Taiwan Journal of Ophthalmology 6 (2016) 32-35

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Taiwan Journal of Ophthalmology

journal homepage: www.e-tjo.com

Original article

Association of refractive error with vision-related quality of life in junior high school students

Ming-Hung Hsieh^a, Jen-Chieh Lin^{a, b, *}

^a Department of Ophthalmology, Taipei City Hospital, Heping Fuyoy Branch, Taipei, Taiwan ^b Graduate Institute of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, College of Public Health, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 8 September 2015 Received in revised form 21 December 2015 Accepted 22 December 2015 Available online 9 February 2016

Keywords: distance vision myopia near vision refractive error vision-related quality of life

ABSTRACT

Background/Purpose: To evaluate the relationship between refractive error and vision-related quality of life in 16-year-old students in Taiwan.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was designed for 16-year junior-high-school students in Taiwan. Myopia was defined as a spherical refractive error (SRE) < -0.50 D, hyperopia as SRE > +1.0 D, and emmetropia as SRE -0.5-+1.0 D in the better eye. Vision-related quality of life was assessed using the Taiwan Chinese version of the 25-Item National Eye Institute Visual Functioning Questionnaire.

Results: Of the 688 participants, 466 (68%) had myopia and 22 (3%) had hyperopia. In logistic-regression models adjusted for gender, parents' education, family income, and parental refractive error, myopia was an independent risk factor of poorer vision-related quality of life for both near vision (odds ratio 1.73, 95% confidence interval 1.22–2.45) and distance vision (odds ratio 3.11, 95% confidence interval 2.23–4.35). Hyperopia was not associated with near- or distance-vision difficulty.

Conclusion: In this study population, myopia was associated with difficulties in near and distance vision compared to emmetropia. Further studies are needed to confirm our findings in other populations. Copyright © 2016, The Ophthalmologic Society of Taiwan. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Refractive error is a major eye problem throughout the world, especially in Asia. Without adequate correction, refractive error can become the main cause of vision impairment. Previous studies indicated that the rate of myopia was up to 84% among school-children aged 16–18 years in Taiwan.^{1–3} The prevalence of myopia in school-age children in Taiwan has been increasing over recent years,¹ and refractive error is associated with lower levels of family income and parental education, as well as the number of myopic parents.^{4,5} Myopia and hyperopia can increase the risk of developing some ocular diseases and have impact on vision-related quality of life; however, for schoolchildren, refractive errors can have a more direct influence on quality of life and learning ability than other ocular diseases.

Conflicts of interest: There is no financial conflict of interest for this study.

E-mail address: jclin54@yahoo.com.tw (J.-C. Lin).

The 25-Item National Eye Institute Visual Functioning Questionnaire (NEIVFQ-25) has been used as a tool to evaluate visionrelated quality of life or visual function.^{6,7} These studies reported that corrected refractive errors remained a negative effect on vision-related quality of life; however, data regarding school-age children are still lacking. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the effect of corrected refractive error on vision-related quality of life in school age children, by using the score of nearand distance-activity subscales.

2. Methods

2.1. Study population

This cross-sectional study enrolled all ninth-grade students from a junior high school in Taiwan. Students with histories of previous ocular surgery; diagnosed ocular diseases like amblyopia, glaucoma, congenital cataracts, or retina dystrophy; or wearing orthokeratology lens were excluded. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by







^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Ophthalmology, Taipei City Hospital, Heping Fuyoy Branch, Number 33, Section 2, Chung-Hwa Road, Taipei City 10065, Taiwan.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tjo.2015.12.001

^{2211-5056/}Copyright © 2016, The Ophthalmologic Society of Taiwan. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Table 1
Demographic data and refractive errors in each group.

Parameter	Spl	Spherical refractive error				
	Emmetropia	Муоріа	Hyperopia			
Ν	200	466	22			
Refractive error (D)	-0.25 ± 1.03	-3.92 ± 2.06	1.57 ± 1.34			
Gender						
Boy	92 (46)	241 (52)	12 (55)			
Girl	108 (54)	225 (48)	10 (45)			
Parental educational level						
High school	112 (56)	247 (53)	13 (59)			
University	88 (44)	219 (47)	9 (41)			
Family income (monthly)						
< US \$2000	104 (52)	269 (58)	14 (64)			
> US \$2000	96 (48)	197 (42)	8 (36)			
Number of myopic parents						
0	63 (32)	116 (25)	11 (50)			
1	88 (44)	218 (47)	6 (27)			
2	49 (24)	132 (28)	5 (23)			

Data are presented as n (%) or mean \pm standard deviation.

the Institutional Review Board of Taipei City Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan. After obtaining informed consent, these students received a detailed ocular examination, including measurements of presenting visual acuity and refractive errors, as well as face-to-face interviews for assessments of the NEIVFQ-25 (Taiwan Chinese version) and demographic information using questionnaires. Presenting visual acuities were obtained for students wearing their glasses as usual.

2.2. Refractive error

Refractive error was measured using an auto refractometer (Canon RK-5 Auto Ref-Keratometer; Canon Inc., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) under cycloplegic conditions. Five consecutive measurements were obtained for each eye of all students, and the mean of measurements was used for analysis. Cycloplegia was preceded with one drop of 0.5% proparacaine, and then two drops of 1% cyclopentolate within a 5-minute interval. If the pupil size was < 6 mm or reflective to light, an additional drop of cyclopentolate was administered every 5 minutes until an acceptable dilation occurred. Myopia was defined as spherical refractive error (SRE) < -0.50 D, hyperopia was defined as SRE > +1.0 D, and emmetropia as SRE -0.5-+1.0 D. This study used the better eye when determining SRE.

2.3. Vision-related quality of life

The Taiwan Chinese version of the NEIVFQ-25 was used to obtain objective measure of visual impairment. The subscores within the NEIVFQ-25 have been validated in our previous studies,^{6,7} in which the near-vision subscore as the individual visual function of near activities and the distance-vision subscore as the visual function of distance activities were established.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Because the distributions of near- and distance-vision function scores were highly skewed, the scores were transformed into an ordinal variable for analysis. According to the median values, the cutoff value of 100 was used to create a "no difficulty" group (score = 100) and a "difficulty" group (score < 100) for both nearand distance-vision function scores. Cutoff values of < 90 were also determined by a sensitivity test.

A multivariate logistic-regression model was performed to analyze the relationship between the SRE categories and the visualfunction difficulty, and was adjusted according to gender, parental education, family income, and the number of myopic parents. Significant associations were reported as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). A *p* value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were conducted with IBM SPSS 20.0 software (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

A total of 688 students were included in the final analysis. Of those, 466 (68%) had myopia and 22 (3%) had hyperopia, 49.8% were boys, 54.9% had both parents with an education lower than university level, 56.8% had a family income of < \$2000, and 28.2% had no myopic parents (Table 1).

The median NEIVFQ subscale scores in each group are shown in Table 2. The distributions of subscores of near activities and distance activities were highly skewed (Figure 1). A significant difference in the refractive errors between the no-difficulty group and the difficulty group was found in distance-vision score (Table 3). Furthermore, 55.5% of the students reported no difficulty in near visual function, and 42.5% reported no difficulty in distance visual function (Table 4).

After adjustment for gender, parental education, family income, and the number of myopic parents, students with myopia were significantly more likely to report difficulties of both near vision (OR 1.73, 95% CI 1.22–2.45) and distance vision (OR 3.11, 95% CI

Table 2

The 25-Item National Eye Institute Visual Functioning Questionnaire composite and the subscores of refractive errors for different groups.

Subscores	Emmetropia ($N = 200$)	Myopia (<i>N</i> = 466)	Hyperopia (<i>N</i> = 22)	р
	Median (25–75 ^a)	Median (25–75 ^a)	Median (25–75 ^a)	
Composite	80.5 (73.7–85.2)	77.2 (68.3–81.9)	79.0 (69.8–84.8)	< 0.01 ^b
General health	50 (50-75)	50 (25-75)	50 (50-75)	0.14
General vision	80 (60-100)	60 (60-80)	80 (60-80)	< 0.01 ^b
Ocular pain	62.5 (50-75)	62.5 (50-75)	68.75 (50-87.5)	0.33
Near activities	100 (91.6–100)	100 (83.3–100)	100 (83.3–100)	0.09
Distance activities	100 (91.6–100)	87.5 (75–100)	95.8 (75–100)	< 0.01 ^b
Social functioning	100 (100-100)	100 (87.5-100)	100 (100-100)	< 0.01
Mental health	56.2 (43.7-68.7)	50 (43.7-62.5)	56.2 (50-62.5)	0.03
Role difficulties	62.5 (37.5-75)	50 (37.5-75)	62.5 (37.5-75)	0.53
Dependency	58.3 (41.6-75)	50 (41.6-75)	66.6 (50-75)	0.18
Driving	100 (70.8–100)	91.6 (66.6-100)	75 (50-100)	0.18
Color vision	100 (100-100)	100 (100–100)	100 (100-100)	0.06
Peripheral vision	100 (100-100)	100 (75–100)	100 (75–100)	< 0.01 ^b

^a Indicates the 25th and 75th percentiles, or the lower and higher quartiles.

^b Indicates significant higher subscale scores in the emmetropia group compared to the myopia group (p < 0.01) by χ^2 test analysis.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4033324

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4033324

Daneshyari.com