



The histology of facial aesthetic subunits: Implications for common nasal reconstructive procedures

Mark Rahman^{a,*}, Niall Jefferson^a, David A. Stewart, Rema Oliver, William R. Walsh, Mark P. Gianoutsos

Surgical & Orthopaedic Research Laboratories, University of New South Wales, Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney 2031, Australia

Received 23 December 2008; accepted 13 February 2009

KEYWORDS Nasal reconstruction; Nose; Histology; Skin; Cosmetic subunits;

Cosmetic subunits; Aesthetic subunits **Summary** Reconstruction of cutaneous nasal defects is often a challenging problem with multiple solutions. Many factors must be considered when deciding on the appropriate reconstructive procedure, including optimally matching donor site skin to the original recipient site skin. To the best of our knowledge no objective study has been undertaken to examine which areas best match the histological features of skin from various nasal cosmetic subunits.

We have undertaken a descriptive histological analysis of skin from 25 facial and nasal aesthetic subunits from four male Caucasian cadavers, aged 65–88.

The three variables looked at were epidermal thickness, dermal thickness and density of pilosebaceous subunits. Our findings have been plotted on photographs of the face to provide visual maps of facial histological features by cosmetic subunit. Our results show that histologically, the best matched skin for reconstructing a given nasal defect is likely to come from an adjacent nasal subunit. Looking at distant donor sites, the helical root, helical rim and pre-auricular area are closest to nasal skin in terms of dermal thickness. In terms of density of pilosebaceous units, the helical root, pre-auricular area and lateral forehead are the three areas best matched to nasal skin. © 2009 British Association of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The nose is the most prominent feature of the face and its surface is made up of several concave and convex surfaces. In 1985 Burget and Menick¹ built on Gonzalez-Ulloa's²

* Corresponding author.

concept of facial aesthetic subunits by further dividing the nose into cosmetic subunits. These are the tip, dorsum, sidewalls, alar nasae, and soft triangles (Figure 1).

Reconstruction of cutaneous nasal defects is challenging both due to the unique character of nasal skin and the prominence of the nose. Although anecdotally there are common areas from which grafts may be harvested, no

1748-6815/\$ - see front matter © 2009 British Association of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2009.02.066

E-mail address: mmrahman@doctors.net.uk (M. Rahman).

^a First two authors are joint first authors.



Figure 1 The aesthetic subunits of the nose.

objective study has been undertaken to delineate the areas which most closely mimic the characteristics of the skin of nasal subunits.

Our study examines aims to examine the histological features of the skin of the various nasal subunits and compare these with each other and skin from common facial donor sites used in reconstructing nasal defects.

Materials and methods

25 cosmetic subunits of the face and scalp were identified and full thickness biopsies were taken from four male cadavers, all of whom were Caucasian and with ages ranging from 65 to 88. The biopsies were fixed in 10% phosphate buffered formalin for 48 h. The skin was harvested and cut longitudinally ready for paraffin histology. 5 μ m thick sections were cut using a Leica Microtome (Leica RM2165, Leica Instrument GmbH, Nussloch, Germany) and mounted onto saline-coated slides for Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) staining. Stained sections were evaluated under an Olympus BX51 light microscope (Olympus, Sydney, Australia) using plain light. Images of the histology were captured using a colour video camera connected to the microscope.

For each specimen, three random areas were evaluated under 10 times magnification by two blinded observers and the mean results were taken. Measurements were taken for epidermal thickness, dermal thickness and density of pilosebaceous units. As there is considerable variation in epidermal and dermal thickness in any given specimen, the final figure for each specimen was obtained by calculating the mean of five seperate measurements taken across the specimen. Density of pilosebaceous units was defined as the number of units seen under a randomly selected 2.5 mm length of specimen.

Results

Considerable variation was noted across the various cosmetic subunits yet the same subunits in different individuals demonstrated a high degree of similarity. For ease of

presentation the results for mean dermal thickness were split into four grades (Table 1). Similarly the mean density of pilosebaceous units was split into three categories (Table 2). These results have then been illustrated on the face overlying their corresponding aesthetic subunits to provide a visual 'map' of facial histological features (Figures 2 and 3). No meaningful variation in epidermal thickness was apparent.

The mapping of basic histological characteristics of facial skin in Figures 2 and 3 highlights the uniqueness of nasal skin compared to non-nasal facial skin both in terms of dermal thickness and density of pilosebaceous units.

Discussion

Nasal reconstruction encompasses a wide range of procedures ranging from total nasal reconstruction to reconstruction of small soft tissue defects. Given that the nose is one of the most common sites for skin cancers³ simple

| Table 1 Mean dermal thickness of biopsied facial subunits split into four grades | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|
| Grade | Dermal thickness micrometers | Aesthetic subunit |
| I | 600–999 | Medial forehead, paramedian forehead, lateral forehead, upper lid, cheek, post auricular,helical rim |
| II | 1000—1399 | Pre-auricular, helical root, lobule, collumella, upper lip |
| III | 1400—1799 | Nasal bridge, nasal sidewall, nasal tip, soft trianlge, glabella |
| IV | >1800 | Ala, philtrum |

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4119513

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4119513

Daneshyari.com