



Multivariate denoising using wavelets and principal component analysis

Mina Aminghafari^{a, b}, Nathalie Cheze^{a, c}, Jean-Michel Poggi^{a, d, *}

^a*Laboratoire de Mathématique - U.M.R. C 8628, “Probabilités, Statistique et Modélisation”,
Université Paris-Sud, Bât. 425, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France*

^b*Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran*

^c*Université Paris 10-Nanterre, Modal’X, France*

^d*Université Paris 5, France*

Available online 18 January 2005

Abstract

A multivariate extension of the well known wavelet denoising procedure widely examined for scalar valued signals, is proposed. It combines a straightforward multivariate generalization of a classical one and principal component analysis. This new procedure exhibits promising behavior on classical bench signals and the associated estimator is found to be near minimax in the one-dimensional sense, for Besov balls. The method is finally illustrated by an application to multichannel neural recordings. © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Multivariate denoising; Wavelets; PCA

1. Introduction

On one hand, denoising algorithms based on wavelet decompositions are a popular method for one-dimensional statistical signal extraction and filtering. On the other hand, principal component analysis (PCA) is among the most notorious data-analysis tools designed to simplify multidimensional data by tracking new factors supposed to capture the main features.

* Corresponding author. Laboratoire de Mathématique - U.M.R. C 8628, “Probabilités, Statistique et Modélisation”, Université Paris-Sud, Bât. 425, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France.

E-mail addresses: mina.aminghafari@math.u-psud.fr (M. Aminghafari), nathalie.cheze@u-paris10.fr (N. Cheze), jean-michel.poggi@math.u-psud.fr (J.-M. Poggi).

This paper proposes a multivariate extension of wavelet denoising procedures, combining a straightforward multivariate generalization of the classical one for scalar valued signals and principal component analysis. This proposal takes place among the various recent approaches combining wavelet strategies and data analytic tools to cope with the problem of feature extraction in regression models. Numerous applied situations strongly motivate this interest. Let us mention some of them together with some references focusing on a wavelet-data analysis approach: spectral calibration problems (Vannucci et al., 2003), multivariate statistical process control (see Bakshi, 1998; Teppola and Minkkinen, 2000), blind source separation (Roberts et al., 2004), functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) analysis (Meyer and Chinrungrueng, 2003), spike detection and sorting (Oweiss and Anderson, 2001).

This paper focuses on multivariate wavelet denoising and deals with regression models of the form $X(t) = F(t) + \varepsilon(t)$, where the observation X is p -dimensional, F is deterministic and is the signal to be recovered and ε is a spatially correlated noise. This kind of model is well suited for situations for which such an additive spatially correlated noise is realistic. For example, a longitudinal study on p subjects, the analysis of a part a fMRI region (involving p voxels) or the noise reduction in multichannel neural recordings (using p channels). Let us be a little bit more precise on this last example which is chosen to illustrate the behavior of the proposed procedure on a real world data set, at the end of the paper. Following Oweiss and Anderson (2001), extra-cellular neural recordings can be modeled as an invariant deterministic signal and an additive noise which obscures neural discharges from cells of interest. This noise contains a component exhibiting spatial correlation coming from background activity caused by neural cells.

To close this introduction, let us recall some facts about classical univariate wavelet denoising dealing both with signal processing and functional estimation in statistics and which is of interest in various applied fields. Valuable references are the books (Mallat, 1998; Percival and Walden, 2000; Vidakovic, 1999) and the survey paper (Antoniadis, 1997). For basics on wavelets, we refer the reader to Mallat (1998) or Misiti et al. (2003) for example.

The simplest considered model is of the following form:

$$X(t) = f(t) + \varepsilon(t), \quad t = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1)$$

where $(X(t))_{1 \leq t \leq n}$ is observed, $(\varepsilon(t))_{1 \leq t \leq n}$ is a centered Gaussian white noise of unknown variance σ^2 and f is an unknown function to be recovered through the observations.

For a given orthogonal wavelet basis denoted by $((\phi_{J,k})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\psi_{j,k})_{1 \leq j \leq J, k \in \mathbb{Z}})$ where ψ is a wavelet, ϕ the associated scaling function, J a suitably chosen decomposition level and where $g_{j,k}(x) = 2^{-j/2}g(2^{-j}x - k)$, wavelet denoising proceeds in three steps:

- *Step 1:* Compute the wavelet decomposition of the observed signal up to level J ;
- *Step 2:* Threshold conveniently the wavelet detail coefficients;
- *Step 3:* Reconstruct a denoised version of the original signal, from the thresholded detail coefficients and the approximation coefficients, using the inverse wavelet transform.

Various strategies are available (see the survey paper Antoniadis et al., 2001) to perform this task and the asymptotic performance of the associated estimators is the minimax one up to

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/415752>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/415752>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)