



## The role of axial torque in disc herniation

Leigh W. Marshall, Stuart M. McGill \*

University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 27 April 2009

Accepted 9 September 2009

#### Keywords:

Axial torque  
Spine  
Disc herniation

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Epidemiological studies have found associations between lifting, lifting and twisting and twisting alone with increased incidence of disc herniation. This study investigated the role of repeated dynamic axial torque/twist combined with repeated flexion on the disc herniation mechanism.

**Methods:** Porcine cervical spines were tested in one of the following four testing protocols: flexion–extension only; axial torque/twist only; flexion–extension followed by axial torque/twist; or axial torque/twist followed by flexion–extension. Plane film radiographs and computed tomography with contrast in the nucleus were obtained at regular intervals during and following the mechanical testing process together with final dissection to determine the disc injury patterns.

**Findings:** Axial torque/twist in combination with repetitive flexion extension motion, regardless of order, encouraged radial delamination within the annulus (67.5% of specimens). Alternatively, repetitive flexion motion alone encouraged posterior or posterolateral nucleus tracking through the annulus. Axial torque/twist alone was unable to initiate a disc herniation. Both X-ray images with contrast and computed tomography were not good at detecting radial delamination observed during dissection.

**Interpretation:** The clinical relevance is that twisting may cause more radial delamination while repeated flexion causes more posterior tracking of the nucleus giving guidance for both prevention and rehabilitation decisions. In addition, X-ray images with contrast are not effective at detecting the radial delamination which was exacerbated by combined loading in flexion extension and axial torque/twist.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Epidemiological studies have found associations between lifting (Kelsey et al., 1984; Mundt et al., 1993), lifting and twisting (Kelsey et al., 1984; Mundt et al., 1993) and twisting alone (Greenough and Fraser, 1994) with increased incidence of disc herniation. While *in vitro* investigations confirmed that repeated flexion motion reliably produced herniations with relatively modest accompanying compressive loads, investigations involving axial rotation and torque have drawn mixed conclusions. This study investigated the role of axial torque/twist in producing disc herniation.

Two pioneering studies set the stage over 25 years ago. Adams and Hutton (1981) found more axial rotation was required to damage the intervertebral disc than that required to damage the facet joints in an acute loading protocol to failure. They concluded that axial rotation, within physiological ranges of motion, was not a major factor in the etiology of disc degeneration or disc prolapse.

In contrast, Farfan et al. (1970) reached different conclusions with observations of circumferential tears in the posterolateral outer-most annular fibers when spinal segments were exposed to repeated axial rotation and postulated a gradual communication would develop between the nucleus and the outside of the intervertebral disc with repeated axial rotation. Because of this it was his opinion that torsion was a precursor for disc pathology. Gordon et al. (1991) produced herniation with combinations of flexion and twist motion suggesting that twisting and twist torque have an exacerbating role in the herniation mechanism. The need to better understand axial torque/twist mechanics motivated this study.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the role of axial torque/twist on disc herniation mechanisms. The following hypotheses were tested: (1) no disc herniation would be initiated in specimens loaded under axial torque/twist alone; (2) specimens loaded in repetitive flexion motion followed by axial torque/twist would have a posterior herniation as well as radial delamination; and (3) specimens loaded in axial torque/twist followed by repetitive flexion extension motions would produce posterior herniations with more serious tissue destruction. It is possible that axial torque/twist may predispose a disc when subsequently flexed, or it simply may not matter as long as the specimen is exposed to axial torque/twist at some stage of the loading regimen.

\* Corresponding author. Address: Department of Kinesiology, Faculty of Applied Health Science, University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue West, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1.

E-mail address: [mcgill@healthy.uwaterloo.ca](mailto:mcgill@healthy.uwaterloo.ca) (S.M. McGill).


## 2. Methods

Porcine cervical spines (mean age 6 months, weight 80 kg) were used for this study as they appear to approximate the failure mechanisms of human lumbar spines and provide control over age, diet, and exercise (Yingling et al., 1999). The spines were sectioned into functional spinal units consisting of the C5/C6 vertebrae and the intervening disc with the facet joints left intact. Only specimens which met Galante's grade one criteria of a normal disc were used for further testing (Galante, 1967). The intervertebral disc was injected through the anterior annulus with a radio-opaque dye mixture consisting of 0.1 mL blue dye (Coomassie brilliant blue Gmix; 0.25% dye, 2.5% MeOH and 97.25% distilled water), 0.2 mL water and 0.2 mL of barium sulphate in order to track the progression of the nucleus pulposus in plane film radiographs. The dye mixture was of a sufficient consistency that it did not diffuse into the annular fibers unless a fissure was present in the annulus (Callaghan and McGill, 2001) and did not track through the injection site.

The specimens were secured to steel cups by inserting a screw into the centre of the vertebral body together with 18 gauge galvanized wire looped bilaterally around both the anterior processes and lamina of the vertebral bodies. The cups were filled with non-exothermic dental stone (Denstone<sup>®</sup>, Miles, South Bend, IN, USA) to further secure the "potted" specimens. To maintain hydration during the testing protocol the specimens were wrapped in a saline soaked cloth and plastic wrap.

The specimens were placed in a servohydraulic dynamic testing system (model 8511, Instron Canada, Burlington, Ontario, Canada) customized to apply flexion motion and axial torque/twist (Fig. 1). The superior cup was fixated to the testing jig while the inferior cup was able to translate freely. This equipment setup enabled the disc to find its own torsion and bending axes. Flexion–extension motions were applied through an electrical brushless servomotor (model BNR3018D, Cleveland Machine Controls, Billerica, MA, USA) and planetary gear head (model 34PL0400, Applied Motion Products, Watsonville, CA, USA) which was controlled by custom software interfaced with an ISA bus motion controller (model DMC1701, Galil Motion Control, Mountain View, CA, USA) (Callaghan and McGill, 2001).

The servohydraulic testing system was also combined with a custom jig which was modified to incorporate a pneumatically driven torsion capability with load-rate control. The pneumatic system loaded the specimens under axial torque generating a first order response to a square wave pulse (upon opening of air valve) with an initial load rate of 24.0 Nm/s and final load rate of 6.2 Nm/s over a 1 s period. The two pneumatically driven pistons were set up in a parallel force couple to cause rotation of the specimens.




**Fig. 1.** The servohydraulic dynamic testing system customized to apply flexion motion and axial torque/twist.

Force was measured in each piston using two strain gauge force transducers (model MLP-300-C0, Transducer Techniques, Temecula, CA, USA) while linear displacement of the pistons was measured using a linear potentiometer (Novotechnik, Southborough, MA, USA). Angular rotation was calculated from the linear displacement data via the computed arc length.

The specimens were preloaded for 15 min with 300 N of compression to counter any swelling that occurred postmortem (Callaghan and McGill, 2001). A compressive load of 1500 N was used during the remainder of the testing protocol. Then a passive test was performed to find the linear region obtained from the angular displacement versus torque curves to determine the range of flexion and extension motion for subsequent tests. The ranges for testing were 12° (standard deviation 3°) in flexion and 6° (standard deviation 2°) in extension, thus actual ranges were specimen specific. This cyclic motion was conducted at 1 Hz in position control. During the axial torque/twisting protocol specimens were loaded with 17.5 Nm of axial torque to produce axial rotation to the left and 12.5 Nm of axial torque to produce axial rotation to the right. This right–left difference was simply due to pneumatic ram behavior. This right/left reversal of twist was applied at a rate of 0.5 Hz in load control. These levels of axial torque/twist were chosen from pilot work that revealed no visible damage to the facet joints under these loads.

In consideration to the three hypotheses we formed six test groups. The specimens were loaded according to one of the following six protocols: (1) 6000 cycles of repetitive flexion–extension motions followed by 2000 cycles of axial torque/twist; (2) 6000 cycles of repetitive flexion–extension motions followed by 4000 cycles of axial torque/twist; (3) 2000 cycles of axial torque/twist followed by 6000 cycles of repetitive flexion–extension motions; (4) 4000 cycles of axial torque/twist followed by 6000 cycles of repetitive flexion–extension motions; (5) 4000 cycles of axial torque/twist only; or (6) 6000 cycles of repetitive flexion–extension motions only. There were ten specimens in each of the testing groups except the repetitive axial torque/twist only which had five specimens. An X-ray image of the specimen was taken in the sagittal plane following the passive test and prior to dissection. A computed tomography scan of the specimen in the transverse plane was taken pre- and post-testing.

The following variables were recorded: endplate area; resulting flexion angle; resulting extension angle; injury pattern observed from dissection; and concordance between the dissection and the computed tomography scan and X-ray images. The endplate area was calculated as the average of the two exposed endplates using the formula for the surface area of an ellipse,  $\pi/4 \times A \times B$ , where  $A$  was the medial–lateral length of the exposed endplate and  $B$  was the anterior–posterior length of the exposed endplate (Tampier



**Fig. 2A.** A dissected intervertebral disc with no herniation.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4051142>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4051142>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)