Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Discrete Applied Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dam

A minimax result for perfect matchings of a polyomino graph^{*}

Xiangqian Zhou, Heping Zhang*

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 August 2015 Received in revised form 10 January 2016 Accepted 26 January 2016 Available online 26 February 2016

Keywords: Polyomino graph Perfect matching Resonant set Forcing number

ABSTRACT

Let *G* be a plane bipartite graph that admits a perfect matching. A forcing set for a perfect matching *M* of *G* is a subset *S* of *M* such that *S* is not contained by other perfect matchings of *G*. The minimum cardinality of forcing sets of *M* is called the forcing number of *M*, denoted by f(G, M). Pachter and Kim established a minimax result: for any perfect matching *M* of *G*, f(G, M) is equal to the maximum number of disjoint *M*-alternating cycles in *G*. For a polyomino graph *H*, we show that for every perfect matching *M* of *H* with the maximum or second maximum forcing number, f(H, M) is equal to the maximum number of disjoint *M*-alternating squares in *H*. This minimax result does not hold in general for other perfect matchings of *H* with smaller forcing number.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A polyomino graph is a connected finite subgraph of the infinite square grid in the plane such that each finite face is bounded by a (unit) square and each edge belongs to at least one square [5,20,23]. Polyomino graphs are models of many interesting combinatorial subjects, such as hypergraph [3], domination problem [7,8], rook polynomials [14], etc. The dimer problem in crystal physics is to count perfect matchings of polyomino graphs [10].

Let *G* be a graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). A *perfect matching or* 1-*factor* of *G* is a set of disjoint edges covering all vertices of *G*. Let *M* be a perfect matching of *G*. A cycle *C* of *G* is said to be *M*-*alternating* if the edges of *C* appear alternately between *M* and $E(G)\setminus M$. For a subgraph *F* of *G*, let G - F denote the graph G - V(F).

Let *G* be a plane graph. The boundary of the infinite face of *G* is called the *boundary* of *G*, denoted by $\partial(G)$. The boundary of a finite face of *G* is called a *facial cycle* if it is a cycle of *G*. A set *R* of disjoint even facial cycles of *G* is called a *resonant set* if *G* has a perfect matching *M* such that all cycles in *R* are *M*-alternating [12], equivalently, G - R either has a perfect matching or is an empty graph (no vertices). A resonant set of *G* is said to be *maximum* if it has the maximum cardinality. The size of a maximum resonant set of *G* is called the *Clar number* of *G*, denoted by cl(G). The Clar number appeared in Clar's aromatic sextet theory of benzenoid hydrocarbons [6], and was extended to carbon fullerenes [18,22] and general plane bipartite graphs [1].

The forcing number or the innate degree of freedom for a perfect matching of a graph was originally introduced for benzenoid systems by Harary et al. [9] and Klein and Randić [11].

Let *G* be a graph with a perfect matching *M*. A subset $S \subseteq M$ is called a *forcing set* of *M* if it is not contained by other perfect matchings of *G*. It is known that *S* is a forcing set of *M* if and only if each *M*-alternating cycle of *G* contains at least one

* Corresponding author.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2016.01.033 0166-218X/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.





 $^{^{\,{\}rm tr}}\,$ This work is supported by NSFC (Grant Nos. 11371180 and 11401279).

E-mail addresses: zhouxiangqian0502@126.com (X. Zhou), zhanghp@lzu.edu.cn (H. Zhang).



Fig. 1. (a) The 3×3 chessboard, and (b) a zigzag chain.

edge in *S*. The *forcing number* of *M*, denoted by f(G, M), is the smallest cardinality over all forcing sets of *M*. The *maximum* (resp. *minimum*) *forcing number* of *G* is the maximum (resp. minimum) value of forcing numbers of all perfect matchings of *G*, denoted by F(G) (resp. f(G)). For the topic, we may refer to a survey [4] and other references [2,15,17,27].

For planar bipartite graphs, Pachter and Kim revealed a minimax fact that connects the forcing number of a perfect matching and its alternating cycles as follows.

Theorem 1.1 ([15]). Let M be a perfect matching of a plane bipartite graph G. Then f(G, M) = c(M), where c(M) denotes the maximum number of disjoint M-alternating cycles in G.

A hexagonal system can be formed by a cycle of an infinite plane hexagonal lattice and its interior. In 1985, Zheng and Chen [26] showed that the graph obtained from a hexagonal system by deleting any maximum resonant set has a unique perfect matching. By combining Theorem 1.1 and Zheng and Chen's result, Xu et al. [17] obtained the following equality between that the maximum forcing number and the Clar number in a hexagonal system.

Theorem 1.2 ([17]). Let H be a hexagonal system with a perfect matching. Then cl(H) = F(H).

Zheng and Chen's result relies on the fact [26]: if the graph obtained from a hexagonal system *H* by deleting the boundary and a resonant set *K* whose hexagons are disjoint with the boundary has a perfect matching or is empty, then the Clar number of *H* is at least |K| + 1. By increasing the lower bound by one, the present authors obtained a stronger result as follows.

Theorem 1.3 ([27]). Let *H* be a hexagonal system with a perfect matching. For every perfect matching *M* of *H* such that f(H, M) = F(H), *H* has F(H) disjoint *M*-alternating hexagons.

We also showed that Theorem 1.2 holds for polyomino graphs [25]. For a polyomino graph H with a perfect matching M, let h(M) denote the maximum number of disjoint M-alternating squares in H. Theorem 1.1 implies $f(H, M) = c(M) \ge h(M)$. The second equality does not necessarily hold in general. As an example, consider the 3×3 chessboard illustrated in Fig. 1(a). The bold edges in the chessboard constitute a perfect matching M' whose forcing number equals 2, whereas the chessboard has only one M'-alternating square.

In this article, however we show that f(H, M) = h(M) always holds for every perfect matching M of a polyomino graph H whose forcing number reaches the maximum or second maximum value.

Theorem 1.4. Let *H* be a polyomino graph with a perfect matching. Then for every perfect matching *M* of *H* such that f(H, M) = F(H) or F(H) - 1, the forcing number of *M* is equal to the maximum number of disjoint *M*-alternating squares in *H*.

To prove this main result, we intend to improve the crucial Lemma 2.2 of [25] to obtain Lemma 2.1 in Section 2 (in fact we increase the previous lower bound [25] on the Clar number cl(H) of a polyomino graph H by two). Based on the lemma, in Section 3 we describe clearly structural properties for a maximum set of disjoint M-alternating cycles of H for any perfect matching M with f(H, M) = F(H) or F(H) - 1, then we give a proof of this main result.

2. A sharp lower bound on the Clar number

Let *H* be a polyomino graph. A square of *H* is called an *internal square* if it is disjoint with the boundary $\partial(H)$ and an *external square* otherwise. We may assume all polyomino graphs are embedded in the plane such that the edges are either vertical or horizontal. We state a crucial lemma as follows.

Lemma 2.1. Let *H* be a 2-connected polyomino graph and let *R* be a nonempty resonant set of *H* consisting of internal squares. Suppose $H - R - \partial(H)$ has a perfect matching or is an empty graph. Then $cl(H) \ge |R| + 3$. Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/418514

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/418514

Daneshyari.com