



# NO<sub>x</sub> abatement for lean-burn engines under lean–rich atmosphere over mixed NSR–SCR catalysts: Influences of the addition of a SCR catalyst and of the operational conditions

E.C. Corbos<sup>a,\*</sup>, M. Haneda<sup>a</sup>, X. Courtois<sup>b</sup>, P. Marecot<sup>b</sup>, D. Duprez<sup>b</sup>, H. Hamada<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Research Centre for New Fuels and Vehicle Technology, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), AIST Tsukuba Central 5, 1-1-1 Higashi, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8565, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Laboratoire de Catalyse en Chimie Organique (LACCO), LACCO - UMR 6503, Université de Poitiers, 40 avenue du Recteur Pineau, 86022 Poitiers Cedex, France

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 10 April 2009

Received in revised form 4 June 2009

Accepted 5 June 2009

Available online 16 June 2009

### Keywords:

NO<sub>x</sub> storage and reduction

Selective catalytic reduction

Ammonia

Pt–Rh

Ba

Ag

Cu

Co

Alumina

Reduction time

NCO

## ABSTRACT

Mixtures of equal amounts of a Pt–Rh/Ba/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NO<sub>x</sub> storage reduction (NSR) model catalyst and Ag/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> or Cu/ZSM-5 selective catalytic reduction (SCR) model catalyst were evaluated for the NO<sub>x</sub> removal activity under lean–rich atmosphere. NO<sub>x</sub> removal activity was increased by adding Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> or Cu/ZSM-5 to Pt–Rh/Ba/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> while adding Ag/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> had no significant influence. Experiments performed by using two catalytic beds (upstream Pt–Rh/Ba/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and downstream Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> or Cu/ZSM-5) suggested that both SCR catalysts are able to reduce NO<sub>x</sub> with the NH<sub>3</sub> produced during the rich step on Pt–Rh/Ba/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Among the studied catalysts, the Pt–Rh/Ba/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + Cu/ZSM-5 physically mixed one showed the highest activity. This catalyst mixture presented an improved performance, as compared to the NSR catalyst, regardless of the reductant used (CO and/or H<sub>2</sub>) or of the reduction time (10, 5 or 2.5 s). The highest activity was obtained by using both CO and H<sub>2</sub> as reductant during the rich pulse. The addition of water in the inlet gas led to a decrease of the NO<sub>x</sub> removal activity of the catalyst mixture. Nevertheless, the NO<sub>x</sub> removal activity of the mixed Pt–Rh/Ba/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + Cu/ZSM-5 catalyst was still significantly higher than that of Pt–Rh/Ba/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Diesel and lean-burn gasoline engines are a promising way to decrease the fuel consumption for automotive use, thus decreasing the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. However, the NO<sub>x</sub> abatement from lean-burn engines is difficult to achieve since they work mainly under excess volumes of O<sub>2</sub>. To overcome this problem, researchers have explored two main approaches toward NO<sub>x</sub> reduction in lean conditions: NO<sub>x</sub> storage reduction (NSR) and selective catalytic reduction (SCR).

The NSR catalysts operate alternatively under lean and rich conditions. During the lean conditions, NO is oxidized to NO<sub>2</sub> on precious metals (i.e. Pt) and then stored as nitrites/nitrates on a basic component (alkaline and/or alkaline earth oxides). Before too high an amount of NO<sub>x</sub> slips through the catalyst, the engine switches to rich conditions (excess of hydrocarbons) for a short

period where the stored NO<sub>x</sub> are released and reduced into N<sub>2</sub> over the precious metal (i.e. Rh) [1]. These systems were extensively studied during the last years and a few mechanisms are proposed in the literature [2].

The NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiency depends on both NO<sub>x</sub> storage and NO<sub>x</sub> reduction activities of the NSR catalyst. Generally, it is admitted that NO<sub>x</sub> removal is limited by both NO<sub>x</sub> storage and reduction at low temperature and mainly by the storage function at high temperature. The NO<sub>x</sub> storage depends on the NO oxidation activity (mainly at low temperature), on the Pt–Ba proximity and on the nitrates' stability (mainly at high temperature) [2–4]. Concerning the reduction step, it was shown that the reduction of nitrates strongly depends on the nature of the reductant (H<sub>2</sub>, CO, hydrocarbons) and the length of the reduction pulse [5–8]. In real conditions the reduction step must be very short (few seconds) and should be highly selective towards N<sub>2</sub> production without emitting CO and HC in the process. If the reductant pulse is too short, the regeneration of storage sites is incomplete and the activity of NO<sub>x</sub> trapping decreases, but if the pulse is too long undesirable products, like ammonia (if H<sub>2</sub> is used as a reductant), are produced.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 29 861 4646; fax: +81 29 861 4647.

E-mail addresses: [crina-corbos@aist.go.jp](mailto:crina-corbos@aist.go.jp), [corbos@aist.go.jp](mailto:corbos@aist.go.jp) (E.C. Corbos).

In realistic exhaust gas compositions  $H_2$  is always present due to the Water Gas Shift (WGS) reaction on the catalyst [9]. Nevertheless, the ammonia production depends on the temperature and the amount of  $H_2$  [6,10,11].

Another alternative for NO<sub>x</sub> abatement is the use of SCR technologies. A lot of works [12–18] focus on the SCR systems with NH<sub>3</sub>; the use of NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR is nowadays a well-established technique for DeNO<sub>x</sub> in stationary and non-stationary applications. The most studied catalysts for NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR are V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> modified or not with WO<sub>3</sub> [12,13] and non noble metals like Cu, Fe and Ce supported on zeolite [14–18]. High NO<sub>x</sub> conversions can be achieved by using zeolite-supported catalysts, but at high temperatures these systems are less efficient due to the NH<sub>3</sub> oxidation into NO<sub>x</sub>. Other studies focused also on hydrocarbon SCR [19–21], which is considered as a promising technique since it eliminates the use of ammonia, considered as a toxic product. The catalysts studied for this application typically contain Pt, Cu, Fe, Co or Ag supported on different alumina or zeolite based materials [21,22].

Recently, a few papers focused on combining the NSR systems with NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR ones [23–25]. The NH<sub>3</sub> formed on NSR catalyst during the rich pulses can be used to increase the NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiency of the NSR system if a NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR catalyst is put downstream. Thus, the ammonia is stored during the rich phase on the SCR catalyst and then used for NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR reaction in the next lean cycle. We showed that the NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiency can be greatly improved under lean–rich atmosphere if a NSR model catalyst is physically mixed with CuZSM-5 [25]; this effect was ascribed to an increase in the formation of NCO species, their formation being promoted by Cu/ZSM-5 catalysts.

The present study has two aims. The first one is to determine if the NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiency under lean–rich atmosphere can be improved by using different NSR-SCR systems, with SCR catalysts reported as active either for NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR or HC-SCR. The second aim is to study the influence of the operating conditions on the NO<sub>x</sub> reduction activity of mixed NSR-SCR catalysts. To achieve these goals, we used three different catalysts: CuZSM-5, which is known to be active for NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR [17,26] and HC-SCR [27,28]; Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, mainly active for HC-SCR [20,29,30]; and Ag/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, found active for HC-SCR [20,21]. The NO<sub>x</sub> removal activity was measured by periodically switching between lean (100 s) and rich (10, 5 or 2.5 s) atmospheres. In addition to NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>, the lean gas also contained C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> and CO/H<sub>2</sub>, while the rich gas contained NO<sub>x</sub> and CO and/or H<sub>2</sub>. To give a more realistic picture about the catalyst behavior in realistic conditions, all the measurements were carried out in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub>. Tests were also performed in the presence of water.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Catalyst preparation

The Pt–Rh/Ba/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst was prepared by a successive wet impregnation method. First barium (20 wt% BaO) was impregnated from a Ba(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> solution to commercial Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Mizusawa Chemical, GB-45, 190 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>−1</sup>). The resulting material was dried at 110 °C overnight and further calcined at 700 °C for 4 h in air. Platinum (1 wt%) was impregnated by using a Pt(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> solution and the catalyst was first treated at 700 °C for 4 h under N<sub>2</sub> before Rh impregnation (0.013 wt%) with a RhCl<sub>3</sub> solution. Eventually, the Pt–Rh/Ba/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst was treated at 700 °C

under N<sub>2</sub> and then aged at the same temperature with wet air (5% H<sub>2</sub>O/air) for 4 h [4]. A 4 wt% Ag/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst and a 2 wt% Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst were prepared by wet impregnation of the same commercial alumina with an aqueous solution of AgNO<sub>3</sub> or Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. After drying overnight at 110 °C, the catalysts were calcined at 500 °C for 5 h. A 5 wt% CuZSM-5, catalyst was prepared by wet impregnation of H-ZSM-5 (Tosoh, HSZ-830NHA, SiO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> = 28) with an aqueous solution of Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O. After drying overnight the catalyst was calcined in air at 500 °C for 5 h. The catalysts prepared as described above, Pt–Rh/Ba/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ag/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and CuZSM-5, are denoted as Pt–Rh/Ba, AgAl, CoAl and CuZSM-5, respectively.

### 2.2. Activity test

The catalytic tests were performed on physical mixtures of SCR and NSR catalysts using equal amounts of each (30 mg). When the individual activity of the Pt–RhBa or SCR catalysts was measured, 30 mg of each catalyst was diluted with 30 mg of inert α-alumina. The NO<sub>x</sub> removal activity was determined by alternatively switching between lean and rich conditions. The total gas flow was 90 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>−1</sup> which corresponds to a space velocity of ca. 55,000 h<sup>−1</sup>. The gas composition is described in Table 1. The lean and rich periods were of 100 s and 10, 5 or 2.5 s, respectively. The activity of the catalysts was followed until stabilization. The NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>3</sub>, CO and C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> concentrations were measured using a GASMET DX-4000 FT-IR apparatus. Before measurement, the catalysts were treated at 550 °C following the procedure reported in Fig. 1. This pretreatment normalized the state of the catalysts before each test and allowed one to have a standardized surface.

Fig. 2 shows an example of experimental curves obtained for NO<sub>x</sub> (where NO<sub>x</sub> stands for NO + NO<sub>2</sub>), CO, NH<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O evolution with time. The length of the lean cycles was 100 s and the rich pulse length was 10 s. The NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiency (NO<sub>x</sub> removal) was calculated after stabilization for at least 5 rich/lean cycles as the NO<sub>x</sub> average at the inlet and outlet (where NO<sub>x</sub> stands for NO + NO<sub>2</sub>) of the reactor. N<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O were considered as reduction products.

$$\text{NO}_x \text{ removal} = \left[ 1 - \frac{\text{Average NO}_{x,\text{out}}}{\text{NO}_{x,\text{in}}} \right] \cdot 100$$

The NO<sub>x</sub> storage capacity is calculated by integrating the area above the NO<sub>x</sub> curve for the first 100 s in lean conditions. The NO<sub>x</sub> storage is expressed in μmol g<sup>−1</sup> of Pt–RhBa catalyst. Additionally, the NO<sub>x</sub> conversion into N<sub>2</sub> was also estimated as follows:

NO<sub>x</sub> conversion into N<sub>2</sub>

$$= \left[ 1 - \frac{\text{Average}(\text{NO}_{x,\text{out}} + 2 \cdot [\text{N}_2\text{O}] + [\text{NH}_3])}{\text{NO}_{x,\text{in}}} \right] \cdot 100$$

[N<sub>2</sub>O] and [NH<sub>3</sub>] were deduced from corresponding peak area (Fig. 2). The CO removal efficiency was calculated as: (1 – CO<sub>out</sub>/CO<sub>in</sub>)·100.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. NO<sub>x</sub> removal activity

Fig. 3 shows the NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiency during the lean–rich cycles for the different catalyst mixtures (Pt–RhBa and SCR catalysts).

**Table 1**  
Gas composition for the lean and rich mixtures.

| Gas          | NO      | O <sub>2</sub> | CO–H <sub>2</sub> (75%/25%) | CO <sub>2</sub> | C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> | He      | Total flow                           |
|--------------|---------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|
| Lean (100 s) | 500 ppm | 10%            | 0.13%                       | 1%              | 167 ppm                       | Balance | 90 cm <sup>3</sup> min <sup>−1</sup> |
| Rich (10 s)  | 100 ppm | –              | 8.53%                       | 1%              | –                             | Balance |                                      |

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/42563>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/42563>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)