Arab Journal of Urology (2016) **14**, 207–210

Arab Journal of Urology
(Official Journal of the Arab Association of Urology)

www.sciencedirect.com

STONES/ENDOUROLOGY
ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy monotherapy for treating patients with bladder stones

Khaled A. Telha *, **Khaled Alkohlany, Ibrahim Alnono**

Department of Urology, Al-Thawrah Modern General Hospital and Ibn-Siena Hospital, Sana'a Medical College, Sana'a University, Yemen

Received 28 April 2016, Received in revised form 28 May 2016, Accepted 5 June 2016
Available online 25 July 2016

KEYWORDS

Bladder stone;
ESWL;
Monotherapy;
Urethral stone

ABBREVIATIONS

AUR, acute urinary retention;
ESWL, extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy;
KUB, plain abdominal radiograph of the kidneys, ureters and bladder;
US, ultrasonography

Abstract ***Objectives:*** To describe our experience with extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy (ESWL) for the treatment of bladder stones of <20 mm.

Patients and methods: This study was prospectively performed in two hospitals (Althawrah Modern General Hospital, and Ibn Sina Specialized Hospital) between November 2012 and November 2015. In all, 44 patients presented with urethral or bladder stones. The location and size of the stones was assessed by abdominal ultrasonography and plain abdominal radiography of the kidneys, ureters and bladder. All patients with radiopaque stones of <20 mm underwent ESWL monotherapy after fixation of a Foley catheter in a supine position under intravenous analgesia.

Results: The mean size of the stones was 15.8 mm and spontaneous evacuation occurred after removal of the Foley catheter without the need for adjuvant procedures in 40 patients (90.9%). Four patients (9%) developed acute urinary retention due to urethral impaction of large stone fragments. In two of them, the urethral catheter was successfully re-inserted pushing the fragments back to the bladder and a complementary session of ESWL resulted in more fragmentation of the stones, with spontaneous passage after catheter removal. In the other two patients (4.5%), the catheter could not be re-inserted and urgent endoscopic intervention was required.

* Corresponding author. Fax: +967 1 336999.
E-mail address: ktelha@yahoo.com (K.A. Telha).

Peer review under responsibility of Arab Association of Urology.

Production and hosting by Elsevier

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aju.2016.06.001

Conclusions: ESWL monotherapy is safe and effective method for treatment of bladder stones with no other causes of infra-vesical obstruction. Several indications can be met including patients with high anaesthetic risk, patients fearing anaesthesia or endoscopic procedures, and patients who have difficulty in positioning.

Introduction

The first extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy (ESWL) was reported in humans in 1980 [1]. Since then, the indications for ESWL have widened to include renal and ureteric stones of variable sizes and locations including staghorn stones [2–4]. However, there are only a few published reports about the use of this technique for treating urinary bladder stones [5–8]. Bladder stones are mobile in the bladder cavity and this in addition to easy endoscopic and suprapubic access to them makes ESWL not an ideal choice for their treatment. Nevertheless, there are still some patients with bladder stones who request and are willing to be treated by ESWL either due to a fear of endoscopic procedures or of anaesthesia and their complications. Also, some patients who are not fit for general or regional anaesthesia, have a high anaesthetic risk, and those who have skeletal comorbidities or deformities that prevent their proper positioning for endoscopic procedures are also candidates for ESWL therapy. Moreover, in some emergency situations, e.g. acute urinary retention (AUR) due to a stone in the posterior urethra or bladder neck, the patient can be managed immediately after relief of the retention by placement of a Foley catheter, thus without the delay of the preparation and induction of anaesthesia, which also increase the cost of the treatment.

In the present study, we describe our experience with ESWL monotherapy for the treatment of bladder stones of $\leqslant$20 mm, and show the different indications for such an approach.

Patients and methods

This study was prospectively planned and performed in two hospitals (Althawrah Modern General Hospital, and Ibn Sina Specialized Hospital) and approved by the scientific committees of these hospitals. Between November 2012 and November 2015, 44 patients who presented with urethral and bladder stones of $\leqslant$20 mm underwent ESWL monotherapy. All patients were male with a mean (SD; range) age of 40 (13.2; 11–64) years. The indications for choosing this approach varied: 16 patients presented with AUR due to bladder neck or posterior urethral stones and the remaining cases were either due to anatomical reasons preventing the lithotomy position, medical reasons making anaesthesia risky for the patients, or due to the patient's preference (Table 1).

The location and size of the stones was assessed by abdominal ultrasonography (US) and plain abdominal radiography of the kidneys, ureters and bladder (KUB; Fig. 1). Patients with a history of urethral strictures, symptomatic BPH, and those with radiolucent stones or stones of $>$20 mm were excluded. Basic investigations; laboratory (complete blood count, coagulation profile, and urine analysis and urine cultures) and radiological (KUB and US) were performed in all patients. Prophylactic antibiotics, in the form of a third generation cephalosporin (ceftriaxone 1 g; i.v.), were started for all patients before insertion of the catheter and continued for 5 days after the procedure with a second generation oral cephalosporin (cefuroxime 250 mg twice daily). After fixation of a 16-F Foley catheter for adults or 10–12 F for children, supine ESWL was performed as an outpatient procedure (Fig. 2).

Two ESWL machines with electromagnetic shockwave generators were used (Simens and Dorneir Compact Delta). ESWL was done under i.v. analgesia (dextrose 5% with 75 mg diclofenac sodium i.v. infusion and 50 mg pethidine i.v.). In three children (aged $<$15 years), the procedure was done under i.v. anaesthesia by an anaesthesiologist (propofol + dextrose 5% i.v. slowly) followed by observation until complete recovery. The catheter was removed after confirmation of stone fragmentation by KUB.

Results

The mean (range) size of the stones was 15.8 (9–20) mm. Fine fragmentation was achieved and uncomplicated spontaneous evacuation occurred without the need for

Table 1 Indications for ESWL monotherapy of bladder stones in the present cohort of 44 male patients.

Indication	Number of patients (%)
AUR	16(36.4)
Difficult lithotomy position	9(20.4)
Wish of patient – fear of endoscopic procedure	8(18.2)
Wish of patient – fear of anaesthesia	6(13.6)
Patients with a high anaesthetic risk	5(11.4)