



Hamiltonian claw-free graphs involving minimum degrees

MingChu Li*

School of Software, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116621, China



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 June 2009

Received in revised form 2 January 2013

Accepted 8 January 2013

Available online 4 February 2013

Keywords:

Claw-free graph

Cycle

3-connectedness

Minimum degree

ABSTRACT

Favaron and Fraisse proved that any 3-connected claw-free graph H with order n and minimum degree $\delta(H) \geq \frac{n+38}{10}$ is hamiltonian [O. Favaron and P. Fraisse, Hamiltonicity and minimum degree in 3-connected claw-free graphs, *J. Combin. Theory B* 82 (2001) 297–305]. Lai, Shao and Zhan showed that if H is a 3-connected claw-free graph of order $n \geq 196$, and if $\delta(H) \geq \frac{n+6}{10}$, then H is hamiltonian [H.-J. Lai, Y. Shao and M. Zhan, Hamiltonicity in 3-connected claw-free graphs, *J. Combin. Theory B* 96 (2006) 493–504]. In this paper, we improve the two results above and prove that if H is a 3-connected claw-free graph of order $n \geq 363$, and if $\delta(H) \geq \frac{n+34}{12}$, then either H is hamiltonian, or the Ryjáček's closure $cl(H)$ of H is the line graph of one of the graphs obtained from the Petersen graph \mathcal{P}_{10} by adding at least one pendant edge at each vertex v_i of \mathcal{P}_{10} or by replacing exactly one vertex v_i of \mathcal{P}_{10} with $\bar{K}_{2,p}$ ($p \geq 2$) and adding at least one pendant edge at all other nine vertices $v_j \notin V - \{v_i\}$ of \mathcal{P}_{10} , and then by subdividing m edges of \mathcal{P}_{10} for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 15$, where $\bar{K}_{2,p}$ is a connected bipartite graph.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

We only consider loopless finite simple graphs, and use [1] for terminology and notations not defined here. A graph G is *eulerian* if G is connected and every vertex of G is of even degree. A *circuit* C of a graph G is a connected eulerian subgraph of G . A cycle is a connected circuit with all vertices of degree 2. The *minimum degree* and the *edge independence number* of G are denoted by $\delta(G)$ (or δ) and $\alpha'(G)$, respectively. An edge $e = uv$ is called a *pendant edge* if either $d_G(u) = 1$ or $d_G(v) = 1$. A subgraph H of G (denoted by $H \subseteq G$) is *dominating* if $G - V(H)$ is edgeless. For $x \in V(G)$, let $N_H(x) = \{v \in V(H) : vx \in E(G)\}$ and $d_H(x) = |N_H(x)|$. If $S \subseteq V(G)$, $G[S]$ is the subgraph induced in G by S . A vertex $v \in G$ is called a *locally connected vertex* if $G[N_G(v)]$ is connected. For $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$, let $N_H(A) = \cup_{v \in A} N_H(v)$, $E_G[A, B] = \{uv \in E(G) : u \in A, v \in B\}$, and $G - A = G[V(G) - A]$. When $A = \{v\}$, we use $G - v$ for $G - \{v\}$. If $H \subseteq G$, then for an edge subset $X \subseteq E(G) - E(H)$, we write $H + X$ for $G[E(H) \cup X]$. For an integer $i \geq 1$, define $D_i(G) = \{v \in V(G) : d_G(v) = i\}$.

We write $\bar{K}_{|X|,|Y|}$ for a connected bipartite graph with disjoint vertex sets X and Y , and $K_{|X|,|Y|}$ for a complete bipartite graph. If $|X| = 1$ and $|Y| \geq 2$, then $K_{1,|Y|}$ is called a star, and the vertex of X is called the center of the star. If $|X| = 1$ and $|Y| = 3$, then $K_{1,3}$ is called a claw. A graph H is claw-free if it does not contain $K_{1,3}$ as an induced subgraph. A graph H is triangle-free if it does not contain a cycle of length 3 as an induced subgraph.

The *line graph* of a graph G , denoted by $L(G)$, has $E(G)$ as its vertex set, where two vertices in $L(G)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges in G are adjacent. Obviously, a line graph is claw-free. Let H be the line graph $L(G)$ of a graph G . Then $|V(H)| = |E(G)|$ and $\delta(H) = \min\{d_G(x) + d_G(y) - 2 : xy \in E(G)\}$. If $L(G)$ is k -connected, then G is *essentially k -edge-connected*, which means that the only edge-cut sets of G having less than k edges are the sets of edges incident with some vertex of G .

Let X be a subset of $E(G)$. The *contraction* G/X is the graph obtained from G by identifying the two ends of each edge in X and then deleting the resulting loops. We define $G/\emptyset = G$. If K is a subgraph of G , then we write G/K for $G/E(K)$. If K is a

* Tel.: +86 411 87571522.

E-mail address: li_mingchu@yahoo.com.

connected subgraph of G , and if v_K is the vertex in G/K onto which K is contracted, then K is called the *preimage* of v_K , and is denoted by $PI(v_K)$. A vertex v in a contraction G/K of G is *nontrivial* if $PI(v)$ has at least one edge from $E(G/K) - E(G)$. A vertex v in a contraction G/K of G is *trivial* if $PI(v)$ contains no any edge belonging to $E(G/K) - E(G)$.

Subdividing the edge uv of a graph G means that the edge uv is replaced by a path uxv of length 2, where $x \notin V(G)$ is a new vertex, and is called the subdivision vertex of the edge uv .

Harary and Nash-Williams [4] showed that there is a close relationship on hamiltonian cycles between a graph and its line graph.

Theorem 1.1 (Harary and Nash-Williams [4]). *The line graph $L(G)$ of a graph G is hamiltonian if and only if G has a dominating eulerian subgraph.*

Ryjáček [13] defined the *closure* $cl(H)$ of a claw-free graph H to be one obtained by recursively adding edges to join two nonadjacent vertices in the neighborhood of any locally connected vertex of H , as long as this is possible. Note that this operation preserves the claw-freeness of the original graph. Ryjáček [13] proved the following result.

Theorem 1.2 (Ryjáček [13]). *Let H be a claw-free graph and $cl(H)$ its closure. Then*

- (i) $cl(H)$ is well-defined, and $\kappa(cl(H)) \geq \kappa(H)$,
- (ii) there is a triangle-free graph G such that $cl(H) = L(G)$,
- (iii) both graphs H and $cl(H)$ have the same circumference.

A graph H with $H = cl(H)$ is called closed. Many researchers are interested in studying the Hamiltonicity of claw-free graphs, and many works have been done to give sufficient conditions for a claw-free graph to be hamiltonian in terms of its minimum degrees. These conditions depend on the connectivity $\kappa(H)$. Matthews and Sumner [12] conjectured that every 4-connected claw-free graph H is hamiltonian. If $\kappa(H) = 3$, there are a lot of non-hamiltonian claw-free graphs. For example, M. Li [7] showed that every 3-connected claw-free graph H of order $n \leq 5\delta(H) - 5$ is hamiltonian. G. Li et al. [11] and M. Li [8], respectively, improved the result and obtained that every 3-connected claw-free graph H of order $n \leq 6\delta(H) - 9$ is hamiltonian. Note that M. Li [10] (also see [9]) considered the circumferences of these classes and proved that every 3-connected claw-free graph H of order n contains a cycle of length at least $\min\{6\delta(H) - 15, n\}$. Favaron and Fraisse [3] further improved these results in [11,7,8], and proved the following result. Note that Kuipers and Veldman [5] conjectured that every 3-connected claw-free graph H of order $n \leq 10\delta(H) - 6$ is hamiltonian if n is sufficiently large.

Theorem 1.3 (Favaron and Fraisse [3]). *Let H be a 3-connected claw-free graph of order n . If $n \leq 10\delta(H) - 38$, then H is hamiltonian.*

Lai, Shao and Zhan [6] proved that the conjecture of Kuipers and Veldman [5] is true.

Theorem 1.4 (Lai, Shao and Zhan [6]). *Let H be a 3-connected claw-free graph on $n \geq 196$ vertices. If $n \leq 10\delta(H) - 5$, then either H is hamiltonian, or $\delta(H) = (n + 5)/10$ and $cl(H)$ is the line graph of the graph G obtained from the Petersen graph \mathcal{P}_{10} by adding $(n - 15)/10$ pendant edges at each vertex of \mathcal{P}_{10} .*

In this paper, our motivation is to improve the two results above. Let

$\mathcal{F}_1 = \{H: H \text{ is a 3-connected non-hamiltonian claw-free graph such that its Ryjáček's closure } cl(H) \text{ is the line graph of one of the graphs obtained from the Petersen graph } \mathcal{P}_{10} \text{ by adding at least one pendant edge at each vertex of } \mathcal{P}_{10} \text{ and by subdividing } m \text{ edges of } \mathcal{P}_{10} \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 15\}$, and

$\mathcal{F}_2 = \{H: H \text{ is a 3-connected non-hamiltonian claw-free graph such that its Ryjáček's closure } cl(H) \text{ is the line graph of one of the graphs } G \text{ obtained from the Petersen graph } \mathcal{P}_{10} \text{ by replacing exactly one vertex of } \mathcal{P}_{10} \text{ with } W = \bar{K}_{2,p} \text{ (} p \geq 2 \text{) and by adding at least one pendant edge at all other nine vertices of } \mathcal{P}_{10} \text{, and by subdividing } m \text{ edges of } \mathcal{P}_{10} \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 15 \text{, where } \bar{K}_{2,p} \text{ is a bipartite graph, } G \text{ is connected and } W = \bar{K}_{2,p} \text{ can be arbitrarily connected to } \mathcal{P}_{10} \text{ such that } 1 \leq |N_W(V(G) - V(W))| \leq 3, |N_{V(G)-V(W)}(V(W))| = 3, |E_G(V(W), V(G) - V(W))| = 3 \text{ and there are at most three vertices } w \text{ of } d_G(w) = 2 \text{ in } N_W(V(G) - V(W))\}$.

In this paper, we prove the following result, which is also the improvement of [11,7,9,8,10]. That is, the bounds $5\delta - 5$ [7], $6\delta - 9$ [11,8], $10\delta - 38$ [3], $10\delta - 6$ [6] are relaxed to $12\delta - 34$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let H be a 3-connected claw-free graph of order $n \geq 363$. If $n \leq 12\delta(H) - 34$, then either H is hamiltonian or $H \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$.*

Let

$\mathcal{F}_3 = \{H: H \text{ is a 3-connected non-hamiltonian claw-free graph such that its Ryjáček's closure } cl(H) \text{ is the line graph of one of the graphs } G \text{ obtained from the Petersen graph } \mathcal{P}_{10} \text{ by replacing exactly two vertices of } \mathcal{P}_{10} \text{ with } W = \bar{K}_{2,p} \text{ (} p \geq 2 \text{) and by adding at least one pendant edge at all other eight vertices of } \mathcal{P}_{10} \text{, and by subdividing } m \text{ edges of } \mathcal{P}_{10} \text{ for } m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 15 \text{, where } G \text{ is connected and } W = \bar{K}_{2,p} \text{ can be arbitrarily connected to } \mathcal{P}_{10} \text{ such that } 1 \leq |N_W(V(G) - V(W))| \leq 3, |N_{V(G)-V(W)}(V(W))| = 3, |E_G(V(W), V(G) - V(W))| = 3 \text{ and there are at most three vertices } w \text{ of } d_G(w) = 2 \text{ in } N_W(V(G) - V(W))\}$.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/419684>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/419684>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)