



Some efficiently solvable problems over integer partition polytopes



Shmuel Onn^a, Vladimir A. Shlyk^{b,*}

^a Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, 32000, Israel

^b Institute for Command Engineers, 25 Mashinostroiteley str., Minsk, 220118, Belarus

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 February 2014

Received in revised form 1 August 2014

Accepted 8 August 2014

Available online 12 September 2014

Keywords:

Integer partitions

Integer partition polytope

Vertex

Vertex adjacency

Separation problem

ABSTRACT

The integer partition polytope P_n is the convex hull of all integer partitions of n . We provide a novel extended formulation of P_n , and use it to show that the extremality, adjacency, and separation problems over P_n can be solved by linear programming without the ellipsoid method.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

An *integer partition* of n is a nonincreasing sequence of positive integers summing up to n . Integer partitions play an important role in a variety of areas of mathematics, in statistical mechanics and theoretical physics, see [1,14] and references therein.

We can and will identify here each partition of n with a nonnegative integer vector $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, where x_k counts the number of times k appears in the sum. For instance, the partition $1 + 1 + 3 = 5$ corresponds to the vector $x = (2, 0, 1, 0, 0)$. Let $T_n := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n : \sum_{k=1}^n kx_k = n\}$ be the set of integer partitions of n . The *integer partition polytope* is defined to be $P_n := \text{conv}(T_n)$, the convex hull of all integer partitions of n .

The polyhedral approach in the integer partition theory gives rise to many appealing questions. Introducing the polytope of integer partitions revealed the previously unknown geometric structure of the set of partitions of any integer. It demonstrated existence of new classes of partitions, in particular *extreme integer partitions*, which are the vertices of the partition polytopes. Each partition of n is a convex combination of some vertices of P_n , thus the set of vertices of P_n forms a basis for the set of partitions of n . This engenders a special interest in vertices.

As for every polytope, the other key elements of P_n are its facets. They have been studied in [11] and the vertices were studied in [12,13]. However, no combinatorial characterizations of vertices or facets of P_n are available as yet.

In this regard, the following question arises: what is the computational complexity of the problem

- **Extremality:** for integer partition $x \in T_n$, decide if it is extreme on P_n .

It is easy to see that this problem is in co-NP: if x is *not* extreme then, by Caratheodory's theorem, one can exhibit $2 \leq r \leq n + 1$ affinely independent integer partitions $x^1, \dots, x^r \in T_n$ such that in the unique solution to $\sum \lambda_i x_i = x$, $\sum \lambda_i x_i = 1$, all

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +375 291716928; fax: +375 172841701.

E-mail addresses: onn@ie.technion.ac.il (S. Onn), v.shlyk@gmail.com, v.shlyk@outlook.com (V.A. Shlyk).

λ_i are positive. However, the number of all partitions is exponential in n (see [1]), and therefore finding such x_i by exhaustive search takes exponential time.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove that the extremality problem can be decided in polynomial time with the use of linear programming techniques. The lift and project method that we use to solve this problem occurred to be powerful enough to prove polynomial decidability by linear programming of three more problems, which are canonical in combinatorial optimization. These are

- Adjacency: for extreme partitions $x, y \in T_n$, decide if they are adjacent on P_n .
- Separation: for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, find $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $h^T x > h^T y \forall y \in P_n$ or assert $x \in P_n$.
- Optimization: for $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, find $x^* \in P_n$ which attains $\min\{c^T x : x \in P_n\}$.

Since P_n is a knapsack type polytope, the optimization problem over P_n can be solved in polynomial time by dynamic programming, see, for example, [6]. This implies that all other problems listed above can also be solved in polynomial time by multiple applications of the ellipsoid method [4]. However, the ellipsoid method is a very heavy tool with a very large running time. In this paper we show that all problems can in fact be solved in polynomial time using linear programming without the ellipsoid method. We prove the following theorem, which summarizes our results about the four problems above in a rounded and symmetric form:

Theorem 1.1. *The extremality, adjacency, separation, and optimization problems over P_n can all be solved using linear programming without the ellipsoid method.*

A key ingredient in the proof of this theorem is the construction of a novel *extended formulation* for P_n , namely, a polynomial time constructible polytope Q_n of size polynomial in n such that P_n is the projection of Q_n . Thus, the second main outcome of this paper is the following result which is of interest in its own right.

Theorem 1.2. *There exists a polynomial time constructible polytope Q_n of size polynomial in n providing an extended formulation for P_n , that is, satisfying*

$$P_n = \{x : \exists y (x, y) \in Q_n\}.$$

We believe that the advantage of our approach and of the extended formulation in Theorem 1.2 is that, dealing with the set of integer partitions as a polytope and as a projection of another polytope of a polynomial sized description, helps advance the understanding of the geometric structure of the set of partitions, and opens possibilities to apply well-developed polyhedral methods to the study of partitions.

In Sections 2 and 3 we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.1, respectively. We conclude in Section 4 with some final remarks.

2. Lifting the integer partition polytope

In this section we construct a polytope Q_n given by an explicit inequality description of size polynomial in n , such that P_n is a projection of Q_n . As the first step of this construction we construct a digraph G_n with two distinguished vertices v_0^0, v_n^n such that there is a bijection between integer partitions $x \in T_n$ and $v_0^0 - v_n^n$ dipaths in G_n . This construction is inspired by P_n being a knapsack type polytope and the connection between the knapsack problem and dynamic programming [6].

The digraph $G_n = (V, E)$ has the vertex set $V = V^0 \uplus V^1 \uplus \dots \uplus V^n$ with $V^0 := \{v_0^0\}$, $V^k := \{v_0^k, v_1^k, \dots, v_n^k\}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$, and $V^n := \{v_n^n\}$. There are arcs only between consecutive sets V^{k-1}, V^k , where an arc (v_i^{k-1}, v_j^k) is included into E if and only if $\frac{j-i}{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Note that $|V| = \mathcal{O}(n^2)$ and $|E| = \mathcal{O}(n^3)$. Fig. 1 displays G_6 for example.

Lemma 2.1. *Integer partitions $x \in T_n$ are in bijection with $v_0^0 - v_n^n$ dipaths in G_n .*

Proof. Given $x \in T_n$, consider any $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$; let $i(k) := \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} rx_r$ and let $j(k) := \sum_{r=1}^k rx_r$; include in the dipath the arc $(v_{i(k)}^{k-1}, v_{j(k)}^k)$, which exists in G_n since $\frac{j(k)-i(k)}{k} = x_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since $i(1) = 0$ and $j(n) = n$, this results in a $v_0^0 - v_n^n$ dipath. Conversely, given a $v_0^0 - v_n^n$ dipath, consider any $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$; let $(v_{i(k)}^{k-1}, v_{j(k)}^k)$ be the unique arc from V^{k-1} to V^k on that dipath; set $x_k := \frac{j(k)-i(k)}{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then $x \in T_n$ since $i(1) = 0, j(k) = i(k + 1)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$, and $j(n) = n$, and hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^n kx_k = \sum_{k=1}^n j(k) - i(k) = (j(n) - i(n)) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} i(k + 1) - i(k) = j(n) - i(1) = n. \quad \square$$

Next we define two polytopes D_n and Q_n with certain properties such that Q_n is a suitable lifting of D_n and P_n is a suitable projection of Q_n . This is inspired by the polyhedral methods for dynamic programming in [7].

We begin with D_n which is a polytope with $0 - 1$ vertices standing in bijection with $v_0^0 - v_n^n$ dipaths in G_n . For this, we assign to each arc (v_i^{k-1}, v_j^k) in G_n a corresponding $0 - 1$ variable $y_{i,j}^k$, and we arrange all the arc variables in a vector

$$y = \left(y_{i,j}^k : k = 1, \dots, n, \frac{j-i}{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right) \in \{0, 1\}^{|E|}.$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/418655>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/418655>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)