



Impact of wind uncertainty, plug-in-electric vehicles and demand response program on transmission network expansion planning



Chandrakant Rathore, Ranjit Roy*

Department of Electrical Engineering, S.V. National Institute of Technology, Surat 395007, Gujarat, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 July 2014

Accepted 25 July 2015

Available online 10 September 2015

Keywords:

DC power flow

Demand response

Gbest artificial bee colony optimization algorithm

Static transmission network expansion planning

Plug-in-electric vehicles

Wind-farms

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to minimize the total cost of the system by incorporating wind power and plug-in-electric vehicles (PEVs) along with demand response (DR) program. The methodologies have proposed in contrast with the conventional algorithm in which the transmission line investment cost has been minimized without considering the dynamism of the deregulated environment. Moreover, the transmission network planning enhances the competitiveness of the power market, where more market players can participate. In this situation, the network planner has an important role in assessing the needs for transmission investments. Now-a-days practice of the network planner is to utilize more renewable power resources, PEVs and implementation of different electricity price tariffs. To achieve more benefits of PEVs and wind energy, their optimal utilization is a major concern. This paper proposes a mathematical model for solving the combined effect of PEVs and wind power integration with incentive-based DR program on static transmission network expansion planning (STNEP) problem. To solve this non-linear and non-convex problem, a nature-inspired optimization algorithm named gbest-guided artificial bee colony algorithm (GABC) is applied due to its robustness. The algorithm's performance is evaluated through modified IEEE 24-bus, Brazilian 46-bus and Colombian 93-bus system. The test results indicate that the combined effect of DR, PEVs and wind has reduced the total system cost significantly.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Economic benefits and environmental issues are the two major concerns of the power system planning and its operations. Several strategies such as integration of renewable energy resources are adopted by the network planner to overcome these problems [1,2]. As there are limitations of conventional energy resources, major attraction is moving towards the renewable power resources and other portable power devices. The power system planning is to be done in an optimized way to prevent the system failure, load shedding and reliability. However, the transmission expansion planning (TEP) has an important role to play, as it helps to find out the new transmission facilities required. TEP determines “what,” “where”, and “when” new transmission facilities to be installed to the system requirements. Transmission network expansion planning (TNEP) is categorized as static or dynamic TNEP problems. The static TNEP problem is a single period planning, whereas the dynamic TNEP is a multi-period planning [3].

Since 1970's TNEP problem has been solved as an optimization problem [4]. Thereafter many researchers have worked to solve the TEP problem by applying various techniques and the research done so far on TEP problem has been reported in [1,2]. Starting from the classical optimization methods [4–6], heuristic methods [7–9] and population/or nature inspired algorithms [10–18] have been applied to solve TEP problem.

Generally big vulnerability comes in finding “optimal solution” by mathematical optimization methods due to the internal limitations of the optimization techniques itself, such as the presence of non-linearity and stochastic modeling. Furthermore, this leads to large computational burden to the TEP planner. Therefore, these days heuristic and meta-heuristic techniques are used to solve TEP problems, which provide fast convergence and rapid calculation.

In the literature various issues and difficulties related to TEP problems have been reported in [13,15–17]. In [13], the multiyear TEP problem has been solved by considering demand uncertainty nature to find out the most suitable group of projects, as well as their scheduling along with the planning horizon. In [15], the TEP problem has been solved by considering security issue and the changes in the network configuration and affects in the investment cost during any line outage has been presented. The multi-stage

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 9904402937; fax: +91 261 2227334.

E-mail address: rr@eed.svnit.ac.in (R. Roy).

Nomenclature

A_i^j	incentive price paid to the consumer in j th load period (US \$/MW)	n_{ik}^0 and n_{ik}^j	initial number of lines and new lines added j th load level to the $i - k$ branch
$B(d_i^j)$	customer's income in the j th load period (US)	n_{ik}^{max}	maximum number of lines that can be added to the $i - k$ branch
a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i, e_i	cost coefficient of the i th generator	N_{ik}	set of lines connected to bus k
c	scale factor (units of wind speed)	N_{PEV}^{max}	maximum number of PEVs
C_{DRj}	cost of demand response for j th load period (US \$)	N_v, N_g and N_w	number of PEVs, thermal generators and wind farms
$C_{Lik}(\cdot)$	cost function of new line added to the $i - k$ right-of-ways (US \$)	pen_i^j	penalty at bus i for j th load level (US \$/MW)
$C_i(\cdot)$	total fuel cost function of the i th generator (US \$/h)	P_{gi}^j	active power generation at the i th bus at load level j (MW)
$C_{PEVi}(\cdot)$	cost function of total number of vehicle connected to bus i (US \$)	$P_{inc}(\Delta d_i^j)$	total payment for incentive (US \$)
$C_{wdi}(\cdot)$	direct cost function of i th wind farm (US \$/h)	$PEN(\Delta d_i^j)$	total payment for penalty (US \$)
$C_{pwi}(\cdot)$ and $C_{rwi}(\cdot)$	underestimation and overestimation cost functions of the i th wind farm (US \$/h)	P_{gi}^{min} and P_{gi}^{max}	active power generation lower and upper limit at the i th bus (MW)
d_{wi}	direct cost coefficient for the i th wind farm (US \$/MW h)	P_{dk}^j	active load at bus k for load level j (MW)
$d_{o_i}^j$ and d_i^j	new load demand and initial load demand at bus i for j th load level (MW)	P_{PEVi}^j	power generated by the vehicle connected to bus i at load level j (MW)
CDR	cost of demand response participation (US \$)	P_{wi}^j	scheduled wind power from the i th wind farm at load level j (MW)
TWC	total wind power utilization cost (US \$/h)	$P_{wi,av}^j$	available wind power from the i th wind farm at load level j (MW)
E_i^j	elasticity of j th load level with respect to i th bus	P_{wr} and P_w	rated wind power and output power of the i th wind farm (MW)
ECV	energy cost of the PEV	$Prob\{\cdot\}$	probability of events
F	fitness function	TC	total cost (US \$)
FC	fuel cost (US \$/h)	v, v_{ci}, v_{co} and v_r	wind speed, cut-in, cut-out and rated wind speed m/s
f_{ik}^j	active power flow in the $i - k$ branch for j th load level (MW)	γ_{ik}	susceptance of a branch between buses $i - k$
$f_V(v)$ and $F_V(v)$	weibull probability and cumulative distribution function (CDF) density function	θ_m^j and θ_n^j	phase angle at buses m and n for load level j (rad)
f_{ik}^{max}	active power flow limit on the $i - k$ branch (MW)	$\rho_{o_i}^j$ and ρ_i^j	original electricity and spot electricity prices at bus i for j th load (US \$/MW h) level (US \$/MW h)
$f_{w}(P_w)$	WECS wind power pdf	Ω	set of all candidate lines
TLC	transmission line investment cost (US \$)		
k	shape factor		
k_{pi} and k_{ri}	underestimation and overestimation cost coefficient for the i th wind farm (US \$/MW h)		
L_d	number of load levels		

TEP problem in a deregulated electricity market has been presented. The objective is to minimize the investment and operating costs with the inclusion of $N - 1$ reliability criterion [16]. In [17], the impact of distributed generation (DG) on sub-transmission system expansion planning has been presented, which gives the details about the optimal location and capacity of the substation and DGs.

The wind related issues on TEP problem has been reported in [19–23]. In [19], the reliability issue considering large wind farm and load uncertainty has been described. The analyses described the maximum wind energy capacity that is penetrated to a specified place. The impacts of large-scale wind integration have been solved by taking investment, risk and congestion costs, reserve market and reserve availability costs, and wind power investment cost in [20–23]. The security and reliability constraints have been considered to minimize the system cost. However, none of the mentioned references includes the wind power utilization cost, underestimation cost, overestimation cost and the optimal placement of wind turbine on TNEP problem so far.

In a competitive electricity market, new incentive policy influences the consumers to take more participation in DR programs. DR can be defined as the changes in electricity consumption patterns by the end-user customers, according to the changes in the price of electricity over a period of time from their normal usage patterns [24]. Implementation of DR program is found as an alternative to generation and transmission expansion [25]. Demand response (DR) programs have been widely studied in unit

commitment (UC) problem some of the papers are in [26–29]. In [26,27], two types of DR programs have been reported, and their impacts on load shape, load level, and benefits to the customer have been analyzed. DR scheduling by a stochastic model for security-constrained UC in the wholesale electricity market has been solved, and the benefits of demand-side reserve in electricity markets has been presented in [28,29]. From the literature reviewed, it has been found that only few researchers have reported the implementation of DR programs for TEP problem [30,31]. In [30], TEP problem has been solved by incorporation of demand response schedule considering wind power penetration. In [31], a price-based DR program has been implemented on the TEP problem. However, in both the papers the objective is to minimize the total cost of the system, but the detail related to the minimized value of cost, transmission line configuration and the impact on load demand have not been adopted.

According to the electric power research institute (EPRI), it is expected that by 2020 up to 35% of the total vehicles in the U.S. will be PEVs [32]. The PEVs either in the form of source as a vehicle to grid (V2G) technology or load as a grid to vehicle (G2V) technology studies in the different fields of the power systems have been reported in the literature recently [33–42]. The proper scheduling of PEVs prevents overloading of the network, which leads to the congestion free operation. The researches have studied the applications of PEVs on the distribution network [33–35], UC problem [36], economic load dispatch problem [37–39] and transmission network [40–42].

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/400405>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/400405>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)