



Pan African Urological Surgeons' Association

African Journal of Urology

www.ees.elsevier.com/afju
www.sciencedirect.com



Original article

The value of touch imprint cytology of prostate core needle biopsy specimens – Kuwait experience



S. Hussein^a, K. Kapila^{b,*}, I.M. Francis^b, S.S. George^b, E.O. Kehinde^c

^a Histopathology Laboratory, Mubarak Al-Kabeer Hospital, Kuwait

^b Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Kuwait University, Kuwait

^c Department of Surgery (Division of Urology), Faculty of Medicine, Kuwait University, Kuwait

Received 11 February 2015; received in revised form 16 June 2015; accepted 2 July 2015

Available online 10 November 2015

KEYWORDS

Prostate carcinoma;
Touch imprint cytology;
Core needle biopsy;
Trans-rectal ultrasound

Abstract

Objectives: Touch imprint cytology (TIC) is a reliable, cost-effective technique for the diagnosis of cancer. The aim of this study was to determine the diagnostic value and accuracy of TIC of prostate core needle biopsy (CNB) specimens in predicting the final histology in patients with suspected prostate cancer.

Subjects and methods: TIC was carried out on 354 core needle biopsy specimens taken from 59 patients with suspected prostate cancer as indicated by a high prostate serum antigen (PSA) level or abnormal findings on rectal examination. All biopsies were taken under transrectal ultrasound (TRUS) guidance. Two touch imprints were prepared from each CNB. The TIC results were correlated with CNB.

Results: TIC revealed evaluable results in 336/354 (94.9%) CNB specimens analyzed, with the following cytological diagnosis: malignancy in 40 (11.9%), atypical features in 47 (14%) and benign results in 249 (74.1%) specimens. Histopathological examination of the 40 CNB specimens showing malignant features on TIC confirmed the diagnosis of prostate cancer. In 24/47 (51.1%) cases with atypical cytology, histopathological assessment of the CNB specimens revealed benign features in 7 and prostatitis in 17, while high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN) and carcinoma were seen in 3 and 20 specimens, respectively. In 12/249 (4.8%) cases showing benign results on TIC, histopathological examination of the CNB specimens revealed an abnormal histology in the form of HGPIN in 9 (75%) and carcinoma in 3 (25%) cases. TIC accurately predicted the final histology in 336 cases with a sensitivity of 84% and a specificity of 90.8%. When excluding atypical cytology on TIC and HGPIN on CNB, the sensitivity and specificity were 93% and 100%, respectively. A strong correlation was seen between TIC and CNB ($p < 0.001$).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: kkapila@gmail.com (K. Kapila).

Peer review under responsibility of Pan African Urological Surgeons' Association.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.afju.2015.07.002>

1110-5704/© 2015 Pan African Urological Surgeons' Association. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Conclusions: The routine use of TIC complements CNB reports and helps to provide an immediate and reliable cytological diagnosis of prostate lesions. TIC and serial sectioning of CNB specimens significantly improve the diagnostic accuracy.

© 2015 Pan African Urological Surgeons' Association. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most common non-cutaneous cancer in men in the United States with an incidence of 126.1 per 100,000 men of all races and Hispanic origin, and it is also one of the leading causes of cancer mortality [1]. An estimated 1 in 6 white men and 1 in 5 African-American men will be diagnosed with prostate cancer in their lifetime, with the likelihood increasing with age [2]. The current incidence of carcinoma of the prostate among indigenous Kuwaitis is 17.8 per 100,000 men per year [3]. The risk of getting prostate cancer increases with age. According to a recent report, 6.4, 12.6 and 14.9 percent of men sixty years of age in the United States will develop prostate cancer in 10, 20 and 30 years respectively [4]. The most important tools helping in the early diagnosis of prostate cancer are the use of prostate – specific antigen (PSA) as a screening tool and transrectal ultrasound (TRUS) – guided prostate biopsy [5]. The risk of disease increases as the PSA levels increase, however, no PSA level guarantees the absence of prostate cancer [5]. Diagnosis relies on TRUS and core needle biopsy (CNB). According to the usual biopsy protocol, 10–12 core needle biopsy specimens are taken for systematic mapping of the prostate, including any palpable or radiological target lesions [5]. However, some studies have revealed a 20–40% false negative rate of sextant biopsies [6], and it has been felt that touch imprint cytology (TIC) may provide additional information to CNB interpretation [7–9]. The aim of this study was to evaluate the accuracy of TIC in the diagnosis of prostate cancer.

Subjects and methods

Over a period of 6 months, TIC was carried out on 354 core needle biopsy specimens taken from 59 patients with suspected prostate cancer as indicated by a high PSA level or abnormal findings on rectal examination. The study was performed according to the guidelines of the local ethics committee which conforms to the Helsinki Declaration. The biopsies were taken under TRUS guidance using a 17-gauge coaxial introducer and an 18-gauge Tru-cut core biopsy needle. The median number of CNBs per patient was 12 (range 5–12). According to the study protocol, 10–12 CNBs were to be taken from each patient, however a smaller number of CNBs was taken in patients unable to tolerate the procedure. These patients were normally rescheduled for biopsy under local anesthesia at a later date.

Two CNB specimens on average were taken from each of the six sites sampled. As soon as the CNB was obtained, it was carefully smeared on the slide by the cytopathologist. The imprint smears were air-dried and stained using the May–Grünwald–Giemsa staining method. After preparing the touch imprints, the CNB specimens were fixed in buffered 10% formaldehyde for further fixation and staining with hematoxylin and eosin. The CNB specimens were independently and blindly reviewed by an experienced

histopathologist (SH), while TIC was carried out by an experienced cytopathologist (KK) who categorized the results as benign, atypical cytology, positive and unsatisfactory. The criteria for a positive cytology (i.e. malignancy) included nuclear pleomorphism, a high nuclear cytoplasmic ratio, nuclear molding and prominent nucleoli with loss of polarity at the edge of clusters in an acinar arrangement (Fig. 1A and B). TIC was considered benign when mono-layered sheets of uniformly distributed nuclei with fine chromatin and small nucleoli were observed (Fig. 1C and D). The cases were labeled as atypical cytology when the morphologic features were not sufficient to label the cells as malignant. Finally, the cytological diagnosis was correlated with the histological diagnosis. To ease the correlation, one morphological diagnosis was taken from each of the two CNB specimens taken from one site. TIC-positive but histologically negative biopsies underwent serial sections.

Statistical analysis

Comparing the results of cytologic and histopathologic examination, the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were calculated. In order to prepare a two-way tables worksheet for statistical analysis, benign conditions and inflammation were classified as negative results, while an atypical or positive TIC was considered as malignant. HGPIN and carcinoma cases were grouped together in the group of malignant cases for histological diagnosis. Patients with non-diagnostic TIC as well as patients with atypical TIC and HGPIN on CNB were excluded from the calculations of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value. The following definitions were used in this analysis:

Sensitivity: $\text{True positive} / (\text{True positive} + \text{False negative})$

Specificity: $\text{True negative} / (\text{True negative} + \text{False positive})$

Positive predictive value: $\text{True positive} / (\text{True positive} + \text{False positive})$

Negative predictive value: $\text{True negative} / (\text{True negative} + \text{False negative})$.

All statistical calculations were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 19 for Windows. The Chi-square test was used to assess the association between the histopathological and cytological tests. Significance of the statistical tests was based on a 95% confidence interval.

Results

The patients' age ranged from 51 to 83 years with a median age of 67 years (Table 1). The serum PSA levels were correlated with the histological diagnosis (Table 2). No significant correlation

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4267579>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4267579>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)