



The potential of using biodegradable microspheres in retinal diseases and other intraocular pathologies



Rocío Herrero-Vanrell^{a,b,*,1}, Irene Bravo-Osuna^{a,b,1}, Vanessa Andrés-Guerrero^{a,b,1},
Marta Vicario-de-la-Torre^{a,b,1}, Irene Teresa Molina-Martínez^{a,b,1}

^a Department of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Complutense University of Madrid, Plaza Ramón y Cajal, s/n, 28040 Madrid, Spain

^b 1-Pharmaceutical Innovation in Ophthalmology (Innovación Farmacéutica en Oftalmología), Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria, Hospital Clínico San Carlos (IdISSC), Calle Profesor Martín Lagos, s/n, 28040 Madrid, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Available online 10 May 2014

Keywords:

Microspheres
PLGA
Retina
Ocular drug delivery
Controlled release

ABSTRACT

Pathologies affecting the posterior segment of the eye are one of the major causes of blindness in developed countries and are becoming more prevalent due to the increase in society longevity. Successful therapy of diseases affecting the back of the eye requires effective concentrations of the active substance maintained during a long period of time in the intraocular target site. Treatment of vitreoretinal diseases often include repeated intravitreal injections that are associated with adverse effects. Local administration of biodegradable microspheres offers an excellent alternative to multiple administrations, as they are able to deliver the therapeutic molecule in a controlled fashion. Furthermore, injection of microparticles is performed without the need for surgical procedures. As most of the retinal diseases are multifactorial, microspheres result especially promising because they can be loaded with more than one active substance and complemented with the inclusion of additives with pharmacological properties. Personalized therapy can be easily achieved by changing the amount of administered microspheres. Contrary to non-biodegradable devices, biodegradable PLA and PLGA microspheres disappear from the site of administration after delivering the drug. Furthermore, microspheres prepared from these mentioned biomaterials are well tolerated after periocular and intravitreal injections in animals and humans. After injection, PLA and PLGA microspheres suffer aggregation behaving like an implant. Biodegradable microspheres are potential tools in regenerative medicine for retinal repair. According to the reported results, presumably a variety of microparticulate formulations for different ophthalmic therapeutic uses will be available in the clinical practice in the near future.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Contents

1. Introduction	28
2. Technological aspects of microspheres for intraocular administration	30
2.1. Manufacturing of microspheres	30
2.2. Technological properties of microspheres	30
2.3. Sterilization of microspheres	30
3. Technological strategies for optimization of intraocular microparticulate formulations	32
3.1. Hydrophilic additives in microspheres	32
3.2. Lipophilic additives in microspheres	32
3.3. Additives with pharmacological properties	32

* Corresponding author. Complutense University of Madrid, Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Technology, Plaza Ramón y Cajal s/n, 28040 Madrid, Spain. Tel.: +34 913 941 739; fax: +34 913 941 736.

E-mail addresses: rociohv@ucm.es, rociohv@farm.ucm.es (R. Herrero-Vanrell).

¹ Percentage of work contributed by each author in the production of the manuscript is as follows: Rocío Herrero-Vanrell: 32%; Irene Bravo-Osuna: 17%; Vanessa Andrés-Guerrero: 17%; Marta Vicario-de-la-Torre: 17%; Irene T. Molina-Martínez: 17%.

4.	Syringeability and injectability of microspheres	32
5.	Determination of the amount of microspheres for injection	33
6.	Tolerance of microparticles for intraocular administration	33
6.1.	In-vitro tolerance studies	33
6.2.	Tolerance studies in animals	33
6.2.1.	Intravitreal administration	33
6.2.2.	Periocular administration	34
6.2.3.	Suprachoroidal administration	34
6.3.	Tolerance studies in humans	34
6.3.1.	Intravitreal administration	34
6.3.2.	Periocular administration	35
7.	Movement of particles after injection	35
7.1.	Intravitreal administration	35
7.2.	Periocular administration	35
8.	Degradation of PLA and PLGA microspheres	35
8.1.	In-vitro degradation of microspheres	35
8.2.	In-vivo degradation of microspheres	35
9.	Microspheres as therapeutic tools for the treatment of vitreoretinal diseases	36
9.1.	Proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR)	36
9.2.	Uveitis	38
9.3.	Diabetic Retinopathy (DR)	38
9.4.	Macular edema (ME)	38
9.4.1.	Intravitreal administration	38
9.4.2.	Periocular administration	39
9.5.	Acute retinal necrosis (ARN)	39
9.6.	Cytomegalovirus retinitis (CMV)	39
9.7.	Choroidal neovascularization (CNV)	39
9.8.	Degenerative diseases affecting the optic nerve. Glaucoma	39
9.9.	Photoreceptors degeneration. Retinitis pigmentosa (RP)	39
9.10.	Age-related macular degeneration (AMD)	41
9.11.	Prevention of intraocular inflammation, infection and post-surgical fibrosis after cataract surgery	41
9.12.	Microspheres for regenerative medicine. Co-transplantation of microspheres with retinal progenitor cells (RPCs)	41
10.	Potential drawbacks	41
11.	Current and future developments	41
	Acknowledgments	42
	References	42

1. Introduction

Pathologies affecting the posterior segment of the eye are one of the major causes of blindness in developed countries. These diseases include uveitis, diabetic retinopathy, macular edema, endophthalmitis, proliferative retinopathy, age related macular degeneration and glaucoma, among others. Generally, back of the eye diseases are chronic and degenerative and some of them are related to elderly. Successful treatments of vitreoretinal diseases require effective concentrations of the active substance maintained during long periods in the target site. Static barriers (different corneal layers, sclera, retina, blood aqueous and blood retinal barriers), dynamic barriers (tear dilution, conjunctival and choroidal blood flow, and lymphatic clearance) as well as efflux pumps, effectively limit the drug access to the posterior segment (Gaudana et al., 2010). Four routes of administration can be theoretically employed to deliver active substances to treat retinal diseases: topical, systemic, intraocular and periocular (Herrero-Vanrell and Refojo, 2001). The poor bioavailability of topically administered drugs limits their access to intraocular tissues. Systemic administration requires high doses to achieve adequate therapeutic levels of the drug in the eye with the risk of systemic adverse effects. Intraocular local drug administration includes injections into the anterior chamber of the eye (intracameral), in the vitreous (intravitreal) or into the periocular tissues (subconjunctival, sub-Tenon, juxtasceral and retrobulbar). Due to the difficulty in the maintenance of therapeutic concentrations in the target site, repeated

intraocular injections are required for a successful therapy causing much inconvenience to patients. Although the periocular route is getting more attention, intravitreal injections are still the most employed even being associated to non-desired effects. For example, if high doses of the therapeutic agent are administered the concentration in the retina can be toxic. Besides, successive intravitreal injections are related to adverse effects such as cataracts, retinal detachment, and haemorrhages, among others. Moreover, the risk of the non-desired effects increases with the number of injections (Herrero-Vanrell et al., 2000).

Drug delivery systems for the treatment of posterior segment diseases are classified into 3 major categories: (1) intraocular controlled (sustained) release by implants, (2) drug targeting for systemic administration (e.g. photodynamic therapy) and (3) drug penetration (e.g. iontophoresis) (Yasukawa et al., 2011). Innovative treatments as intraocular drug delivery systems in different sizes have been developed to provide sustained drug concentrations of the active substance in the target site. They are constituted by a combination of drugs and biomaterials. Encapsulated cell technologies have been developed also (Yasukawa et al., 2011). Depending on the properties of the biomaterial (erodible or biodegradable and non-erodible or non-biodegradable), the devices can disappear from the site of administration or remain there during the lifetime of patients.

According to their size, devices are classified as implants (>1 mm), microparticles (1–1000 μm) and nanoparticles (1–1000 nm). Considering their physical structure they are divided

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4031939>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4031939>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)