



Use of the slit arteriotomy for end-to-side arterial anastomosis in free-tissue transfers to the extremities[☆]

Bien-Keem Tan^{a,*}, Chin-Ho Wong^a, Winston Chew^b, Soo-Wan Hong^a

^a Department of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore

^b Department of Orthopaedics, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore

Received 27 December 2007; accepted 4 May 2008

KEYWORDS

Technique;
Advantages;
Outcome;
Microsurgery;
Simulation model;
Clinical experience

Summary *Introduction:* The purpose of this study was to demonstrate the reliability and advantages of the slit arteriotomy for end-to-side arterial anastomosis.

Materials and methods: Sixty free flaps for reconstruction of the upper and lower extremities were re-vascularised using this technique. Flaps used were: gracilis (20), latissimus dorsi (18), rectus abdominis (6), lateral arm (6), fibula (3), radial forearm (4), scapular (1) and anterolateral thigh (2).

Technique: A double microclamp is applied. Using a 30° microknife, a longitudinal slit is made on the recipient vessel. The clamps are then approximated slightly. This slackens the segment bearing the slit and opens up the slit to facilitate placement of sutures. Beginning at the heel, interrupted sutures are placed in such a way as to feed the redundancy of the donor artery wall towards the toe of the anastomosis. A slightly oversized slit is used to stretch the donor vessel, which due to its inherent elastic recoil keeps the anastomosis patent. Once the tourniquet is released, blood flow resumes and the slit forms an elliptical opening. A video is available for viewing at our website: www.microflap.com.

Results: The arterial patency rate was 98%. The mechanical advantage of the slit arteriotomy construct was demonstrated through computer simulation on a finite-element analysis model.

Conclusion: The slit arteriotomy is simple, reliable, and can be consistently used in the transfer of free flaps to the extremities. As no portion of the recipient vessel wall is excised, the anastomotic diameter is increased. This promotes blood flow through the anastomosis.

© 2008 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of British Association of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons.

[☆] Presented in parts at the American Society for Reconstructive Microsurgery Meeting, Hawaii, USA, January 2003, and the International Plastic Reconstructive Aesthetic Society Meeting, Sydney, Australia, August 2003 and 11th Kleinert Society Clinical Reunion, October 2003, Louisville, Kentucky.

* Corresponding author. Address: Department of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, Singapore General Hospital, Outram Road, Singapore 169608. Tel.: +65 6321 4686; fax: +65 6220 9340.

E-mail address: bienkeem@singnet.com.sg (B.-K. Tan).

The use of the end-to-side arterial anastomosis in extremity reconstruction was described and popularised by Godina in the 1970s.¹ The advantages of this technique include: decreased vessel spasm; elimination of vessel mismatch and preservation of the distal run-off.^{1–5} In his original description, the arteriotomy was created with a pair of microscissors by excising a portion of the vessel wall. This gives an elliptical hole which efficiently shunts blood into the flap. This technique is widely practiced today,^{6–9} with modifications such as using a vessel punch for creating the arteriotomy.^{10,11}

The routine use of the slit-type arteriotomy in conventional vascular surgery with consistent success led us to wonder if a similar technique could be employed in microvascular surgery. Issues that are currently unclear include: Is it necessary to excise a portion of the recipient vessel's wall? Can the slit be used safely in small vessels where perfusion pressures are less? If the opening is not enlarged by wall excision, is there a risk of it closing? Are there advantages of the slit over the hole arteriotomy? The purpose of this paper is to describe our observations using the slit end-to-side anastomosis technique, and to highlight its reliability and potential for extremity free flap reconstruction.

Patients and methods

Between 1997 and 2004, 60 free flaps for extremity reconstruction were performed in 55 patients. Flaps used are summarised in Table 1. The most common indication was trauma. The recipient vessels used in the lower limb were the posterior tibial (58%), anterior tibial (22%) and superficial femoral (5%) arteries. In the upper extremity, we used the radial (12%) and the brachial (3%) arteries. In three patients, chain-linked double free flaps were used to cover extensive wounds.¹⁴

End-to-side anastomosis technique

The procedure is performed under tourniquet. A 3 cm segment of recipient artery is prepared by clearing away perivascular tissue and ligating side branches. The position of the slit on the recipient is determined by the intended

configuration of the end-to-side anastomosis, which in turn is dictated by anatomic considerations. It could be an anterior take-off which is preferred when the recipient artery is deep, e.g. the proximal portion of the anterior tibial artery; or a lateral take-off when it is superficial, e.g. the dorsalis pedis artery. A lateral take-off reduces the risk of compression. The orientation of the planned arteriotomy should be marked with a surgical pen, and the arterial segment is rotated between double microclamps to an optimal position for ease of performing the anastomosis (Figure 1A).

The donor artery is bevelled 30–45° by trimming its ends with a pair of microscissors. This gives a take-off angle of between 60 and 45°. Alternatively, one corner of the vessel is slit open and the corners trimmed to create a hood. The recipient segment is then mounted on a double microclamp. A longitudinal slit is made using a 15 or 30° microknife, cutting in opposing directions to ensure that the corners are even. The clamps are then approximated slightly to open the slit (Figure 1B). The lumen is irrigated to clear away blood and inspected to see if the posterior wall had been pierced – if so, repair is undertaken immediately.

Beginning at the heel, interrupted sutures are placed sequentially, feeding the donor's redundancy towards the toe (Figure 1C). We commonly used 9/0 Ethilon (BV 130-5, Ethicon, Inc.) sutures for the anastomosis. If the slit is deficient, it could be extended with the microknife midway through the operation in a 'cut-as-you-go' manner. In this way the donor vessel stretches out nicely over the slit. If the slit is too long, the excess is simply repaired with interrupted stitches. A common practice is to fix the toe and the heel as an initial step, but this tethers the donor down, reduces exposure and prematurely fixes the length of the slit.

When suturing, the needle bite on the thinner donor vessel is taken first, followed by the thicker recipient, as this keeps the edges well everted and buttressed. As much as possible, the needle is passed from inside-to-outside on the recipient artery, to tag the intima. Variations of needle grasping techniques are illustrated in Figure 1D–H. When approaching the corners, the sutures are placed more obliquely to skew the pull on the slit.

Over on the far side, suturing adjacent to the corners is the most difficult and these should be placed first. The suturing sequence aims to finish at the midlateral point (Figure 1E–I). For better visualisation, the final three sutures are left untied until all are in position. The end product should be a well stretched donor vessel end cupped over the slit arteriotomy. A video of our suture technique is available for viewing at our website at www.microflap.com.¹⁷

Postoperatively the patients are kept well hydrated and blood transfusion is given to keep the haemoglobin level around 10 g%. Anti-thrombotic agents are not routinely given.

Results

Overall success rate was 95% (one arterial failure and two venous failures out of 60 flaps). The sole arterial failure was due to compression at the take-off at the dorsalis pedis artery. We have since used a lateral take-off when the vessel is superficial. One venous failure resulted from an unsuspected deep vein thrombosis and the other was due to venous mismatch. In both cases the arteries were patent at

Table 1 Upper and lower extremity reconstruction

Types of flaps	No. of flaps performed
Lateral arm flap	6
Gracilis flap	20
Anterolateral thigh flap	2
Latissimus dorsi flap	17
Rectus abdominis flap	7
Fibula/peroneal flap	3
Radial forearm flap	4
Scapular flap	1
Total	60

Arterial success rate 98%

(1 failure due to compression at the take-off)

Overall success rate 95%

(1 arterial failure, 2 venous failures)

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4120361>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4120361>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)