



Note

On r -equitable chromatic threshold of Kronecker products of complete graphs[☆]Wei Wang^a, Zhidan Yan^a, Xin Zhang^{b,*}^a College of Information Engineering, Tarim University, Alar 843300, PR China^b School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xidian University, Xi'an 710071, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 October 2013

Received in revised form 11 May 2014

Accepted 21 May 2014

Available online 6 June 2014

Keywords:

Equitable coloring

 r -Equitable coloring r -Equitable chromatic threshold

Kronecker product

Complete graph

ABSTRACT

Let r and k be positive integers. A graph G is r -equitably k -colorable if its vertex set can be partitioned into k independent sets, any two of which differ in size by at most r . The r -equitable chromatic threshold of a graph G , denoted by $\chi_{r=}(G)$, is the minimum k such that G is r -equitably k' -colorable for all $k' \geq k$. Let $G \times H$ denote the Kronecker product of graphs G and H . In this paper, we completely determine the exact value of $\chi_{r=}(K_m \times K_n)$ for general m , n and r . As a consequence, we show that for $r \geq 2$, if $n \geq \frac{1}{r-1}(m+r)(m+2r-1)$ then $K_m \times K_n$ and its spanning supergraph $K_{m(n)}$ have the same r -equitable colorability, and in particular $\chi_{r=}(K_m \times K_n) = \chi_{r=}(K_{m(n)})$, where $K_{m(n)}$ is the complete m -partite graph with n vertices in each part.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected and simple. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For a positive integer k , let $[k] = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. A (proper) k -coloring of G is a mapping $f : V(G) \rightarrow [k]$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$ whenever $xy \in E(G)$. The chromatic number of G , denoted by $\chi(G)$, is the smallest integer k such that G admits a k -coloring. We call the set $f^{-1}(i) = \{x \in V(G) : f(x) = i\}$ a color class for each $i \in [k]$. Notice that each color class in a proper coloring is an independent set, i.e., a subset of $V(G)$ of pairwise non-adjacent vertices, and hence a k -coloring is a partition of $V(G)$ into k independent sets. For a fixed positive integer r , an r -equitable k -coloring of G is a k -coloring for which any two color classes differ in size by at most r . A graph is r -equitably k -colorable if it has an r -equitable k -coloring. The r -equitable chromatic number of G , denoted by $\chi_{r=}(G)$, is the smallest integer k such that G is r -equitably k -colorable. For a graph G , the r -equitable chromatic threshold of G , denoted by $\chi_{r=}(G)$, is the smallest integer k such that G is r -equitably k' -colorable for all $k' \geq k$. Although the concept of r -equitable colorability seems a natural generalization of usual equitable colorability (corresponding to $r = 1$) introduced by Meyer [9] in 1973, it was first proposed recently by Hertz and Ries [6,7], where the authors generalized the characterizations of usual equitable colorability of trees [2] and forests [1] to r -equitable colorability. Quite recently, Yen [12] proposed a necessary and sufficient condition for a complete multipartite graph G to have an r -equitable k -coloring and also gave exact values of $\chi_{r=}(G)$ and $\chi_{r=}(G)$. In particular, they obtained the following results for $K_{m(n)}$, where $K_{m(n)}$ denotes the complete m -partite graph with n vertices in each part.

[☆] Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11301410) and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 20130203120021).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: xzhang@xidian.edu.cn, xdu.zhang@gmail.com (X. Zhang).

Lemma 1 ([12]). For integers $n, r \geq 1$ and $k \geq m \geq 2$, $K_{m(n)}$ is r -equitably k -colorable if and only if $\lceil \frac{n}{\lfloor k/m \rfloor} \rceil - \lfloor \frac{n}{\lfloor k/m \rfloor} \rfloor \leq r$.

Lemma 2 ([12]). For integers $n, r \geq 1$ and $m \geq 2$, we have $\chi_{r=}(K_{m(n)}) = m \lceil \frac{n}{\theta+r} \rceil$, where θ is the minimum positive integer such that $\lfloor \frac{n}{\theta+1} \rfloor < \lceil \frac{n}{\theta+r} \rceil$.

The special case of Lemmas 1 and 2 for $r = 1$ was obtained by Lin and Chang [8].

For two graphs G and H , the Kronecker product $G \times H$ of G and H is the graph with vertex set $\{(x, y) : x \in V(G), y \in V(H)\}$ and edge set $\{(x, y)(x', y') : xx' \in E(G) \text{ and } yy' \in E(H)\}$. In this paper, we analyze the r -equitable colorability of Kronecker product of two complete graphs. We refer to [3,5,8,11] for more studies on the usual equitable colorability of Kronecker products of graphs.

In [4], Duffus et al. showed that if $m \leq n$ then $\chi(K_m \times K_n) = m$. From this result, Chen [3] got that $\chi_=(K_m \times K_n) = m$ for $m \leq n$. Indeed, let $V(K_m \times K_n) = \{(x_i, y_j) : i \in [m], j \in [n]\}$. Then we can partition $V(K_m \times K_n)$ into m sets $\{(x_i, y_j) : j \in [n]\}$ with $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, all of which have equal size and are clearly independent. Similarly, for any $r \geq 1$, $\chi_{r=}(K_m \times K_n) = m$ for $m \leq n$. However, it is much more difficult to determine the exact value of $\chi_{r=}(K_m \times K_n)$, even for $r = 1$.

Lemma 3 ([8]). For positive integers $m \leq n$, we have $\chi_{=}(K_m \times K_n) \leq \lceil \frac{mn}{m+1} \rceil$.

In the same paper, Lin and Chang determined the exact values of $\chi_{=}(K_2 \times K_n)$ and $\chi_{=}(K_3 \times K_n)$. Note that the case when $m = 1$ is trivial since $K_1 \times K_n$ is the empty graph I_n and hence $\chi_{=}(K_1 \times K_n) = 1$. Recently, those results have been improved to the following.

Theorem 4 ([10]). For integers $n \geq m \geq 2$,

$$\chi_{=}(K_m \times K_n) = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{mn}{m+1} \rceil, & \text{if } n \equiv 2, \dots, m-1 \pmod{m+1}; \\ m \lceil \frac{n}{s^*} \rceil, & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{m+1}, \end{cases}$$

where s^* is the minimum positive integer such that $s^* \nmid n$ and $m \lceil \frac{n}{s^*} \rceil \leq \lceil \frac{mn}{m+1} \rceil$.

From the definition of s^* , we see that $s^* \neq 1$ and hence $s^* \geq 2$. Let $\theta = s^* - 1$. Then we can restate Theorem 4 as follows.

Theorem 5. For integers $n \geq m \geq 2$,

$$\chi_{=}(K_m \times K_n) = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{mn}{m+1} \rceil, & \text{if } n \equiv 2, \dots, m-1 \pmod{m+1}; \\ m \lceil \frac{n}{\theta+1} \rceil, & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{m+1}, \end{cases}$$

where θ is the minimum positive integer such that $\theta + 1 \nmid n$ and $m \lceil \frac{n}{\theta+1} \rceil \leq \lceil \frac{mn}{m+1} \rceil$.

A graph H is called a subgraph of G if $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$. A subgraph H is a spanning subgraph of G if it has the same vertex set as G .

Corollary 6. If $n \geq m$ and $n \equiv 2, \dots, m-1 \pmod{m+1}$ then $\chi_{=}(K_m \times K_n) < \chi_{=}(K_{m(n)})$.

Proof. Since $K_m \times K_n$ is a spanning subgraph of $K_{m(n)}$, $\chi_{=}(K_m \times K_n) \leq \chi_{=}(K_{m(n)})$. Therefore, the corollary follows if we can show $\chi_{=}(K_m \times K_n) \neq \chi_{=}(K_{m(n)})$. Let $n = (m+1)s + t$ with $s = \lfloor \frac{n}{m+1} \rfloor$ and $2 \leq t \leq m-1$. We have $\lceil \frac{mn}{m+1} \rceil = \lceil \frac{m(m+1)s+mt}{m+1} \rceil = \lceil \frac{m(m+1)s+(m+1)t-t}{m+1} \rceil = ms + t + \lceil \frac{-t}{m+1} \rceil = ms + t$. By Theorem 5, $\chi_{=}(K_m \times K_n) = \lceil \frac{mn}{m+1} \rceil = ms + t$ and hence m is not a factor of $\chi_{=}(K_m \times K_n)$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2, m is a factor of $\chi_{=}(K_{m(n)})$. Therefore, $\chi_{=}(K_m \times K_n) \neq \chi_{=}(K_{m(n)})$ and hence the proof is complete. \square

The main purpose of this paper is to obtain the exact value of $\chi_{r=}(K_m \times K_n)$ for any $r \geq 1$, which we state as the following theorem.

Theorem 7. For any integers $n \geq m \geq 2$ and $r \geq 1$,

$$\chi_{r=}(K_m \times K_n) = \begin{cases} n - r \lfloor \frac{n}{m+r} \rfloor, & \text{if } n \equiv 2, \dots, m-1 \pmod{m+r} \text{ and} \\ & \lceil \frac{n}{\lfloor n/(m+r) \rfloor} \rceil - \lfloor \frac{n}{\lfloor n/(m+r) \rfloor} \rfloor > r; \\ m \lceil \frac{n}{\theta+r} \rceil, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where θ is the minimum positive integer such that $\lfloor \frac{n}{\theta+1} \rfloor < \lceil \frac{n}{\theta+r} \rceil$ and $m \lceil \frac{n}{\theta+r} \rceil \leq \min\{n - r \lfloor \frac{n}{m+r} \rfloor, m \lceil \frac{n}{m+r} \rceil\}$.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/421152>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/421152>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)