

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



DISCRETE APPLIED MATHEMATICS

Discrete Applied Mathematics 154 (2006) 2411-2417

www.elsevier.com/locate/dam

## Sparse connectivity certificates via MA orderings in graphs Hiroshi Nagamochi

Department of Applied Mathematics and Physics, Kyoto University, Yoshida Honmachi, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

Received 3 March 2004; received in revised form 17 September 2004; accepted 21September 2005 Available online 2 June 2006

## Abstract

For an undirected multigraph G = (V, E), let  $\alpha$  be a positive integer weight function on V. For a positive integer k, G is called  $(k, \alpha)$ connected if any two vertices  $u, v \in V$  remain connected after removal of any pair (Z, E') of a vertex subset  $Z \subseteq V - \{u, v\}$  and an edge subset  $E' \subseteq E$  such that  $\sum_{v \in Z} \alpha(v) + |E'| < k$ . The  $(k, \alpha)$ -connectivity is an extension of several common generalizations of edge-connectivity and vertex-connectivity. Given a  $(k, \alpha)$ -connected graph G, we show that a  $(k, \alpha)$ -connected spanning subgraph of G with O(k|V|) edges can be found in linear time by using MA orderings. We also show that properties on removal cycles and preservation of minimum cuts can be extended in the  $(k, \alpha)$ -connectivity.

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Edge-connectivity; Vertex-connectivity; Connectivity certificates; MA orderings; Mixed cuts; Removable cycles; Spanning subgraphs

## 1. Introduction

Let G = (V, E) stand for an undirected multigraph defined by a pair of a vertex set V and an edge set E, where an edge e with endvertices u and v is denoted by  $\{u, v\}$ . Let n = |V| and m = |E|. The vertex set and edge set of a graph G may be denoted by V(G) and E(G), respectively. A singleton set  $\{x\}$  may be simply written as x. For two subsets X,  $Y \subset V$  (not necessarily disjoint), E(X, Y; G) denotes the set of edges joining a vertex in X and a vertex in Y, and d(X, Y; G) denotes |E(X, Y; G)|. In particular, they may be written as E(X; G) and d(X; G), respectively, if Y = V - X. For a subset  $F \subseteq E$  (resp.,  $X \subseteq V$ ), we denote by G - F (resp., G - X) the graph obtained from G by removing the edges in F (resp., the vertices in X together with the edges incident to a vertex in X).

A mixed cut in G is defined to be an ordered partition (A, B, Z) of V such that  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $B \neq \emptyset$ , where Z is allowed to be empty. We say that a mixed cut (A, B, Z) separates vertices u and v if one of u and v belongs to A and the other belongs to B. That is, u and v are disconnected in G - Z - E(A, B; G).

Let  $\alpha : V \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  be a vertex weight function, where  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  denotes the set of positive integers. For a subset  $X \subseteq V$ , we denote  $\alpha(X) = \sum_{v \in X} \alpha(v)$ . Given a function  $\alpha : V \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ , the *size* of a mixed cut (A, B, Z) is defined to be  $\alpha(Z) + d(A, B; G).$ 

We define local  $\alpha$ -connectivity  $\lambda_{\alpha}(u, v; G)$  between two vertices  $u, v \in V$  to be the minimum size of a mixed cut (A, B, Z) separating u and v, i.e.,

 $\lambda_{\alpha}(u, v; G) = \min\{\alpha(Z) + d(A, B; G) \mid \text{mixed cuts } (A, B, Z) \text{ separating } u \text{ and } v\}.$ 

E-mail address: nag@amp.i.kyoto-u.ac.jp.

<sup>0166-218</sup>X/\$ - see front matter © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.dam.2006.04.008

We say that a family of paths connecting two vertices u and v is  $\alpha$ -independent if they are edge-disjoint and each vertex  $u' \in V - \{u, v\}$  is contained in at most  $\alpha(u')$  paths of them. By Menger's theorem, it is a simple matter to see that  $\lambda_{\alpha}(u, v; G)$  is equal to the maximum number of  $\alpha$ -independent paths connecting u and v. Two vertices u and v are called  $(k, \alpha)$ -connected if  $\lambda_{\alpha}(u, v; G) \ge k$ . A graph is called  $(k, \alpha)$ -connected if any two distinct vertices are  $(k, \alpha)$ -connected.

Observe that  $\lambda_{\alpha}(u, v; G)$  is the local edge-connectivity when  $\alpha(x) > d(x; G), x \in V$ , while it implies the local vertexconnectivity when  $\alpha(x) = 1, x \in V$ . Moreover, our  $\alpha$ -connectivity includes some of previous common generalizations [1,6,8] of the edge and vertex connectivities. For a specified subset  $T \subseteq V$  of vertices, we say that a family of paths connecting two vertices u and v is *T*-independent if they are edge-disjoint and every element of T is contained in at most one path as an inner vertex. Frank et al. [6] have defined local *T*-connectivity  $\lambda_T(u, v; G)$  as the maximum number of *T*-independent paths connecting u and v. Observe that  $\lambda_T(u, v; G) = \lambda_{\alpha}(u, v; G)$  when  $\alpha(x) = 1, x \in T$  and  $\alpha(x) > d(x; G), x \in V - T$ .

On the other hand, Berg and Jordán [1] have defined local  $\ell$ -mixed connectivity between two vertices u and v by

 $\mu_{\ell}(u, v; G) = \min\{\ell | Z| + d(A, B; G) | \text{ mixed cuts } (A, B, Z) \text{ separating } u \text{ and } v\},\$ 

where  $\ell \ge 1$  is a specified integer. They call a graph  $G \ell$ -mixed *p*-connected if  $|V| \ge p/\ell + 1$  and  $\mu_{\ell}(u, v; G) \ge p$  for all pairs  $u, v \in V$ . This is an extension of  $(k, \ell)$ -connectivity previously introduced by Kaneko and Ota [8] in the sense that  $(k, \ell)$ -connectivity is equivalent to  $\ell$ -mixed  $k\ell$ -connectivity (see also [4] for the  $(k, \ell)$ -connectivity). Obviously  $\mu_{\ell}(u, v; G) = \lambda_{\alpha}(u, v; G)$  when  $\alpha(x) = \ell, x \in V$ .

For the above connectivity notions, sparse spanning subgraphs preserving *k*-connectivity have been studied extensively [1,3,5,6,14]. To generalize these results for our  $\alpha$ -connectivity, we define certificates of a graph as follows. A spanning subgraph *H* of a graph *G* is called a  $(k, \alpha)$ -certificate of *G* if

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(u, v; H) \ge \min\{\lambda_{\alpha}(u, v; G), k\}$$
 for every vertex pair  $u, v \in V$ .

Clearly a  $(k, \alpha)$ -certificate of G is  $(k, \alpha)$ -connected if so is G. A  $(k, \alpha)$ -certificate is called *sparse* if it has O(*kn*) edges. Finding such sparse certificates can be used as a preprocessing that reduces the size of graphs input for many connectivity algorithms.

## 2. MA orderings

For a (multi)graph G = (V, E), a total ordering  $\sigma = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_n)$  of vertices in V is called a *maximum adjacency* ordering (an MA ordering, for short) in G if

$$d(V_{i-1}, v_i; G) \ge d(V_{i-1}, v_j; G)$$
 for all  $i, j$  with  $2 \le i < j \le n$ ,

where we denote  $V_i = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ . Such an ordering can be found by choosing an arbitrary vertex as  $v_1$ , and choosing a vertex  $u \in V - V_i$  that has the largest number of edges between  $V_i$  and u as the (i + 1)th vertex  $v_{i+1}$  after choosing the first *i* vertices  $V_i = \{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$ . This procedure can be implemented to run in O(n+m) time by using an appropriate data structure [14]. We start with the following observation which easily follows from the definition.

**Observation 1.** Let G = (V, E) be a forest, and  $\sigma = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  be an MA ordering of V in G. Then:

- (i) Each vertex  $v_i$  has at most one incident edge that joins  $v_i$  and a vertex  $v_i$  with i < j.
- (ii) For each tree T in G, V(T) consists of the consecutive vertices  $v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_\ell$ . Then by (i) any subsequence  $v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_h$  with  $h \leq \ell$  induces a connected graph from T.

We define  $\mathscr{F}(G, \sigma) = (F_1, F_2, ..., F_m)$  to be the following partition of the edge set *E*. For each i = 2, ..., n, consider the set  $E(V_{i-1}, v_i; G)$  of edges between  $V_{i-1}$  and  $v_i$ , and let  $e_{i,k} \in E(V_{i-1}, v_i; G)$  be the edge that appears as the *k*th edge when the edges in  $E(V_{i-1}, v_i; G)$  are arranged in the order  $e_{i,1} = \{v_{j_1}, v_i\}, e_{i,2} = \{v_{j_2}, v_i\}, ..., e_{i,p} = \{v_{j_p}, v_i\}$ , where  $1 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \cdots \leq j_p$  holds. By letting

$$F_k = \{e_{2,k}, e_{3,k}, \dots, e_{n,k}\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

(some of  $e_{i,k}$  may be void), we have a partition  $\mathscr{F}(G, \sigma) = (F_1, \ldots, F_m)$  of E, where possibly  $F_j = F_{j+1} = \cdots = F_m = \emptyset$  for some j. By construction of  $\mathscr{F}(G, \sigma)$ , we easily have the following observation.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/421483

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/421483

Daneshyari.com