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#### Abstract

Let $P$ and $S$ be two disjoint sets of $n$ and $m$ points in the plane, respectively. We consider the problem of computing a Steiner tree whose Steiner vertices belong to $S$, in which each point of $P$ is a leaf, and whose longest edge length is minimum. We present an algorithm that computes such a tree in $O((n+m) \log m)$ time, improving the previously best result by a logarithmic factor. We also prove a matching lower bound in the algebraic computation tree model.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $P$ and $S$ be two disjoint sets of $n$ and $m$ points in the plane, respectively. A full Steiner tree of $P$ with respect to $S$ is a tree $\mathcal{T}$ with vertex set $P \cup S^{\prime}$, for some subset $S^{\prime}$ of $S$, in which each point of $P$ is a leaf. Such a tree $\mathcal{T}$ consists of a skeleton tree, which is the part of $\mathcal{T}$ that spans $S^{\prime}$, and external edges, which are the edges of $\mathcal{T}$ that are incident on the points of $P$.

The bottleneck length of a full Steiner tree is defined to be the Euclidean length of a longest edge. An optimal bottleneck full Steiner tree is a full Steiner tree whose bottleneck length is minimum. In [1], Abu-Affash shows that such an optimal tree can be computed in $O\left((n+m) \log ^{2} m\right)$ time. In this paper, we improve the running time by a logarithmic factor and prove a matching lower bound. That is, we prove the following result:

Theorem 1. Let $P$ and $S$ be disjoint sets of $n$ and $m$ points in the plane, respectively. An optimal bottleneck full Steiner tree of $P$ with respect to $S$ can be computed in $O((n+m) \log m)$ time, which is optimal in the algebraic computation tree model.

If $n=2$, i.e., the set $P$ only consists of two points, say $p$ and $q$, then an optimal bottleneck full Steiner tree can be obtained in the following way: In $O(m \log m)$ time, compute a Euclidean minimum spanning tree of the set $P \cup S$ and return the path in this tree between $p$ and $q$. The correctness of this algorithm follows from basic properties of minimum spanning trees.

In the rest of this paper, we will assume that $n \geqslant 3$. This implies that any full Steiner tree of $P$ with respect to $S$ contains at least one vertex from $S$; in other words, the skeleton tree has a non-empty vertex set $S^{\prime}$.
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## 2. The algorithm

### 2.1. Preprocessing

We compute a Euclidean minimum spanning tree $\operatorname{MST}(S)$ of the point set $S$, which can be done in $O(m \log m)$ time. Then we compute the bipartite graph $\Upsilon_{6}(P, S)$ with vertex set $P \cup S$ that is defined as follows: Consider a collection of six cones, each of angle $\pi / 3$ and having its apex at the origin, that cover the plane. For each point $p$ of $P$, translate these cones such that their apices are at $p$. For each of these translated cones $C$ for which $C \cap S \neq \emptyset$, the graph $\Upsilon_{6}(P, S)$ contains one edge connecting $p$ to a nearest neighbor in $C \cap S$. (This is a variant of the well-known Yao-graph as introduced in [5].) Using an algorithm of Chang et al. [3], together with a point-location data structure, the graph $\Upsilon_{6}(P, S)$ can be constructed in $O((n+m) \log m)$ time.

The entire preprocessing algorithm takes $O((n+m) \log m)$ time.

### 2.2. A decision algorithm

Let $\lambda^{*}$ denote the optimal bottleneck length, i.e., the bottleneck length of an optimal bottleneck full Steiner tree of $P$ with respect to $S$.

In this section, we present an algorithm that decides, for any given real number $\lambda>0$, whether $\lambda^{*}<\lambda$ or $\lambda^{*} \geqslant \lambda$. This algorithm starts by removing from $\operatorname{MST}(S)$ all edges having length at least $\lambda$, resulting in a collection $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots$ of trees. The algorithm then computes the set $J$ of all indices $j$ for which the following holds: Each point $p$ of $P$ is connected by an edge of $\Upsilon_{6}(P, S)$ to some point $s$, such that (i) $s$ is a vertex of $T_{j}$ and (ii) the Euclidean distance $|p s|$ is less than $\lambda$. As we will prove later, this set $J$ has the property that it is non-empty if and only if $\lambda^{*}<\lambda$. The formal algorithm is given in Fig. 1.

Observe that, at any moment during the algorithm, the set $J$ has size at most six. Therefore, the running time of this algorithm is $O(n+m)$.

Before we prove the correctness of the algorithm, we introduce the following notation. Let $j$ be an arbitrary element in the output set $J$ of algorithm $\operatorname{CompareToOptimal}(\lambda)$. It follows from the algorithm that, for each $i$ with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, there exists a point $s_{i}$ in $S$ such that

- $s_{i}$ is a vertex of $T_{j}$,
- $\left(p_{i}, s_{i}\right)$ is an edge in $\Upsilon_{6}(P, S)$, and
- $\left|p_{i} s_{i}\right|<\lambda$.

We define $\mathcal{T}_{j}$ to be the full Steiner tree with skeleton tree $T_{j}$ and external edges $\left(p_{i}, s_{i}\right), 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Observe that, since each edge of $T_{j}$ has length less than $\lambda$, the bottleneck length of $\mathcal{T}_{j}$ is less than $\lambda$. Therefore, we have proved the following lemma.

```
Algorithm CompareToOptimal( \(\lambda\) );
remove from \(\operatorname{MST}(S)\) all edges having length at least \(\lambda\);
denote the resulting trees by \(T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\);
number the points of \(P\) arbitrarily as \(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\);
\(J:=\emptyset\);
for each edge \(\left(p_{1}, s\right)\) in \(\Upsilon_{6}(P, S)\)
do \(j:=\) index such that \(s\) is a vertex of \(T_{j}\);
    if \(\left|p_{1} s\right|<\lambda\)
    then \(J:=J \cup\{j\}\)
    endif
endfor;
for \(i:=2\) to \(n\)
do for each \(j \in J\)
    do \(\operatorname{keep}(j):=\) false
    endfor;
    for each edge \(\left(p_{i}, s\right)\) in \(\Upsilon_{6}(P, S)\)
    do \(j:=\) index such that \(s\) is a vertex of \(T_{j}\);
        if \(j \in J\) and \(\left|p_{i} s\right|<\lambda\)
        then \(\operatorname{keep}(j):=\) true
        endif
    endfor;
    \(J:=\{j \in J: \operatorname{keep}(j)=t r u e\}\)
endfor;
return the set \(J\)
```

Fig. 1. This algorithm takes as input a real number $\lambda$ and returns a set $J$. This set $J$ is non-empty if and only if $\lambda^{*}<\lambda$.
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