



Impact of next-generation sequencing on molecular diagnosis of inherited non-syndromic hearing loss

Xue Gao^{a,b,c}, Pu Dai^{a,b,c,*}

^a Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, PLA General Hospital, 28 Fuxing Road, Beijing 100853, PR China

^b Department of Otolaryngology, Hainan Branch of PLA General Hospital, Sanya 572000, PR China

^c Department of Otolaryngology, the Second Artillery General Hospital, 16 XinWai Da Jie, Beijing 100088, PR China

Received 26 September 2014; accepted 17 November 2014

Abstract

Hearing loss is one of the most common birth defects, with inherited genetic defects play an important role, contributing to about 60% of deafness occurring in infants. However, hearing impairment is genetically heterogeneous, with both common and rare forms occurring due to mutations in estimated 500 genes. Due to the large number and presumably low mutation frequencies of those genes, it would be highly expensive and time-consuming to address this issue by conventional gene-by-gene Sanger sequencing. Next-generation sequencing is a revolutionary technology that allows the simultaneous screening of mutations in a large number of genes. It is cost effective compared to classical strategies of linkage analysis and direct sequencing when the number or size of genes is large, and thus has become a highly efficient strategy for identifying novel causative genes and mutations involved in heritable disease.

In this review, we describe major NGS methodologies currently used for genetic disorders and highlight applications of these technologies in studies of molecular diagnosis and the discovery of genes implicated in non-syndromic hearing loss.

Copyright © 2015, PLA General Hospital Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery. Production and hosting by Elsevier (Singapore) Pte Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Next-generation sequencing; Molecular diagnosis; Inherited non-syndromic hearing loss; Whole genome sequencing; Whole exome sequencing

1. Introduction

Identifying the genetic basis of deafness provides important information for diagnosis, intervention and treatment of the disease. Non-syndromic hearing loss (NSHL) is extremely heterogeneous. To date, more than 100 genes and 100 genetic loci have been implicated in NSHL (<http://hereditaryhearingloss.org/>). The marked heterogeneity of genetic hearing loss can be explained by the complexity of the

auditory system, which requires coordination of multiple processes involving the inner ear and nervous system. A defect in any part of this complex chain of events can lead to hearing impairment. For many decades, linkage analysis has been the most powerful and widely used strategy to identify the gene defects responsible for inherited disorders. However, this approach is time consuming and requires the availability of cohorts of homogeneous and informative large families, and a large proportion of NSHL remain genetically unexplained. These limitations, however, may be overcome by the next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies.

NGS offers an unprecedented ability to identify rare variants and new causative genes. Several next generation sequencing platforms allow for a DNA-to-diagnosis protocol to identify the molecular basis of inherited non-syndromic hearing loss, including whole genome sequencing (WGS),

* Corresponding author. Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, PLA General Hospital, 28 Fuxing Road, Beijing 100853, PR China.

E-mail address: daipu301@vip.sina.com (P. Dai).

Peer review under responsibility of PLA General Hospital Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery.

whole exome sequencing (WES) and targeted deafness gene capture.

Updated guidelines from the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) recommend that clinicians consider NGS when testing for genetic causes of hearing loss (Levenson, 2014). The guidelines, which are built on guidelines issued in 2002, include panel tests targeted at genes related to hearing loss, whole exome sequencing, and whole genome sequencing after negative results are returned on initial single-gene testing indicated by a patient's family medical history and presentation.

1.1. Whole genome sequencing

Whole genome sequencing (WGS) by next generation sequencing technologies has the potential for simultaneous, comprehensive, differential diagnostic testing of likely monogenic illnesses. In 2003, the cost of sequencing a single human genome was estimated to be 2.7 billion dollars, that price had dropped to 4000 dollars by 2012, and it is anticipated that this cost will soon be 1000 dollars. Clinical use of WGS by NGS has taken at least a month. It's now possible to complete sample collection, sequencing, and analysis in less than 50 h (Saunders et al., 2012). As this pace, WGS will be increasingly integrated into clinical care. Researchers already have been able to help clinicians aid some children born with rare birth defects by sequencing and analyzing their whole genomes to diagnose and treat their illness (Saunders et al., 2012). WGS data are also used to advance personalized medicine, including predicting an individual's risk of a hearing loss attack or determining the best dosage of medication for an individual patient. This is only the beginning of the whole genome sequencing era, which has the potential to revolutionize medicine.

However, there is no report about application of whole genome sequencing in inherited non-syndromic hearing loss. There are major obstacles to the clinical implementation of WGS, such as hidden costs, issues surrounding sequencing and analysis, quality assurance, standardization of protocols, ethical dilemmas, and difficulties with interpretation of the results. With the availability of human WGS data from many individuals, it is now clear that two unrelated individuals have at least two million differences in their genomic DNA sequences (Moore et al., 2011). WGS requires the analysis of $\sim 3.2 \times 10^9$ bps of DNA sequences. The full potential of WGS can be realized only when we gain a much better understanding of functions in noncoding regions. WGS should be carefully implemented in the clinic to allow the realization of its potential to improve patient health in specific indications.

1.2. Whole exome sequencing

Most Mendelian disorders are caused by exonic or splice-site mutations that alter the amino acid sequence from the affected gene. An effective compromise between the competing goals of genome-wide comprehensiveness and cost-control is realized in the concept of Whole exome

sequencing (WES) (Ng et al., 2009). Approximately 85% of disease-related mutations in Mendelian disorders have been found in the protein-coding region, although this portion constitutes only approximately 1% of the human genome (Teer and Mullikin, 2010). WES has become a highly efficient strategy for identifying novel causative genes and mutations involved in heritable disease.

Over 1778 publications since 2009, whose abstracts contain the term “whole exome sequencing”, confirm the success of exome sequencing as a new and effective technological paradigm within human genetics. Exome sequencing has proven useful for identifying molecular defects underlying single gene disorders (Mendelian inheritance), as well as some genetically heterogeneous disorders, such as inherited non-syndromic hearing loss.

Inherited non-syndromic hearing loss can be resolved efficiently using WES, especially in small families with distinct and interesting phenotypes that were once too small to map using linkage analysis. Recently, there have been many successful applications of WES in identifying the causative

Table 1

List of genes and mutations related with non-syndromic hearing loss identified by WES.

Gene name	Mutation (protein)	Reference
<i>OSBPL2</i>	p.Gln53Argfs*100 p.Leu195Met	Xing et al. (2014)
<i>TBC1D24</i>	p.Ser178Leu	Azaiez et al. (2014)
<i>TNC</i>	p. Thr 1796Ser p.V1773M	Zhao et al. (2013)
<i>ELMOD3</i>	p.Leu265Ser	Jaworek et al. (2013)
<i>KARS</i>	p.Asp377Asn p.Tyr173His	Santos-Cortez et al. (2013)
<i>GRXCR2</i>	c.714dupT	Imtiaz et al. (2014)
<i>ATP1A2</i>	p.Val191Met	Oh et al. (2014)
<i>ADCY1</i>	p.Arg1038X	Santos-Cortez et al. (2014)
<i>BDP1</i>	p.*2625Gluext*11	Giroto et al. (2013)
<i>EPS8</i>	p.Gln30*	Behloul et al. (2014)
<i>PNKP</i>	p.Gly292Arg	Nakashima et al. (2014)
<i>PCDH15</i>	p.Met65Ile p.Ser404Arg	Nakashima et al. (2014)
<i>CDH23</i>	p.Pro240Leu p.Glu1595Lys p.Asn342Ser	Woo et al. (2014)
<i>POU4F3</i>	p.Arg326Lys	Kim et al. (2013)
<i>MYO15A</i>	p.Ser1481 Pro p.Gln1425X p.Alal551Asp IVS11 + 1 p.Arg2146Q	Diaz-Horta et al. (2012); Gao et al. (2013a); Woo et al. (2013)
<i>TMCI</i>	p.Ser530X p.Gly197Arg p.Gln391X	Diaz-Horta et al. (2012); Gao et al. (2013b)
<i>ACTG1</i>	p.Met305Thr	Park et al. (2013)
<i>LOXHD1</i>	p. Arg1494X p. Glu955X	Diaz-Horta et al. (2012)
<i>GIPC3</i>	p.His170Asn	Diaz-Horta et al. (2012)
<i>ILDRI</i>	p. Gln 274X	Diaz-Horta et al. (2012)
<i>MYO7A</i>	p.Gly2163 Ser	Diaz-Horta et al. (2012)
<i>TECTA</i>	p. Tyr 1737Cys	Diaz-Horta et al. (2012)
<i>TMPRSS3</i>	p.F13Lfs*10	Diaz-Horta et al. (2012)
<i>TRIOBP</i>	p. Arg785 Ser fs*50	Diaz-Horta et al. (2012)

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4116704>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4116704>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)