on time of unit <i>i</i> starting from the
P _{i.max}	maximum power output of unit <i>i</i> (MW)	igna	first committed hour up to hour <i>t</i> (h)
P _{i.min}	minimum power output of unit <i>i</i> (MW)	T_{ibwd}^{t}	continuously backward on time of unit <i>i</i> starting from
P_i^t	generation power output of unit <i>i</i> at hour <i>t</i> (MW)	1,0174	the last committed hour up to hour t (h)
P ^t _{i high}	highest possible power output of unit <i>i</i> at hour <i>t</i> (MW)	U_i^t	status of unit <i>i</i> at hour <i>t</i> (on = 1, off = 0)
$P_{i low}^{t}$	lowest possible power output of unit <i>i</i> at hour <i>t</i> (MW)	UR _i	ramp up rate limit of unit i (MW/h)
P_{ifwd}^{t}	highest forward power output of unit <i>i</i> at hour <i>t</i> starting	β^t	penalty factor in Lagrangian relaxation function
.,	from the first committed hour (MW)	ΔP^t	power shortage at hour <i>t</i> (MW)
$P_{i \ bwd}^{t}$	highest backward power output of unit <i>i</i> at hour <i>t</i> start-	λ^t	Lagrange multiplier for power balance at hour t (\$/
1,0114	ing from the last committed hour (MW)		MWh)
P_D^t	system load demand at hour t (MW)	π^t	Lagrange multiplier for spinning reserve at hour t (\$/
$P_{R}^{\tilde{t}}$	system spinning reserve at hour t (MW)		MWh)
SD_i^{t}	shut down cost of unit <i>i</i> at hour <i>t</i> (\$)	ψ	updating factor of power balance constraint
SDH _i	start up time of unit <i>i</i> to increase its output power from	ϕ	updating factor of spinning reserve constraint
-	zero to P_{imin} or above (h)	χ_i, δ_i, τ_i	start up coefficients of thermal unit <i>i</i>
SDR _i	shut down ramp constraint of unit i (MW/h)		•
SU	start up cost of unit <i>i</i> at hour t (\$)		
1			

[7,8], genetic algorithm (GA) [9,10], simulated annealing (SA) [11– 13], tabu search (TS) [14], evolutionary programming (EP) [15], particle swarm optimization (PSO) [16,17], constrained logic programming (CLP) [18], fuzzy optimization (FO) [19]. The GA, SA, TS, EP, and PSO methods can search not only local but also global optimal solutions. However, they are sensitive to the parameter settings and require a considerable amount of computational time for large problem size due to the large search space. In the CPL method, the number of the unit states required is still high and the ability to achieve the global optimal solution is not always guaranteed. In the FO method, the unit scheduling based on priority list and fuzzy optimization based "if-then" rules may not obtain the optimal solution. Therefore, the solution quality of this method is not much improved compared to some other classical methods. The Hopfield neural network (HNN) in [7] is based on minimization of energy function to solve unit commitment problem with linearized cost function and inequality constraints. However, the obtained result from this network is local optimum, which may be attributed to the inexact mapping of the UC problem to the neural network. An enhanced augmented Lagrangian Hopfield network (EALHN) has been proposed in [8] to overcome shortcomings of the HNN. The EALHN method is an augmented HNN including continuous and discrete Hopfield networks with its energy function based on augmented Lagrange relaxation enhanced by heuristic search based on merit order of generating units for handling minimum up and down time constraints. The EALHN is superior to the HNN and many other methods in terms of lower production cost and shorter computational time.

To reduce the search space in the large-scale problems, and therefore computational time, hybrid methods such as LR initialized augmented Hopfield network (LRAHN) [20], Hybrid GA including PL and GA [21], enhanced merit order and augmented Lagrange Hopfield network (EMO-ALHN) [22,23] have been recently used. These hybrid methods are much efficient than the single methods due to less production cost and faster computational time.

This paper proposes an augmented Lagrange Hopfield network based Lagrangian relaxation (ALHN-LR) for solving the UC problem with ramp rate constraints. ALHN-LR is a combination of improved Lagrangian relaxation (ILR) and augmented Lagrange Hopfield network (ALHN) enhanced by heuristic search. The proposed ALHN-LR method solves the UC problem in three stages. In the first stage, ILR is used to solve unit scheduling satisfying load demand and spinning reserve constraints neglecting minimum up and down time constraints. In the second stage, heuristic search is applied to refine the obtained unit schedule including primary unit de-commitment, unit substitution, minimum up and down time repairing, and de-commitment of excessive units. In the last stage, ALHN which is a continuous Hopfield network with its energy function based on augmented Lagrangian relaxation is applied to solve constrained economic dispatch (ED) problem and a repairing strategy for ramp rate constraint violations is used if a feasible solution is not found. The proposed ALHN-LR is tested on systems ranging from 17 to 110 units and the obtained results are compared to those from other methods reported in the literature.

The organization for the rest of the paper is as follows. Section 2 describes the UC problem formulation. ALHN-LR for UC problem is addressed in Section 3. Numerical results are shown in Section 4. Finally, conclusion is given.

2. UC problem formulation

The objective of the UC problem is to minimize

$$F(P_i^t, U_i^t) = \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^N [F_i(P_i^t) + SU_i^t(1 - U_i^{t-1})]U_i^t + \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^N SD_i^t(1 - U_i^{t+1})U_i^t$$

$$(1)$$

subject to

(a) Power balance constraints

$$P_D^t - \sum_{i=1}^N P_i^t U_i^t = 0, \quad t = 1, \dots, T$$
 (2)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/398752

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/398752

Daneshyari.com