

All-Patient Refined Diagnosis-Related Groups in Primary Arthroplasty

Carlos J. Lavernia, MD,*† Artit Laoruengthana, MD,†
Juan S. Contreras, MD,† and Mark D. Rossi, PhD, PT, CSCS‡

Abstract: Our objective was to determine if the All-Patient Refined Diagnosis-Related Groups (APR-DRGs) and other comorbidity scores correlate with pain level, functional abilities, and hospital cost after primary total joint arthroplasty (TJA). Three hundred three patients having TJA were evaluated with average follow-up of 21 months. Western Ontario and McMaster Universities osteoarthritis index, Short-Form 36, and Quality of Well-Being index were administered before and after surgery. The APR-DRG subclassification including severity of illness (SOI) subclass scores and risk of mortality (ROM), Charlson index, American Society of Anesthesiologist (ASA), Charnley score, length of stay, and hospital costs were reported. Patients in a higher SOI and ROM subclasses had a statistically significant decrease in functional outcomes scores, longer length of stay, and greater hospitals costs than those in lower subclasses. However, correlations of comorbidity categories with outcome scores were poor. The APR-DRG classification helps identify those individuals with worse function and is specially suited in identifying those patients who incur a higher hospital cost. **Keywords:** severity of illness, risk of mortality, primary arthroplasty, charlson index, charnley score.

© 2009 Published by Elsevier Inc.

Currently, rising health care costs in conjunction with reduced hospital reimbursement have brought quality reporting and pay for performance programs to the forefront of health policy decision making. These programs are initiatives that link financial incentives to specific performance measures with the goal of improving quality and efficiency in the health care systems [1]. These data must be risk adjusted to create a level playing field in which differences in quality care can be separated from differences in outcome attributable to severity of illness (SOI). All Patient Refined-Diagnosis Related Groups (APR-DRGs) is a software algorithm that measures SOI and likelihood of dying. It is based on the amount and severity of medical conditions present on admission. It has become one of the most common systems for SOI and risk of mortality (ROM) adjustment.

In 2006, The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services' recommended using APR-DRGs as the primary predictor of resource use [2]. The ability of these indices to serve as a SOI adjustor in primary lower extremity joint arthroplasty remains controversial. The purpose of this study was to assess the relationship of comorbid indices and the APR-DRGs to patient outcomes in primary arthroplasty.

Materials and Methods

A prospective study was performed on 303 procedures from a single total joint arthroplasty center. Patients were included if they received a primary hip or knee arthroplasty from June 2003 to December 2005. Exclusion criteria included an infected joint, simultaneous bilateral arthroplasty, or staged bilateral arthroplasty in the same admission. All surgeries were performed by the senior author. Patients had an average follow-up of 21 (SE \pm .51) months. Patient demographics are presented in Table 1. Clinical factors included in the analysis were principal diagnosis, APR-DRG classification, Charnley score, Charlson index, and American Society of Anesthesiologist (ASA) score. All demographic data and comorbidity scales were collected from medical records including anesthesia and medical consultation reports. The SOI and ROM subclasses for the APR-DRG were obtained from the hospital financial services, which were calculated using proprietary software that is based on all diagnoses covering the discharge period.

*From the *Orthopedic Institute at Mercy Hospital, Miami, Florida; †Arthritis Surgery Research Foundation Inc, Miami, Florida; and ‡Department of Physical Therapy, Florida International University, University Park, Miami, Florida.*

Submitted January 23, 2009; accepted March 15, 2009.

Ethical Board Review statement: The authors certify that a local institutional review board has approved this investigation and informed consent was obtained from each participant.

No benefits or funds were received in support of this study.

Reprint requests: Carlos J. Lavernia, MD, Orthopedic Institute at Mercy, 3659 S. Miami Ave Ste 4008, Miami, FL 33133.

© 2009 Published by Elsevier Inc.

0883-5403/09/2406-0005\$36.00/0

doi:10.1016/j.arth.2009.03.008

Table 1. Subject Characteristics Before Surgery

	THA		TKA		Cohort	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Frequency	33	57	58	155	91	212
Mean age	68.02	68.83	74.20	72.94	73.78	73.75

The APR-DRG SOI determines the extent of system breakdown or organ dysfunction, whereas the ROM determines the likelihood of dying. Both subclasses have 4 levels ranging from 1 to 4. A higher number indicates multiple, serious diseases, and associated interaction. There are 4 levels of the SOI subclass. Because we had only one subject in the fourth level, we grouped that subject into the third level, thus, having 3 groups. Risk of mortality also had 4 levels; however, in our study, there was only one patient in the fourth level and 8 patients in the third level; we then grouped the patient in the fourth level and the 8 patients in the third level into the second level, yielding 2 groups for the analysis.

The Charnley score classifies joint disease into category of involvement A (single) and B (bilateral), and category C was described as multiple joints and systemic diseases such as inflammatory arthritis and cardiovascular disability that affect physical function [3]. The Charlson index covers 19 categories of disease [4]. In our study, we divided the Charlson index score into 3 groups as follows: low (score = 0), medium (score = 1-2), and severe (score >2). The American Society of Anesthesiologist score is a preoperative rating assigned to each patient and is a measure of the patient's general health status and coexisting conditions. Scores range from 1, representing a healthy person, to 5, representing a patient not expected to survive longer than 24 hours. For our study, we grouped the ASA from 5 score levels into 2 groups (<3 and ≥3) because only 3 patients were in level I, 3 in level IV, and 2 patients in level V.

To assess quality of life and functional outcomes before and yearly after surgery, we used the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities osteoarthritis index (WOMAC), Short-form 36 (SF-36), and Quality of Well-Being index. We also compared length of stay and hospital costs between different levels of SOI subclass and ROM subclasses, as well as ASA score, Charnley score, and Charlson index score. We grouped hospital cost into gross revenue, fixed cost, and variable cost. *Gross revenue* was defined as the total charges. The variable costs are those costs related to the amount of supplies or labor for a procedure charge code (as opposed to overhead). Fixed costs are the overhead costs allocated proportionately to the departmental charge codes.

Analysis

For the Complexity subclass, Charnley, and Charlson indices we used a 2 × 3 analysis of variance (ANOVA) to assess for differences between groups before and after surgery for each outcome measure. The first factor

was time (pre-post), and the second factor was group (3 subclasses). For risk of mortality and ASA, we used a 2 × 2 ANOVA to assess for differences between groups before and after surgery. For both ANOVAs, we used the measure command in SPSS (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill) to define all dependent measures in one model thus using a doubly multivariate approach. Follow-up tests were used as necessary when significant interactions or main effects were found. Between-group comparisons for any significant main effect of group were reduced by using the least significant difference. Age and sex were used as covariates in all analyses.

We used a 1-way ANOVA to assess for differences between groups (level of subclass) for the complexity subclass, Charnley, and Charlson indices for dependent measures of gross revenue, net revenue, fixed cost, and variable cost. Any significant omnibus test was followed up with post hoc tests using Tukey test. For the risk of mortality and ASA, we used independent *t* tests to assess for differences between groups for dependent measures of gross revenue, net revenue, fixed cost, and variable cost. A *P* value less than .05 was considered significant for all tests. We used a Spearman ρ correlation coefficient to determine the association between comorbidity classifications and outcome measures. Knowing that most patient's functional abilities improve from before to after surgery, we used the change score of all outcome measures as the dependent variables in which to evaluate the relationships between outcomes and comorbidity classifications.

Results

Severity of Illness

For the SOI subclass, the sample sizes were 64 for group 1, 158 for group 2, and 81 for group 3. The global ANOVA indicated that there was a significant interaction for the WOMAC physical function score ($P = .009$; power, 0.80) and the WOMAC total score ($P = .013$; power, 0.76). Severity of illness group 3 reported significantly less physical function than those individuals in SOI group 1 ($P \leq .0001$) and group 2 ($P \leq .0001$) before surgery. For the WOMAC total score, patients in SOI group 3 reported significantly worse scores compared to those in group 1 ($P = .001$) and group 2 ($P = .001$) before surgery. There were no significant interactions for any other dependent measure; however, there was a main effect of time for all outcome measures ($P \leq .0001$). Regardless of group, all scores improved postoperatively.

There was also a main effect of SOI group for the SF-36 physical function score ($P = .011$; power, 0.78), SF-36 physical component score ($P = .009$; power, 0.79), WOMAC function score ($P = .02$; power, 0.72), and WOMAC total score ($P = .025$; power, 0.68). At follow-up, patients in SOI group 3 scored significantly worse than those group 2 for the SF-36 physical function ($P = .003$), SF-36 physical component ($P = .002$), WOMAC function ($P = .009$), and WOMAC total ($P = .011$). Moreover, those

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4063621>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4063621>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)