



## On $\alpha$ -total domination in graphs

Michael A. Henning<sup>a,\*</sup>, Nader Jafari Rad<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Johannesburg, Auckland Park, 2006 South Africa

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shahrood University of Technology, Shahrood, Iran

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 3 August 2011

Received in revised form 17 November 2011

Accepted 22 November 2011

Available online 20 December 2011

#### Keywords:

Domination

Total domination

$\alpha$ -domination

### ABSTRACT

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph with no isolated vertex. A subset of vertices  $S$  is a total dominating set if every vertex of  $G$  is adjacent to some vertex of  $S$ . For some  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , a total dominating set  $S$  in  $G$  is an  $\alpha$ -total dominating set if for every vertex  $v \in V \setminus S$ ,  $|N(v) \cap S| \geq \alpha |N(v)|$ . The minimum cardinality of an  $\alpha$ -total dominating set of  $G$  is called the  $\alpha$ -total domination number of  $G$ . In this paper, we study  $\alpha$ -total domination in graphs. We obtain several results and bounds for the  $\alpha$ -total domination number of a graph  $G$ .

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we continue the study of total domination in graphs which is well studied in graph theory. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph with vertex set  $V$ , edge set  $E$  and no isolated vertex. A *dominating set*  $D$  in a graph  $G$  is a set of vertices of  $G$  such that each vertex not in  $D$  is adjacent to a vertex of  $D$ , while a *total dominating set*, denoted TDS, of  $G$  is a set  $S$  of vertices of  $G$  such that every vertex is adjacent to a vertex in  $S$ . The *total domination number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\gamma_t(G)$ , is the minimum cardinality of a TDS. A TDS of  $G$  of cardinality  $\gamma_t(G)$  is called a  $\gamma_t(G)$ -set. The literature on this subject has been surveyed and detailed in the two books by Haynes et al. [7,6]. A recent survey of total domination in graphs can be found in [9].

For notation and graph theory terminology we in general follow [7]. Specifically, let  $v$  be a vertex in  $V$ . The *open neighborhood* of  $v$  is  $N_G(v) = \{u \in V \mid uv \in E\}$  and its *closed neighborhood* is  $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$ . The degree of  $v$  is  $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$ . If the graph  $G$  is clear from the context, then we simply write  $N(v)$ ,  $N[v]$  and  $d(v)$  rather than  $N_G(v)$ ,  $N_G[v]$  and  $d_G(v)$ , respectively. The minimum and maximum degree among the vertices of  $G$  is denoted by  $\delta(G)$  and  $\Delta(G)$ , respectively. The order of  $G$  is given by  $n(G) = |V(G)|$  and its size by  $m(G) = |E(G)|$ . A cycle on  $n$  vertices is denoted by  $C_n$ , while a path on  $n$  vertices is denoted by  $P_n$ . A vertex of degree one is called a *leaf*, and its neighbor is called a *support vertex*. We denote the set of leaves of  $G$  by  $L(G)$ . For a subset  $S \subseteq V$ , the subgraph induced by  $S$  is denoted by  $G[S]$ . A *packing* in  $G$  is a set of vertices that are pairwise at distance at least 3 apart in  $G$ .

A vertex and an edge are said to *cover* each other in a graph  $G$  if they are incident in  $G$ . A *vertex cover* in  $G$  is a set of vertices that covers all the edges of  $G$ , while a *total vertex cover* in  $G$ , abbreviated TVC, is a vertex cover that induces a subgraph with no isolated vertex. The minimum cardinality among all the TVCs in  $G$  is called the *total vertex covering number* of  $G$  and is denoted by  $\text{tvc}(G)$ . A TVC in  $G$  of cardinality  $\text{tvc}(G)$  is called a  $\text{tvc}(G)$ -cover.

A set of pairwise independent edges of  $G$  is called a *matching* in  $G$ , while a matching of maximum cardinality is a *maximum matching*. The number of edges in a maximum matching of  $G$  is called the *matching number* of  $G$  which we denote by  $\alpha'(G)$ .

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +27 33 2605648; fax: +27 11 5594670.

E-mail addresses: [mahenning@uj.ac.za](mailto:mahenning@uj.ac.za) (M.A. Henning), [n.jafarirad@shahroodut.ac.ir](mailto:n.jafarirad@shahroodut.ac.ir) (N.J. Rad).

In this paper we study a variant of total domination in graphs, called  $\alpha$ -total domination. For some  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , we say that a TDS  $S$  in  $G$  is an  $\alpha$ -total dominating set, abbreviated by  $\alpha$ TDS, if for every vertex  $v \in V \setminus S$ ,  $|N(v) \cap S| \geq \alpha|N(v)|$ . Thus, every vertex  $v$  outside the TDS  $S$  has at least  $\alpha|N(v)|$  neighbors inside  $S$ . The minimum cardinality of an  $\alpha$ -TDS of  $G$  is called the  $\alpha$ -total domination number of  $G$  and is denoted by  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G)$ . An  $\alpha$ TDS of  $G$  of cardinality  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G)$  is called a  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G)$ -set. Every graph without isolated vertices has a  $\alpha$ TDS, since  $S = V$  is such a set.

The analogous concept of  $\alpha$ -domination in graphs was introduced by Dunbar et al. [4] who defined a dominating set  $D$  to be an  $\alpha$ -dominating set, abbreviated by  $\alpha$ DS, if for every vertex  $v \in V \setminus D$ , we have  $|N(v) \cap D| \geq \alpha|N(v)|$ . The minimum cardinality of an  $\alpha$ DS of  $G$  is called the  $\alpha$ -domination number of  $G$  and is denoted by  $\gamma_{\alpha}(G)$ . An  $\alpha$ DS of  $G$  of cardinality  $\gamma_{\alpha}(G)$  is called a  $\gamma_{\alpha}(G)$ -set. The concept of  $\alpha$ -domination in graphs has been studied, for example, in [3,5] and elsewhere.

## 2. Properties of $\alpha$ -total dominating sets

In this section, we present basic properties of  $\alpha$ TDSs in graphs. Throughout this section, let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph with no isolated vertex and with maximum degree  $\Delta = \Delta(G)$ , and let  $\alpha$  satisfy  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ .

Since every  $\alpha$ TDS is a TDS, we observe that  $\gamma_t(G) \leq \gamma_{\alpha t}(G)$  for all  $\alpha$ . Further for  $0 < \alpha \leq 1/\Delta$  and for every vertex  $v \in V$ , we observe that  $\alpha|N(v)| \leq 1$ , and so in this case an  $\alpha$ TDS  $S$  in  $G$  simply requires that every vertex outside  $S$  is adjacent to at least one vertex inside  $S$ . Thus every TDS is an  $\alpha$ TDS, whence  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G) = \gamma_t(G)$ . Therefore  $\alpha$ -total domination in graphs is a generalization of total domination in graphs.

Let  $\alpha$  satisfy  $(\Delta - 1)/\Delta < \alpha \leq 1$ . We observe that in this range, every  $\alpha$ TDS is a TVC in the graph. To see this, let  $S$  be an arbitrary  $\alpha$ TDS in  $G$ . For each vertex  $v \in V \setminus S$ , we have that

$$|N(v) \cap S| \geq \alpha|N(v)| > \left(\frac{\Delta - 1}{\Delta}\right)|N(v)| = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\Delta}\right)d(v) \geq d(v) - 1,$$

implying that  $|N(v) \cap S| \geq d(v)$ . Hence,  $N(v) \subseteq S$  for every vertex  $v \in V \setminus S$ , and so  $V \setminus S$  is an independent set in  $G$ . Equivalently, the set  $S$  is a total vertex cover in  $G$ . Since  $S$  is an arbitrary  $\alpha$ TDS in  $G$ , we have that  $\text{tvc}(G) \leq \gamma_{\alpha t}(G)$ . On the other hand, every total vertex cover in  $G$  is an  $\alpha$ TDS, and so  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G) \leq \text{tvc}(G)$ . Consequently,  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G) = \text{tvc}(G)$  for  $(\Delta - 1)/\Delta < \alpha \leq 1$ . Therefore  $\alpha$ -total domination in graphs is a generalization of total vertex cover in graphs.

Since every  $\alpha$ TDS is an  $\alpha$ DS, we observe that  $\gamma_{\alpha}(G) \leq \gamma_{\alpha t}(G)$  for all  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . If there exists a  $\gamma_{\alpha}(G)$ -set  $S$  such that  $G[S]$  has no isolated vertex, then  $S$  is an  $\alpha$ TDS, implying that  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G) \leq |S| = \gamma_{\alpha}(G)$ , and so  $\gamma_{\alpha}(G) = \gamma_{\alpha t}(G)$ . Let  $D$  be a  $\gamma_{\alpha}(G)$ -set. For each vertex  $v \in D$ , let  $v'$  denote an arbitrary neighbor of  $v$  in  $G$  and let  $D' = \cup_{v \in D} \{v'\}$ . Then the set  $D \cup D'$  is an  $\alpha$ TDS, and so  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G) \leq |D \cup D'| \leq 2|D| = 2\gamma_{\alpha}(G)$ . Further if  $D$  is not a packing in  $G$ , then we can choose  $D'$  so that  $|D \cup D'| < 2|D|$ .

If  $M$  is a maximum matching in  $G$  and  $D$  is the set consisting of the  $2|M|$  vertices of  $G$  incident with edges in  $M$ , then  $D$  is an  $\alpha$ TDS, implying that  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G) \leq 2\alpha'(G)$  for all  $\alpha$ .

Our earlier remarks, together with the definition of an  $\alpha$ TDS, readily imply the following observation, which summarizes fundamental properties of  $\alpha$ -total dominating sets in a graph.

**Observation 1.** Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with no isolated vertex and with maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Let  $\alpha$  satisfy  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Then the following holds.

- $\max\{\gamma_t(G), \gamma_{\alpha}(G)\} \leq \gamma_{\alpha t}(G) \leq \min\{n, 2\gamma_{\alpha}(G), 2\alpha'(G), \text{tvc}(G)\}$ .
- For  $0 < \alpha \leq 1/\Delta$ , we have  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G) = \gamma_t(G)$ .
- For  $(\Delta - 1)/\Delta < \alpha \leq 1$ , we have  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G) = \text{tvc}(G)$ .
- If  $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq 1$ , then  $\gamma_{\alpha_1 t}(G) \leq \gamma_{\alpha_2 t}(G)$ .
- $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G) = 2\gamma_{\alpha}(G)$  if and only if every  $\gamma_{\alpha}(G)$ -set is a packing in  $G$ .
- $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G) = \gamma_{\alpha}(G)$  if and only if there is a  $\gamma_{\alpha}(G)$ -set  $S$  such that  $G[S]$  has no isolated vertex.

## 3. Exact values

In this section, we determine exact values of the  $\alpha$ -total domination number for special classes of graphs. It is known (see [4]) that for a complete graph  $K_n$ ,  $\gamma_{\alpha}(K_n) = \lceil \alpha(n - 1) \rceil$  for all  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Hence by Observation 1(e), we have the result of Proposition 2. However for completeness, we provide a short proof of this result.

**Proposition 2.** If  $K_n$  is a complete graph with  $n \geq 2$  vertices, then for all  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , we have  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(K_n) = \max\{2, \lceil \alpha(n - 1) \rceil\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G = K_n$ . By Observation 1,  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G) \geq \gamma_t(G) = 2$ . Hence we may assume that  $\lceil \alpha(n - 1) \rceil > 2$ , for otherwise the desired bound is immediate. If  $D$  is an  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G)$ -set, then for every vertex  $v \in V \setminus D$ , we have  $|N(v) \cap D| \geq \lceil \alpha(n - 1) \rceil$ , and so  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G) = |D| \geq \lceil \alpha(n - 1) \rceil$ . To prove the reverse inequality, let  $S$  be an arbitrary subset of vertices in  $G$  such that  $|S| = \lceil \alpha(n - 1) \rceil$ . By assumption,  $|S| > 2$  and so  $S$  is a TDS in  $G$ . For every vertex  $v \in V \setminus S$ , we have  $|N(v) \cap S| = |S| = \lceil \alpha(n - 1) \rceil = \lceil \alpha|N(v)| \rceil \geq \alpha|N(v)|$ , implying that  $S$  is an  $\alpha$ TDS. Hence,  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G) \leq |S| = \lceil \alpha(n - 1) \rceil$ . Consequently,  $\gamma_{\alpha t}(G) = \lceil \alpha(n - 1) \rceil$ .  $\square$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/421224>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/421224>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)